1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS			
3				
4				
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.			
6	Plaintiffs, Civil Action No.			
7	1:21-cv-11558-LTS v.			
8	AMERICAN AIRLINES GROUP, INC.,			
9	et al.,			
10	Defendants.			
11				
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEO T. SOROKIN, DISTRICT JUDGE			
13				
14	BENCH TRIAL Day 5			
15				
16				
17	Monday, October 3, 2022			
18				
19				
20				
21	John J. Moakley United States Courthouse Courtroom 13			
22	One Courthouse Way Boston, Massachusetts			
23				
24	Rachel M. Lopez, CRR Official Court Reporter			
25	raeufp@gmail.com			
∠)				

APPEARANCES 1 2 On behalf of the Plaintiff United States of America: 3 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BY: WILLIAM H. JONES, III; AND KATE RIGGS 4 450 Fifth Street, Northwest Suite 8000 5 Washington, D.C. 20530 (202) 514-02306 bill.jones2@usdoj.gov kate.riggs@usdoj.gov 7 8 9 On behalf of the Defendant American Airlines Group, Inc.: LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP 10 BY: DANIEL M. WALL 505 Montgomery Street 11 Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 04111 12 (415) 391-0600 dan.wall@lw.com 13 14 On behalf of the Defendant JetBlue Airways Corporation: 15 SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP 16 BY: RICHARD F. SCHWED 599 Lexington Avenue 17 New York, New York 10022 (212) 848-4000 18 richard.schwed@shearman.com 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS		
2			
3	TRIAL WITNESSES		
4			
5	On behalf of the Plaintiff:	Page	
6	ROBERT ISOM		
7	By Mr. Jones	6	
8	By Mr. Wall	49	
9	By Mr. Jones	85	
10	SCOTT LAURENCE		
11	By Ms. Riggs	99	
12	By Mr. Schwed	221	
13			
14			
15	EXHIBITS		
16			
17	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:	<u>Admitted</u>	
18	Number PX0014	15	
19	Number PX99	38	
20	Deposition of Scott Laurence, page 160, lines 13	24	
21	to 15		
22	Number 452	171	
23	Number 844 and 844A	215	
24	Number 845A	165	
25	Number 2000	183	

1	Deposition of Scott Laurence, June 2021, page	117
2	149, line 23 to page 150, line 1	
3	Deposition of Scott Laurence, June 2021, page	119
4	150, lines 3 to 11	
5	Deposition of Scott Laurence, June 2021, pages	140
6	143, line 12, to page 146, line 25	
7	Deposition of Scott Laurence, June 2022, page	194
8	115, line 20 to page 116, line 3	
9		
10	On behalf of the Defendant JetBlue:	<u>Admitted</u>
11	Number 284 and 285B	222
12	Number 1075A	76
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS 1 (In open court at 9:00 a.m.) 2 3 THE DEPUTY CLERK: The United State District Court for the district of approximate Massachusetts is now in 4 session, the Honorable Leo T. Sorokin presiding. 5 THE COURT: Please be seated. 6 Everybody have a good weekend? 7 MR. WALL: We did, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. We ready to go? 9 MR. JONES: Yes, sir, we are. 10 11 THE COURT: All right. Who's next? MR. JONES: Plaintiffs' call Robert Isom of 12 American Airlines. 13 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Isom, if you'd come 14 forward, remain standing for Ms. Belmont to administer the 15 oath. 16 (The witness was duly sworn.) 17 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Can you please state your name 18 19 and spell your last name for the record. THE WITNESS: Robert Isom, I-s-o-m. 20 THE COURT: And if you have a seat right there. 21 THE WITNESS: 22 Thank you. MR. JONES: Your Honor, if we may approach with 23 binders? 24 25 THE COURT: Of course. You don't need to ask.

can just do that with each witness.

MR. JONES: May I proceed, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, go right ahead.

ROBERT ISOM

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF USA

7 BY MR. JONES:

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Isom. I'm Bill Jones, one of the attorneys for the United States in this matter. We met previously at your deposition.
- You are the CEO of American Airlines; is that
- 12 right?

3

4

5

8

9

- 13 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you've been in that job since March of this year; is
- 15 that right?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. And before that, you were the president of
- 18 American Airlines, right?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you replaced Doug Parker as the CEO of American; is
- 21 that right?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you ever refer to Mr. Parker as the godfather of
- 24 consolidation?
- 25 **A.** No.

- Q. Have you heard of him referred to as the godfather of consolidation?
- 3 A. I have.
- 4 Q. By others within American?
- 5 **A.** No.
- 6 Q. Outside the company?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- 8 | Q. Okay. Now, prior to the US Airways/American Airlines
- 9 merger, you were the chief operating officer of US Airways;
- is that right?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. And the US Airways/American Airlines merger closed in
- 13 2014; is that right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. And it was announced in 2013, right?
- 16 A. Announced in 2013, yes.
- Q. Now, as the chief operating officer of US Airways, you
- recommended that US Airways pursue the transaction with
- 19 American Airlines; is that right?
- 20 A. That's -- that's correct. I was the chief operating
- officer and I was not in charge of all commercial activities.
- I was not the lead in terms of pursuing the merger.
- 23 Q. But you did recommend the company pursue the merger with
- 24 American; is that right?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 Q. And one basis for that recommendation was that combining
- 2 US Air and American would create a comparable network to
- 3 Delta and United; is that correct?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And the US Air/American merger was successful in that
- 6 goal; was that right?
- 7 A. It's been successful, yes.
- Q. Successful in the goal of creating a comparable network to Delta and United, right?
- 10 A. Yes, US Airways, where I was the chief operating officer,
- it was a limited carrier in terms of -- in terms of reach and
- the number of cities it served. American not much larger,
- but Delta and United, much larger than that, combining the
- two has done a nice job of creating a really viable
- competitor and a strong foundation.
- Q. Would you agree, Mr. Isom, that now one of American's
- rationals for the Northeast Alliance is to create a network
- 18 comparable to Delta and United?
- 19 A. American is a global hub-and-spoke airline, just like
- 20 Delta and United, and we look for opportunities to expand by
- 21 adding different aspects of service, really, new destinations
- 22 that can actually, you know, help expand, and that does make
- us stronger versus Delta and United network, yes.
- 24 Q. And you would agree that one of the rationales now for
- 25 American to enter the Northeast Alliance was to create a

network comparable to Delta and United. Is that fair?

- A. Well, specifically to the network at American, you know, for years, we've been looking at where American hasn't been able to serve the customers that we would really like to. And in New York, that has been something that has been problematic over time. There has been other places in the world and so the opportunity to create the Northeast Alliance is absolutely an opportunity to make American stronger in a place that we have been historically weak.
- Q. Stronger in the sense of being comparable to Delta/United in those areas. Is that fair?
 - A. In order to be able to offer the customers in the northeast, the specifically New York, the same type of opportunities that they would be able to get with either United or with Delta.
 - Q. So you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that American did not need to enter the Northeast Alliance to create a comparable network to Delta and United out of Boston, right?
 - A. American -- I would tell you that in terms of order of priorities, the biggest -- one of the biggest issue that we've had with our network is, you know, deficiencies out of JFK.

Boston was a different scenario, where historically American has been a lesser player there. Both Delta and JetBlue have had larger networks than we have, and Delta has

- made it a point to say that they're going to establish a much larger operation, almost a hub there. And so this created an opportunity, the Northeast Alliance created an opportunity for us to make sure that we were as viable a competitor in Boston as we possibly could be.
 - Q. So just to get back to my question, it wasn't one of American's rationales for entering the Northeast Alliance to create a comparable network out of Boston to Delta and United?
 - A. Again, we focus on global hub-and-spoke carrier, adding as many destinations to that network, right, creates a multiplicative effect in creating new opportunities for people to fly back and forth. We've never been as strong in Boston as Delta, and it enables us to do more, to be more relevant to the local marketplace.
 - Q. Well, you would agree with me, wouldn't you, Mr. Isom, that the US Airways merger and the Northeast Alliance do separate transactions, separated by years, have similar rationales for your company; is that right?
 - A. I would agree with that. And that is, again, to make it as strong and robust of a network as possible, being more relevant in different marketplaces is certainly something that adds to that. Boston is a place that we're made stronger with the Northeast Alliance. And of course JFK was, you know, a place that I've described as being a place that

- we've been historically weak and really have never been able to make a profit.
- Q. Well, just to be clear, though, it's not just to be as strong and relevant as possible, it's to be as strong and relevant as possible as compared to Delta and United; is that right?
- A. We have a network that is really formed by the hubs that we serve, you know. And whether it's Dallas-Fort Worth or Chicago or Miami or Philadelphia or Phoenix or any one of our hubs, we use those. Those are our core assets that we then try to build and create connections and opportunities for customers to fly from points connecting one of our hubs and then go off to other points. We are able to serve, you know, tens of thousands of different O&Ds. So we compete certainly with Delta. They're trying to do a similar thing, but they have strengths in different places and we have strengths in different places and we have strengths in different places. Overall, though, my goal is to make

 American as strong as possible to the customers we serve and as profitable as possible over the long run. So Delta is a big competitor, but we take actions to really to make sure

 American is as strong as possible.
- Q. Well, just to try to be focused and clear here, Mr. Isom, did a rationale of matching Delta or United's network play a role in your decision to form the Northeast Alliance?
- A. It -- it's an opportunity to certainly improve American's

- product versus -- versus those two. So absolutely, we're 1 competitive, and we want to be as strong as possible, and we 2 want to make sure that we can serve customers anywhere in the United States, anywhere in the world, in a fashion that is -you know, that is best for American. 5
 - So since the US Airways/American merger closed in 2014, Delta hasn't grown its network by acquiring another domestic airline in the US; is that right?
- 9 Α. That's correct.

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- And since the US Airways/American transaction closed in 10 2014, United hasn't grown its network by acquiring another 11 domestic airline in the US; is that right? 12
 - A. I believe that's correct.
 - Q. But since that 2014 transaction between US Airways and American, American now needs to form the Northeast Alliance to create a comparable network to those two competitors?
 - No. We -- again, we take a look globally at opportunities. And so whether it's the Northeast Alliance or whether it's the West Coast International Alliance with Alaska, we've tried to make -- tried to take a look at where our network really has deficiencies and opportunities for growth.

And to that, whether it's in the United States or in South America, with GOL, or with Qatar or strengthening our relationship over the transatlantic with BA, all of those are opportunities to expand and enhance what we do.

So again, the Northeast Alliance is an opportunity to expand in an area or to improve in an area where we've been historically weak, and of course that is something that we want to — to pursue. We become more relevant, not just with leisure customers, but also with business customers, as well, that really want a network that can get their customers everywhere that they can go when they want to go.

- Q. So Mr. Isom, just to -- I thank you for that. Just to get back to -- just to get back to my question here, American is seeking to engage in a -- has engaged in a second transaction, the Northeast Alliance, to match two competitors that have not had domestic transactions with other airlines in terms of acquisitions since 2014, right?
- A. Again, we're -- we do everything possible to make sure that we can offer our customers the best network possible. The respect that United and Delta have not acquired other competitors, American hasn't acquired anyone. And we have a new great relationship with the Northeast Alliance that allows us to offer our customers something that we couldn't have done before.
- Q. Well, Mr. Isom, you're not aware, are you, of Delta or United engaging in joint ventures like the Northeast Alliance since 2014, either, correct?
- A. I'm not aware of anything that United or Delta have done

```
and I'm not aware of their plans.
```

Q. Let me ask you to take a look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 14.

MR. JONES: And please don't publish this. There are objections to this document, Your Honor, so I'd ask it not to be published yet.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. JONES: Let me know when you are there in your book, Mr. Isom.

THE WITNESS: I've got PX0014.

MR. JONES: Yes, sir.

11 BY MR. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Now, are you familiar with US Airways' evaluations at the
- time of possibly merging with American, before that
- transaction actually took place?
- 15 A. I was aware of our plans. Again, I was the chief
- operating officer at US Airways, responsible for the
- operations of the airline, largely, you know, overseeing
- 18 planes flying and customer service and that kind of thing,
- but absolutely aware of what was going on.
- Q. And was "Project Tetris" one of the names for that
- 21 evaluation?
- 22 A. It's been a long time, but Project Tetris was a project
- 23 that we had evaluated, a combination between American and US
- 24 Airways, yes.
- MR. JONES: Your Honor, I move to admit PX0014.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I see that, yes.

MR. WALL: Your Honor, this is falling within the category of the older documents as to which we have -- is sort of broad relevance concerns. At the level of a single document in this context, there's no objection. THE COURT: All right. Admitted. (Plaintiff Exhibit No. PX0014 admitted into evidence.) MR. JONES: We can publish PX0014, please. BY MR. JONES: So you see here on the cover of the exhibit, Mr. Isom, it's entitled "Project Tetris," correct? Α. Yes. Now, let me ask you to turn to page 10, which is the page Q. ending in 9805, and it will come up on your screen, as well, if you prefer -- if you prefer that. Now, if you look at the top of the page, the title of this slide reads, "Future: New AA would be number one carrier in the east and central regions." Do you see that? Α. I do. And below, there's a -- there's a map of the United States with the old icon of American and the old icon of US Air above the map, right?

Q. And the map and the title at the top are indicating that

- US Air was projecting that its merger with American -- a 1 merger with American would create the number one airline in 2 the east of the United States. Is that fair?
- Α. I see -- in the document, yeah, that is fair. Yes. 4
- And that was US Air's assessment at the time, right? 5 Q.
- Yes. And I'd like to note, again, a draft -- a draft Α. document, so I don't know how this, you know, finished off, 7 but the number one, you know, in the central, and the number 8 one in the east is throughout those regions, yes.
- Now, let me ask you to turn to the next page, page 11, 10 11 ending in 9806. And here, again, the title reads: "Number 1 East Coast position created by complimentary strengths." Do 12 you see that at the top? 13
- 14 Α. I see that.

- Q. And there's a -- there are three columns, one for New York City, one for South Florida, and one for all other 16 northeast. And then there are three rows. The bottom row 17 18 is -- shows the old American symbol and the old US Air symbol, right? 19
- 20 I see that, yes.
- And that bottom row showing the combined US and American, 21 and the New York City column, it's shaded and there's a 22 23 checkmark, right?
- Α. Yes. 24
- And that's indicating, according to the slide here, that 25 Q.

- the combined American/US Air would have good market presence in New York City; is that right?
- A. It doesn't say that. It says, "Throughout the northeast."
- 5 Q. Well, if you look in the New York City column and look 6 down --
- 7 A. Oh, yeah, I see that. Yes, it says good -- good market presence, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And that was US Air's assessment at the time; is that right?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And that was actually a result that came about as a result of the merger; is that right?
- A. Absolutely. When American and US Airways combined, it created a network that had strength on the East Coast and Midwest, and stronger, even, on the West Coast.
- 17 Q. And had good market presence in New York City, right?
- 18 A. And had good market presence in New York City, yes.
- Q. Let me ask you to turn to page 23 and it's the one ending in 2918. Now, here at the top of the page, the title
- 21 is, "Boston: AA plus US a strong and sustainable second."
 22 Do you see that?
- 23 A. I see that.
- Q. And here, this slide is forecasting that a merged US
 Air/American would be strong and sustainable second place in

Boston. Is that fair?

A. Mr. Jones, would you repeat the question?

What I would tell you, again, as chief operating officer at the time of this, I am absolutely aware of large scale rationale of the merger. But in terms of speaking, you know, to this specific, you know, draft slide, there might be some others that are better than me.

So one more time, would you repeat the question?

- Q. Well, just, I'm asking you if it's fair to say that this slide created by US Air, evaluating a potential merger with American as part of this broader deck, is showing that US Air at the time forecast that a combined US Air/American would be a strong sustainable second in Boston?
- A. That's what this slide says. And again, my ability to explain it is limited, at best.
- Q. So that was US Air's assessment at the time, though, correct?
 - A. Again, Mr. Jones, the -- as chief operating officer, I'm aware of the largest rationales. Boston, from where I sat, was never, you know, a primary rationale for pursuing the merger.
- Q. Well, you would agree, wouldn't you, that the US
 Air/American merger gave the combined company a good market
 presence in New York City and made it a strong sustainable
 second in Boston. Is that fair?

- A. It's fair to say. And again, as shown in this deck, that the combination of American and US Airways had not only improved presence in Boston, but it improved presence in every city that we served. So any city that US Airways and American jointly served, by combining the networks, it dramatically improved connectivity of all cities. And it's not just Boston, but it's, you know, every minor city, like Buffalo and Richmond, and any city that both US Airways and American served.
- Q. And at the time, US Airways also forecasts that the combined company's position in Boston would be sustainable; is that right?
 - A. I can't -- I can't speak to that. What I can tell you is is that anywhere that US Airways and American served would absolutely be enhanced and improved over all.

And then in terms of sustainability, the merger was absolutely something that, you know, spoke to US Airway's inadequacies and American's inadequacies, in terms of competition against others that had more developed networks. And from that perspective, the cities that we served all improved in terms of their sustainability.

Q. Would -- well, you mentioned being the chief operating officer at the time that others within the company might better be able to speak to some of the topics that I'm touching on with you; is that right?

- A. From 2011, that's correct. Yes.
- 2 Q. Would Doug Parker be one of those folks?
- A. Doug will certainly, you know, have thoughts on this,
- 4 too. Yeah.

- Q. Let me ask you -- you can close that document. Let me ask you to turn to PX0098.
- 7 MR. JONES: And this -- this has been admitted into evidence, Your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. JONES: May we publish?
- 11 THE COURT: Yeah.
- 12 BY MR. JONES:
- Q. And it's in your book, but also on your screen, Mr. Isom.
- 14 **A.** Uh-huh.
- Q. PX0098 is an e-mail from Don Casey to you, right?
- 16 **A.** It is.
- Q. And it's dated December 13, 2019, correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Mr. Casey was senior vice president for revenue at
- 20 American Airlines at the time he sent this e-mail to you,
- 21 right?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Now, if you look in the second paragraph of his e-mail,
- in the second sentence there, Mr. Casey writes that, "With
- industry capacity growth the next year, WN" -- that's

Southwest, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. "With the industry capacity growth next year, Southwest in particular, it will be difficult to move fares up."

Do you see that?

- A. I do.
 - Q. And there, Mr. Casey is informing you that in particular, Southwest is set to increase capacity in the next year, in 2020; is that right?
- A. I don't know exactly what Southwest was planning to do, but that's what Mr. Casey is suggesting, yes.
 - Q. And it's fair to say, as well, isn't it, that Mr. Casey is tying a difficulty in moving fares up, in raising fares, to capacity growing. Is that fair?
 - A. Well, I think what this refers to -- it's the revenue plan for 2020. So we're talking about, you know, December of 2019. And it's a planning document that then informs us in terms of how we're going to set the airline up for the next year. So in other words, to assess how much and where and how we fly, we take an estimate of, you know, overall economic growth within the country, and certainly an idea of how much capacity is going to come into the industry.

At the end of the day, you know, we're a supply and demand business. So from a macro perspective, going into each year, we try to take a look at what is happening in the

overall economic environment. And again, based on supply and demand, if more demand is coming in, what Don is saying, I believe, is that hey, it's going to be harder in this coming year to produce more revenue, so use that to inform what kind of expense that we're going to put into the airline in the coming year.

So everything from people and compensation increases to where we invest capital would be, you know, out of this. So what Don is suggesting is, hey, there's not going to be as much revenue in the plan, based on on my macro assessment, but, again, it's supply, it's demand. It's at high level. And I think that's where that comes from.

- Q. And it's fair to say that Mr. Casey is tying a difficulty in raising fares to growing capacity, right?
- A. At a macro level. Again, you know, the airline business is one of supply and demand. The more supply that's out there, the more likely, you know, that revenues are on a unit basis are going to be tougher to achieve, and the less capacity out there. All else equal, you know, the greater the chance that there's more revenue. So again, more capacity coming in. All else equal in terms of what we anticipate for economic growth, GDP. That would be a correct statement.
- Q. You said a lot there, Mr. Isom.
- **A.** Yeah.

- Q. But is the answer, yes, that it's more difficult to raise fares when capacity is growing?
- A. Again, it's a lot of variables there. So what we're looking at here, in terms of a planning document, again, is our 2020 revenue plan. And that's generally based on taking a look at a number of macro factors, you know, where growth is occurring, are we headed into a recessionary environment, or not. And then also, question yes, how much capacity is coming into the industry. We have a pretty good idea of aircraft deliveries and things like that. And what Don is suggesting here is that based on his assessment, especially, you know, Southwest, which is in many, many of our markets that there's going to be more capacity, all else equal, that would make it difficult to increase fares and ultimately increase revenue for the plan. And that's what he's guiding on it.

And then the regression impact would be he's giving an assessment of the revenue plan, again, all else equal, would be, you know, \$160 million of revenue that either can't be gained or is lost.

- Q. Mr. Isom, you recall that I deposed you back in May down in Dallas, right?
- A. I do.
- Q. And you were under oath in that deposition, right?
- **A.** Yes.

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- And let me ask you to turn in your binder to your deposition. It's the first tab, page 160, and I'm going to direct you to line -- lines 13 through 15. Α. Yes. "QUESTION: And is it fair to say that Mr. Casey is tying Q. a difficulty in raising fares to growing capacity? "ANSWER: Yes." And that was your answer under oath in your deposition, right? Yes. Α. MR. JONES: Your Honor, I move to admit that as impeachment and also as a statement of party opponent. MR. WALL: I never heard of moving it in as impeachment, but if they want it in evidence, that's fine. THE COURT: I'll admit it as substantive evidence. (Plaintiff Exhibit No. Deposition of Scott Laurence, page 160, lines 13 to 15 admitted into evidence.) BY MR. JONES: Q. You would agree, wouldn't you, Mr. Isom, that JetBlue has historically grown its capacity each year since its founding; is that right?
- I can't speak specifically, but I believe that's the 24 case.
 - Q. Let me ask you to turn to DX31 and DX32 in your binder.

And Your Honor, these have been admitted into evidence, but there are redactions, so we would ask that we be able to publish the redacted versions.

THE COURT: Yes.

Whenever there's redactions in an admitted exhibit, you just all have permission to publish the redacted version. You can just say we're publishing the redacted version because of the redactions agreed to, but there's no objection, and just go forward.

MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor.

11 BY MR. JONES:

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And lets me know when you've found it. It's also on the screen. And I'll start with DX31, which is just a cover
- e-mail from Matthew Sebastian to you, right?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And it's a cover e-mail attaching a deck, which if you turn to DX 32, the deck is titled "October board strategy
- session;" is that right?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And this was a deck being prepared for American's board, correct?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Let me ask you to turn to the page ending 1718. Let me know when you're there.
- 25 **A.** 1718. Yes.

Q. And the top of that page reads, "AA has grown below GDP, while other airlines has grown in excess."

Do you see that?

A. I do.

1

2

4

5

- Q. And it says "OA," but that's other airlines, right?
- A. Other airlines, right.
- Q. Now, you would agree with me that there's generally a correlation between GDP, between gross domestic product, and airline passenger and revenue growth, right?
- 10 **A.** There is generally a correlation between GDP and airline industry revenues.
- Q. And this slide is conveying that American grew below the rate of GDP growth in the years depicted in the chart below the title, right?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And that's being measured by available seat miles, correct?
- 18 A. Correct.

22

23

24

- Q. And that's true, looking at the chart for each year, 2014 to 2018, American grew at or below the rate of GDP growth for each of those years, right?
 - A. That's correct.
 - THE COURT: Do you think there's a correlation between GDP and growth of average seat miles, as well as —let me break that apart.

You indicated that there is a correlation between 1 GDP and airline revenue. 2 3 THE WITNESS: Right. THE COURT: Is there also a correlation between GDP 4 and average seat miles? 5 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, what we use for planning 6 7 is we forecast into the future years is GDP tied to airline industry revenues. That usually informs the growth that then 8 we will pursue, and we will try to put the kind of growth in 9 that we can think we can make a profit off of. So I have not 10 gone and personally gone back and taken a look at the 11 correlation of ASMs and GDP. 12 13 THE COURT: So is ASMs -- how do ASM's growth 14 figure in planning? THE WITNESS: So if -- you would take a look at 15 what your projected growth in GDP is for the coming year, and 16 that would give a correlation to revenues, historically, and 17 18 then you would go ahead and plan what kind of capacity, then. 19 So if there was a one or two percent growth in GDP, there should be some sense of, oh, that kind of revenue is going to 20 flow into the air industry, and I should go get my fare share 21 or I deploy capacity that I think I can make a margin on. 22 23 THE COURT: I see. Okav. Go ahead, Mr. Jones. 24 BY MR. JONES: 25

Q. Let me ask you to turn next to the page ending in 1719. It's just the next page.

If you look down to the fourth bullet point on that page, it reads, "Our RASM has remained relatively flat in many of these spokes; although we have maintained capacity discipline, UA and DL have been able to grow substantially taking share in many of our most profitable markets."

And that's referring there to Delta and United growing substantially and taking share from American in many of its most profitable markets; is that right?

A. Yes.

- Q. And that's indicating that -- that Delta and United chose to grow, while American chose to maintain capacity discipline, right?
 - A. I believe what that is referring to is that we took a conservative approach, and during that period, United and Delta did something different. They grew and they were able to take share from us.
 - Q. And now is it fair to say that through the Northeast
 Alliance, American is trying to -- to catch up because Delta
 and United grew while American maintained capacity
 discipline?
 - A. No. I think that -- my feeling is, is that, you know, we deploy capacity in the way that we think is most profitable, beneficial for the airline as a whole. During this period of

time, American was finishing off the integration between US Airways and American, and there were significant -- significant incursions by United and Delta.

And so in regard to the NEA, again, the rationale for that is we've had a historically weaker New York, and this is an opportunity for us to prove that and prove it quickly.

Q. Kind of a shortcut, right?

1

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- No, I don't agree at all. I think it's a really smart Α. move in taking a look at historically weaker, you know, American Airlines and unable to really figure out a way to generate profits, and a JetBlue that saw the world closing in around it. And a lot of constraints that didn't allow us to address the shortfalls in the New York region on our own, and looking for a way to do something different, to actually be a really viable competitor, versus Delta and United that are entrenched and will be entrenched in because of United's competition in New York, and Delta's position at both JFK and LaGuardia. So this -- again, I don't think it's a shortcut, overall. I think it's something that is a really smart move. It allows us to do it in a capital light fashion, and create in a really, really timely -- on, I believe, a really, really timely basis, a very strong competitor.
- Q. When you say a "capital light" basis, that means without American investing in its own aircraft to build out its

- 1 network; is that right?
- 2 A. Capital light meaning using our assets in the best way
- that we can possibly use them. So whether it's gates,
- 4 whether it's people, whether it's aircraft, all of that fits
- 5 into that.
- 6 Q. It's fair to say, isn't it, Mr. Isom, that American is
- 7 the largest carrier in the United States, domestically,
- 8 right?
- 9 A. The largest carrier, depending on the metrics, but in
- 10 general, yes.
- 11 Q. Let me -- let me ask you to turn to PX69, 0069. And this
- 12 has been admitted into evidence.
- Now, if you look at the top page -- let me know
- when you've found it, by the way, Mr. Isom.
- 15 A. I'm on it. Thank you.
- Q. Okay. PX69, at the top of the first page, is an e-mail
- from you to Mr. Raja, describing a call that you had with
- a Richard Anderson; is that right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And Mr. Anderson is a former CEO of Delta, right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. And you write to Mr. Raja in this e-mail, in March of
- 23 2020, "Thanks. And between you and me, spent a long time on
- 24 phone last night with Richard Anderson. General agreement,
- 25 the industry is going to have to shed a tremendous amount of

capacity. Turning the dial back five years as a guide to what 2021 looks like. It might be an 18 month slope to that point, once people get traveling. Let's not question. Let's go there fast and hard, and your AS/B6 ideas will help facilitate."

Now, there your reference to AS/B6 ideas are referring to potential alliances with Alaska Airlines and JetBlue, right?

A. That's correct.

- Q. And you're writing to Mr. Raja, saying that you and
 Mr. Anderson were in general agreement that the airline
 industry would have to shed a tremendous amount of capacity,
 right?
 - A. So -- Mr. Jones, and I know we've covered this, but Richard is a long time mentor of mine. He had left Delta, you know, back in, I think, 2016. I think he was working at Amtrak at this time. Rich and I worked together back in 9/11 and the SARS crisis. And what we were dealing with is with the pandemic, so that the first wave of COVID going through, revenues, you know, prior to this, had just fallen off by 90 percent. And we were looking at American Airlines potentially burning almost, you know, \$100 million a day in terms of cash, cash that we had to refund, and losses that we would incur on our flights. We had, I think, about 7 or \$8 billion of cash on hand. And so I considered COVID to be

a mortal threat and I reached out to Richard and others, too, just about anybody I could, to kind of say, hey, what are we looking at in terms of, you know, this crisis.

So the discussion with Richard was really, okay, here's what's happening with COVID right now, revenues had fallen off to nothing. How long — you know, let's go back and take a look at 9-11, what does that mean? And you know, my assessment was that we could be looking at something that could take five years to overcome. And so the discussions or the notes to Mr. Raja, it's not just on this, but everything, we were going to cut capacity and pull the airline down, and anything that we could do, I felt that would still allow us to provide service to those that really needed it, that still have to get around, I think would be beneficial, not just during the time of the pandemic, which we were just in the early stages of, but also in the long run.

You know, I'd always viewed that we'd come out of the pandemic, and it would be fantastic to actually have things like the West Coast International Alliance, and this budding idea of the Northeast Alliance in position as we came out, so we would be stronger than when we actually went in.

- Q. So Mr. Isom, you were talking with Mr. Anderson about the need for the industry to shed a tremendous amount of capacity. Not just American, right?
- A. Well, again, American is one of the largest carriers, and

as I said before, it's a supply and demand business, and the supply side is capacity, and the revenue that is available that, you know, we can go after and try to handle on a -- and try to make a profit on, was going to be a lot less. And so, yeah, this is a projection that the -- that the industry as a whole, American, was going to have to do something to resize itself, otherwise, we would all be out of business.

- Q. Well, you weren't just talking to Mr. Anderson about what American needed, though, right? You were talking about what the industry needed to do and that shed a lot of capacity, correct?
- A. No. I was absolutely talking to Mr. Anderson in regard to implications for American Airlines.
 - Q. You even put a -- a measure on what tremendous amount of capacity means here, which is turning the dial back five years, right?
 - A. But my view at the time, with capacity, that, again, it happened over the course of two months, where, you know, we were flying along, and no pun intended, you know, January, and looking forward to a good year. Everything vanished. We're a fixed cost business. I've got planes. I've got airports. I've got 30, 40 percent -- 30 percent of my operating expense is people. I didn't have any revenue coming in. I had cash that was flying out the door and I was absolutely looking at all of this in context of what has to

- 1 happen to American so that we don't go out of business.
- 2 | Q. And so your rough measure for the amount of capacity the
- 3 industry needed to shed was roughly turning the dial back
- 4 five years. That's what you tell Mr. Raja in your e-mail,
- 5 right?
- A. And by that, I'm referring to getting back to some state
- of equilibrium, where we can potentially make a profit at
- 8 American. My view was demand was going to be hurt so much,
- 9 that we would actually have to take a look at the industry as
- if it was, you know, five years prior.
- 11 Q. So the industry, not just American.
- 12 A. Again, American operates as part of the industry, and
- we're competitors against, you know, everybody that's in it.
- 14 So when I speak to the industry, it's not with, you know,
- some sense of, hey, I'm talking to Richard Anderson, who's
- not associated with Delta and my discussion is -- is really
- limited to, okay, what do we do with this information about
- 18 how bad it might get?
- 19 Q. So let me -- let me point you to your last two sentences,
- 20 the last line in the first paragraph, you write to
- 21 Mr. Raja, "Let's not question. Let's go there fast and hard.
- 22 And your Alaska/JetBlue ideas will help facilitate."
- Do you see that?
- 24 **A.** I do.
- 25 Q. And so there, you're -- in describing your conversation

with Mr. Anderson, you're telling Mr. Raja that his ideas about an alliance with Alaska and with JetBlue are a way to help the industry shed capacity. Is that fair?

A. No. The relationship with Alaska and JetBlue, West Coast International Alliance and the Northeast Alliance, it's helpful in both cases. If we're going to be pulling back capacity, to try to get back to profitability, I want to absolutely maintain as much presence as I can, and to do that in a way where I'm not going to lose even more money. So the reference to go there hard and fast is, hey, look, don't question this. You know, we're losing, you know, hundreds of millions of dollars a day at the time, or \$100 million a day at the time. Don't waste a lot of time, because we're going to lose more money.

And then in terms of the Alaska and JetBlue ideas, they're great ideas. So why wait? We've got time. We're going to be pulling the schedule down, let's go see if we can get something in place.

- Q. Well, is it fair to say, then, that you're telling Mr. Raja that his Alaska and JetBlue ideas will help facilitate American shedding capacity?
- A. No. Again, I believe it is these are ideas that they were absolutely helpful during a downturn, which you're experiencing, but also things that we wanted to get in place as we grew back.

- Q. So I don't think I fully understand here, Mr. Isom. Is
 it your testimony here that your sentence and your AS/B6
 ideas will help facilitate don't relate to American shedding
 capacity?
- They do. But, again, I'm just asking that there's an 5 understanding of the context in which we're dealing, which is the business is hemorrhaging cash, our business is 7 hemorrhaging cash. I've got the wherewithal of the company 8 in our hands, and if we don't take action fast, it's going to 9 be difficult. And these ideas absolutely will help us in a 10 11 downturn. They help us maintain presence. And I'm just making the point, as well, I've always thought these were 12 really good ideas. 13
- Q. Helping in the downturn and helping American itself, during this time period, shed capacity?
- 16 A. Helping American, yes.
- Q. Now, at the -- at the beginning of your e-mail to

 Mr. Raja, you wrote, "Between you and me," that meant that

 you didn't want Mr. Raja to share the note you sent to him

 describing the details of that conversation with

 Mr. Anderson; is that right?
- A. Mr. Anderson is a long time mentor of mine and, you know, the kind of conversations that I have with him regarding this, on a personal level, you know, I — I wanted to let Vasu know that I've done a little bit of homework, and I

- wanted to keep that private, just like I would with any type of conversation that I've had with somebody that I was close with and wanted to keep between us.
- Q. And now there's nothing confidential or personal in the e-mail that you sent to Mr. Raja describing the conversation with Mr. Anderson, right?
 - A. It's a personal relationship with Mr. Anderson.
- Q. But nothing confidential or private, right? It's about the industry and about the business, correct?
- A. It was, again, a conversation that I had with Richard, and asked his opinion, again, based on the work that we had done years and years ago, during other crises, which this was the biggest crisis that I had ever faced.
- Q. Let me -- you can close that document. Let me ask you to turn to PX99.
- And please don't publish that.
- MR. JONES: There is an objection to this exhibit,

 Your Honor.
- But if he can turn to PX99, please.
- 20 BY MR. JONES:

2

- Q. And let me know when you're there.
- 22 **A.** I am. I am there, yes.
- Q. And the exhibit has a cover e-mail from Mr. Casey to you, dated March 4, 2019; is that right?
- 25 A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
And it's actually a cover e-mail to you and Mr. Parker
and others, correct?
    It is.
Α.
          MR. JONES: Your Honor, plaintiffs move for the
admission of PX99.
          MR. WALL: Your Honor, we object to the attachment
of the note from Citibank for the truth of the matter
asserted. And I'm not quite sure what relevance it's going
to have, other than the truth of the matter asserted. But
it's a third-party report with lots of factual assertions.
          MR. JONES: Your Honor, we are not seeking to admit
the Citi report for the truth of the matter asserted, and, in
fact, will not examine Mr. Isom on the report, just on the
cover e-mail.
          THE COURT: Does that resolve your objection?
          MR. WALL: I think it does.
          THE COURT: Yes. All right. So admitted on that
basis.
          (Plaintiff Exhibit No. PX99 admitted into
          evidence.)
          MR. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. JONES:
    So if you take a look at the cover e-mail here at the
top, again, from Mr. Casey to you, Mr. Parker, and others,
Mr. Casey reports that American is seeing, "Yield softeness
```

- in coach for JFK-LAX, Dulles-LAX, and JFK-Seattle; is that 1 right? 2
- Α. That's correct.
- Q. And you would agree, wouldn't you, that as of the date of 4 Mr. Casey's e-mail, American and JetBlue competed on JFK-LAX, 5
- right?
- Yes. 7 Α.
- And you would agree, as well, wouldn't you, that as of 8 Q. the date of Mr. Casey's e-mail, American and JetBlue competed 9 on JFK-Seattle, right? 10
- 11 Α. Yes.

- Now, he mentions yield. What is yield here? 12 Q.
- That's basically the ticket price is. It's a unit. 13 14 unit revenue measure. A unit is the price you charge for --
- the cents you earn per mile flown. 15
- Q. Now, after reporting the yield softness for JFK-LAX, 16 Dulles-LAX, and JFK-Seattle, Mr. Casey here notes that
- JetBlue and Alaska have been reducing prices and the industry 18 19 has matched.
- 20 Do you see that?
- I do. Uh-huh. 21 Α.
- And now that you're in the Northeast Alliance with 22 JetBlue, if JetBlue were to reduce prices for JFK-LAX or 23 JFK-Seattle, American would get a share of the revenue of any 24 customers JetBlue won from American to travel with JetBlue 25

instead of on American flights, right?

- A. Oh, we don't -- we don't cooperate in pricing, but the way the Northeast Alliance works is that there's a capacity target that -- a baseline that's set and there's revenues that are shared. I don't have all the details, but it is something that ultimately means that we're trying to spark growth. And to the extent that there's growth and additional revenue in the Northeast Alliance, that's a good thing.
- Q. When you say you don't have all the details, you just mean you're not the person to testify about the -- how the revenue sharing works; is that right?
- 12 A. Exactly. Yes.

- Q. But you would agree with me that, under the Northeast
 Alliance, if JetBlue were to reduce prices in JFK-LAX or
 JFK-Seattle, and when some customers from American -American would still get some revenue as a result of JetBlue winning those customers from American, right?
 - Alliance works, it's not set on an individual passenger basis. And JetBlue is absolutely manages their own pricing. This is something that we look at on a whole, on a market basis. So again, I can't speak to an individual passenger.

Well, again, this is -- the way that the Northeast

Q. American would not lose out completely if customers
migrated to JetBlue in response to JetBlue lowering prices in

- 1 those markets, though, right?
- 2 A. There's a lot of -- a lot of factors that go into it.
- 3 Again, the basis for the Northeast Alliance is, you know, to
- 4 work together to make sure that we're flying to the right
- 5 places and that passengers want to go. And in regard to
- 6 pricing decisions, that is a matter for each carrier.
- 7 Q. Well -- well, I'll move on.
- 8 **A.** Okay.
- 9 Q. You can turn -- you can close that document.
- Let me ask you to open your binder to PX320?
- 11 MR. JONES: And this has been admitted into
- 12 evidence.
- 13 BY MR. JONES:
- 14 Q. And let me know when you're there, Mr. Isom, and it's
- also on the screen. And I would ask you, when you're there,
- to focus on the middle of the page, the e-mail from --
- MR. JONES: Well, let's take that off real quick,
- 18 if you don't mind, please.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 20 BY MR. JONES:
- 21 Q. I'm going to just ask you to look at your binder here?
- 22 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 23 Q. If that's okay, Mr. Isom?
- 24 A. Certainly. To look at which?
- 25 Q. Your binder with PX320?

- A. 320, yes.
- Q. And I'm going to direct you to the middle of the page,
- from Mr. Embler (phonetic spelling) to you.
- 4 **A.** Yes.

7

8

9

5 Q. On October 6, 2020?

THE COURT: I'm sorry. What number is the exhibit?

MR. JONES: I'm sorry, it's PX320.

THE COURT: 320. Oh. Thank you. Sorry. Got it.

Go ahead.

- 10 BY MR. JONES:
- 11 Q. Okay. So do you see that e-mail from Mr. Embler to you?
- 12 **A.** I do.
- 13 Q. And Mr. Embler is a member of the American board of
- 14 directors, correct?
- 15 A. He's a member of the board of directors, yes.
- 16 Q. If you look at the second sentence in the first paragraph
- in Mr. Embler's e-mail to you, it reads, "As you can probably
- tell from my questions, I am deeply concerned about our
- 19 ability to compete longer term as a global hub-and-spoke
- 20 carrier, without critical mass in the two largest markets in
- 21 the country."
- 22 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 23 Q. Do you see that?
- 24 **A.** I do.
- 25 Q. And you would agree with me, wouldn't you, Mr. Isom, that

- 1 Mr. Embler is expressing concern about American's ability to 2 compete longer term as a global hub airline without a 3 critical mass in New York City and Los Angeles, right?
 - A. Yes. This was a subject matter of a board meeting, so this is not a new statement that Mr. Embler had raised concerns in a board meeting.
 - Q. Now, if you look at Mr. Embler's last sentence in the first paragraph of his e-mail to you, he writes, "And I am skeptical about the ability of JVs, et cetera, to provide a seamless experience to replace a robust AA presence."

Do you see that?

A. I do.

- Q. So it's fair to say there, isn't it, that Mr. Embler is expressing skepticism about an American joint venture with JetBlue providing a seamless experience to replace a robust American Airlines presence in New York, right?
- A. That's true.
- Q. Now you can close that if you want, Mr. Isom.

Now, before American and JetBlue formed the Northeast Alliance, were you aware of any domestic arrangements between airlines, similar to the Northeast Alliance, that occurred before you formed that JV?

- **A.** No.
 - Q. All right. Well, let me -- let me ask you to -- let me ask you to turn to PX283. This is already in evidence and

- 1 let me know when you're there.
- 2 Have you located it?
- 3 A. Yes, I see it, yes. I see it. I understand.
- Q. Okay. So PX283 is a cover e-mail, dated September 4,
- 5 2019, and it has an attachment, right?
- 6 A. It does.
- Q. And that attachment is to prepare you for a trip here, to
- 8 Boston, right?
- 9 A. To Boston, yes. Uh-huh.
- 10 Q. And that was for a station visit here to Logan, right?
- 11 A. It's for a station visit, yes.
- 12 Q. If you look at the first page of the attachment, it's the
- page ending in 5833, there's a section heading,
- 14 titled, "Talking points."
- Do you see that?
- 16 **A.** I do, yes.
- Q. And this was for talking points that were prepared for
- you to be able to answer questions for -- of Boston area
- 19 American employees, right?
- 20 A. Yes. The nature of this is, you know, a number of
- 21 meetings, a director's meeting, but then also to visit with
- 22 the team members. So whether it's, you know, mechanics and
- pilots or gate agents and, you know, our team members in
- 24 Boston.
- Q. Now, let me ask you to look over to the next page, ending

- in 834, and there you'll see there's a section titled, "Hot topics." "Boston hot topics," right?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And then there's a subsection below that, that's labeled "network planning/fleet." Are you with me?
- 6 **A.** I am.
- Q. Okay. So now, in that section, let me direct you to the fourth bullet point, and that goes over and starts on the next page. That bullet point says, "Why is Boston losing the A321T and do we have a shot to get it back and compete with JetBlue?"
- Do you see that?
- 13 **A.** I do.
- Q. And the A321T refers to an aircraft that American was
 getting from Airbus that had a three class configuration,
 with a lie flat class, a business class, and a coach product,
 right?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you are aware as well at this time that JetBlue had a
 Mint product with a lie flat class, as well, right?
- 21 A. Although, let me -- let me take a step back. You know,
- 22 | 321 T is --
- 23 Q. Well, Mr. Isom, you asked --
- A. You asked about lie flat, I've never flown the Mint product. I don't know if it's a lie flat product?

THE COURT: You don't know whether Mint is lie flat?

THE WITNESS: The Mint compared to the 321T is an international first class lie flat experience. It's not a domestic -- it's a domestic lie flat that's equal to our flagship product. And so I just want to make sure that there's a distinction drawn between anything that is -- is brought up between the 321T. It's a special aircraft with just 100 -- and just slightly over 100 seats on it, three classes which is all lie flat, a business class, and a very small coach section. American only has 17 of them.

12 BY MR. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

15

- Q. So my question was just whether you were aware that at this time that JetBlue's Mint product had a lie flat class?
 - A. I am aware that Mint has a domestic first class product.
- And again, I can't speak to all of the -- the amenities that they include.
- Q. So here, in the fourth bullet point that I was pointing
 to, you're being prepared to field questions from Boston area
 American personnel about whether Boston will be getting back
 an A321T to compete with JetBlue, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Let me -- let me ask you to look down to the next bullet point. That bullet point reads, "Delta is going up to 200 flights in Boston and has named Boston a hub. JetBlue will

be at 180 flights. Both are increasingly going after our
markets like DCA and ORD and our premium customers — and our
premium customers while American has maintained or reduced
flying in Boston. Boston has one of the fastest growing
airport traffic in the country. Do you have any plans to
grow and strengthen our position in Boston?"

Do you see that?

- A. I do.
- 9 Q. And ORD there is Chicago O'Hare, right?
- 10 **A.** Yes.

7

- 11 Q. So there you're being prepared to answer questions from
- Boston area American employees about plans to strengthen
- American in Boston to compete with Delta and JetBlue, right?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And the talking point there, also, is noting that Delta
- and JetBlue are trying to go after American's premium
- customers; is that right?
- 18 A. Again, these are questions that, as you said, are sourced
- from our team members that are -- that I'm getting ready for.
- 20 And so the question that was written in is this and I'm
- 21 preparing for that, yes.
- Q. When you say your team members, you mean your team
- members down on the ground in Boston, right?
- 24 A. Team members in Boston, right.
- 25 Q. So they put in a question saying Delta and JetBlue were

- increasingly going after American's markets and premium customers, right?
 - A. That's what our team members have said, yes.
- Q. So at this point, with the NEA in place, you don't really have to worry anymore about JetBlue going after your premium customers here in Boston anymore, right?
- A. Again, what we're able to do is use both of our networks, both of our aircraft types, all of our product to form a great relationship that enables our customers to go where they want, on a greater frequency, and more destinations than they would have been able to otherwise.
- Q. So with the NEA in place, you don't have to worry about

 JetBlue increasingly going after American's markets or its

 premium customers, right?
 - A. The -- Boston is going to be something that we're interested in. It's not one of our biggest focuses, as I've said. The NEA, I know with Delta, pursuing a hub-like strategy, this is something that is really important to JetBlue, and it's a way that we can partner with JetBlue to make them stronger and also us, as well.
 - Q. And because of that partnership, they're not going after your premium customers anymore, right? In Boston?
- A. I think that we're all -- both JetBlue and American are going to go after all premium customers.
- Q. Even in the NEA airports, right, Mr. Isom?

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. That's the intent, that we can offer a product and services and frequencies that make us a really formidable competitor and something that will definitely compete well against Delta's intent to create a hub.
 - Q. Well, I'm not asking about Delta here, I'm just asking about JetBlue. That competition for premium customers here in Boston is over because of the partnership, right?
 - A. Well, we would partner with JetBlue to make sure, again, that we are the best relationship to -- to attract all premium customers.
- MR. JONES: Your Honor, I pass the witness.
- 12 THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination,
- 13 Mr. Wall?

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

14

15

- MR. WALL: Yes, Your Honor. Can I just have a second to get set up here?
- 16 THE COURT: Of course.

17 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT AMERICAN AIRLINES

- 18 BY MR. WALL:
- 19 Q. Good morning, Mr. Isom. I want to begin back at the
- 20 discussion that you had with Mr. Jones about your
- 21 conversation with Richard Anderson, and your subsequent
- 22 e-mail with Vasu Raja, okay? So let's go back and pull up
- 23 the document that was Plaintiffs' Exhibit 68, I believe -- or
- 24 69. I'm sorry, 69.
- 25 A. I have it.

- Q. Okay. If we zoom in on the top of that, you see that the date is March 28, 2020, right?
- A. March 28th, 2020. Yes. Right.
- Q. On March 28, 2020, did you have Richard Anderson or anybody else tell you that the airline industry was likely to be shedding a lot of capacity?
- 7 **A.** No.
- Q. Let's take a look at a document from the prior day,
 Defendants' Exhibit 34.
- MR. WALL: Would you put that up, please.
- 11 BY MR. WALL:
- 12 Q. Do you recognize defendants' Exhibit 34, Mr. Isom?
- 13 **A.** I do.
- 14 **Q.** What is it?
- A. It's a document that details what we pulled from ANNIS
- reports -- ANNIS reports and public statements about what
- United and Delta were going to do in terms of adjusting their
- schedules to react to COVID and the collapse of demand.
- 19 Q. And where does this come from within your organization?
- A. It would come from our revenue analysis, or Vasu Raja's organization.
- Q. And the date of this in the upper right hand corner is what?
- 24 **A.** Is March 27th.
- Q. So this actually is the same day that you spoke with

- 1 Mr. Anderson, right?
- 2 A. Correct.
- THE COURT: Day before -- or same day you speak to
- 4 him.
- 5 BY MR. WALL:
- Q. And it's the day before you sent the e-mail to Mr. Raja telling him of your conversation with Mr. Anderson?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And would Mr. Raja have also been a recipient of the same industry commentary that we see here on PX34?
- 11 A. Yes, his group would have created it, and we would have
- 12 reviewed this.
- Q. So let's focus in on United, and it states that, under
- 14 April, it says, "95 percent international capacity reduction
- through April. No additional guidance related to domestic
- system wide reductions." Right?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And the next bullet is "cancelling all international
- 19 flights through April," with some exceptions that are listed
- 20 there, right?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 **Q.** We go over to Delta, April, "70 percent capacity
- reduction system wide, 80 percent international."
- Did I read that correctly?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And the third bullet is, "Reducing April schedule by 85,000-plus flights"?
- A. 85,000 flights, right.
- Q. And it also indicates there's a column for American, right?
- 6 A. Right.
- Q. So these would be things that American had already said publically?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And so under April, "Reducing April capacity by
- 11 60 percent year or year, 60 to 70 percent domestic, 80 to
- 90 percent international."
- Had you already announced that publically as of
- 14 March 27, 2020?
- 15 A. Yes, and had reduced the schedule, or were in the process
 16 of reducing the schedule.
- 17 **Q.** By 55,000-plus flights?
- 18 **A.** By 55,000-flights, yes.
- 19 Q. Is that a normal day in the office?
- 20 A. On no. On a given day we fly 6,000 flights. So this is
- a very, very large number of flights.
- 22 **Q.** Is there anything about your subsequent e-mail with
- Mr. Raja, PX69, that is fairly read as indicating that on a
- 24 long-term, sustainable basis, the West Coast International
- 25 Alliance, or the Northeast Alliance would be a way for

American Airlines to reduce capacity?

MR. JONES: Objection. Leading.

THE COURT: Sustained as to the form.

MR. WALL: Okay.

BY MR. WALL:

Q. Well, why don't we just go back to -- to the document, and where you say, "The industry is going to have to shed a tremendous amount of capacity, turning the dial back five years as a guide to what 2021 looks like, and it might be an 18-month slope to that point, once people get traveling again. Let's not question. Let's go there hard and fast."

Just taking that particular language, without any of the reference to the ideas about Alaska or JetBlue, does that language have anything to do with the Northeast Alliance?

- A. No.
- Q. What were you directing Mr. Raja to do?
 - A. Again, we were in the midst of the largest crisis that I'd ever seen in the airline industry, one that was, you know, potentially, you know, threatening the viability of American. And every day that we waited, we were losing tens of millions, if not \$100 million in a given day. We only had 7 billion -- or \$8 billion of cash on hand, and projections of this were such that we could run out of cash and become insolvent over a fairly short period of time. And so this

was a direction from me, as the president of American at that time, that we didn't have time to wait and think. Every day was something that could be a day that we couldn't get back and could be -- have a critical impact on our future viability.

Q. Thank you, sir.

When you spoke to Mr. Anderson -- at the time, he was employed by Amtrak, right?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Did he tell you, in that conversation, anything that he knew from private sources about what Delta or any other airline were planning on doing?
 - A. No. Absolutely not. Again, I was calling Richard as having worked with him back at the time of 9/11 and SARS, I was in charge of operations or a portion of operations back then. And I had never seen anything like this, and I wanted to get some advice from somebody that I had trusted, who had been through some serious battles, and wanted to get a sense of where this might all lead. And his was a good opinion to have.
 - Q. Did you have any conversations in this period of time with anyone from Delta, United, or any other domestic or international airline for that matter, as to capacity reductions that would be made?
 - **A.** Absolutely not.

- 1 Q. Okay. I want to go back to the document that you were
- 2 just talking to Mr. Jones about, PX283. Could you put that
- back up, please? And this is about the station visit here in
- 4 Boston?
- 5 A. Uh-huh.
- 6 Q. And if I understand your testimony, you were saying is
- 7 you get a briefing document with questions that the local
- 8 people think you might be asked?
- 9 A. Anything that might possibly come up, yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. And then for a lot of these, there is a -- there
- is a -- something underneath the question, denominated a, or
- 12 I guess in one case b. What are those?
- 13 A. Those are some -- some ideas for answers for the
- 14 questions.
- Q. Okay. So for example, in the question, "What is the plan
- for E-190 retirement and how will that impact the shuttle
- 17 | flying?" The proposed answer or talking point is, "We are
- 18 looking at the E-190 extension," et cetera?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Jones mentioned to you this bullet point
- 21 that talks about Delta going up to 200 flights in Boston,
- 22 JetBlue be it 180, et cetera.
- 23 Could you read what the proposed talking point is?
- 24 A. "More to come on this soon."
- 25 **Q.** Is that an answer to the question?

A. No.

1

8

- Q. Did you have any plans at this time to try to challenge that Delta or JetBlue position at Boston?
- A. We didn't. You know, our efforts were focused on other areas.
- Q. I want to go back next to what was Plaintiffs' 320, and Mr. Jones showed you slide 33.

In a second, I'll let you catch up with that.

- 9 **A.** Sir, I'm on PX320.
- 10 MR. WALL: It's got a lot of different numbers on it, actually, but yes.
- 12 A. Okay. I'm on the one that's on the screen.
- Q. Okay. I'm sorry. It's my bad. I apologize. Please put
- up DX32. I got that. Wrong. Sorry about that.
- 15 **A.** DX32. Yes.
- Q. So Mr. Jones showed you that this slide, slide 33, which
- is -- has the title "AA has grown below GDP, while OA has
- grown in excess," right?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Okay. To the best of your recollection, was this being reported to you as good news?
- 22 **A.** No.
- Q. What was the intention of having this slide in the board
- 24 deck?
- 25 A. That American, overall, had been growing less than

competitors. And this is something that is obviously 1 concerning from a perspective of, you know, the future and 2 being able to offer a network that customers want and to fly and our ability to be a viable competitor in the marketplace. 4 5 Let me ask you to turn back to slide three, or a section, 0. actually, that begins on slide 3, which has the title, "Relative performance since the merger," right? Do 7 you see that? 8 I see that, yes. 9 Α. Q. Okay. And then flip over to slide 4, it has 10 an, "Introduction." And could you read the first bullet into 11 the record? 12 13 "Since merging in 2013 the company has been focused on accomplishing" --14 THE COURT REPORTER: Sorry. If you could slow 15 down. 16 THE WITNESS: Sorry about that. I have a tendency 17 18 to go quick here. Okay. "Since merging in 2013, the company has been 19 focussed on accomplishing major integration milestones (i.e. 20 reservation systems, JCBAs, IT systems, et cetera). While we 21 have had significant integration successes in the last five 22 23 years, our competitors (who merged earlier) have been able to

focus on optimizing their core business and growing margins."

Thank you. Could you just explain to the Court what that

24

25

Q.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

means, and not only what some of the acronym means, but what's the message?

The message is this, is that American Airlines and US Airways merged, announced a merger in 2013, merged in 2014, and started an integration process that really launched, you know, work that only finished up at the start of the pandemic, in terms of combining the two airlines. So reservation systems are, you know, how customers interact with you to buy tickets, reservations systems, joint collective bargaining agreements, JCBAs, are the agreements under which our team members operate. American Airlines and US Airways had separate unions that all had -- the people all had to come together, technology systems are everything from those reservation systems, but also they are the systems that we use to track maintenance and to handle dispatch and weight and balance and everything that you can imagine in terms of keeping track of airlines and aircraft movement and our people. All of those have to be brought together. And that was something that took an inordinate amount of management time and attention to bring 100,000-plus people together, a fleet of almost 1,500 aircraft together, airports together, common livery and branding and everything that goes with that.

It's a regulated process. It's one that you have to get a single operating certificate.

Q. What does that mean?

A. A single operating certificate is an FAA designation that allows you to bring your operations together. So all airlines operate under an operating certificate that's approved by the FAA, but it is unique to the airline. Those have to be brought together. Planes all have to be brought into the same, not just systems, but they actually have to be brought into the same maintenance program. Mechanics all have to be trained, pilots all have to be trained, flight attendants all have to be trained before you can truly operate as one carrier.

Our merger was the largest and the most complex because of our systems' differences that had ever been taken on. And so for about five years, all the way until the end of -- or all the way until the beginning of 2020, when our mechanics, our mechanics, and it's a group of about 14,000 people, until they came together, that was the final step. And so it was a five, six year process that took the majority of the time and attention that we had.

And as well, on top of that, there are also aircraft, a 320 aircraft, or a 321. US Airways had a different number of seats on it than did American. All of those aircraft had to be put through a reconfiguration to get them to be the same size. It's a really complex process, one that takes an inordinate amount of time and attention.

- Q. Thank you, sir. And if you go to the next slide, there's a slide here that it's part of series, that is entitled, "Profitability trends since the AA merger." And what is this showing with respect to American Airlines profitability during this period of time relative to that of Delta and United?
 - A. It is showing that our profitability on a margin basis had fallen in comparison to Delta and United.

- Q. And if you go to the next page, there appears to be a version of this, which is "ex-hedges." What does ex-hedges mean?
- A. So hedges are instruments that are put in place to —
 for, in this case, to advance purchase fuel or put in place
 financial instruments to reduce the variability of fuel costs
 or to potentially speculate on the price of fuel costs. And
 all it's done here is adjusting for that, so that you take
 that out of the calculation, and again, it still shows that
 American's margins are declined.
- Q. And the next one appears to add both hedges and labor to the analysis. How does that work?
- A. So, yes. At the time of the merger, American and US
 Airways, American Airlines coming out of bankruptcy, and US
 Airways, a smaller carrier, on a combined basis, we went and
 negotiated new collective bargaining agreements with our team
 members. And so post the merger, one of the merger benefits

was that all of our team members, top to bottom, received more than double-digit pay increases coming out of the merger, that made them — and once we had those accomplished, they were paid more in line, if not in some cases, equal to or better than Delta and United. So that — it's being adjusted here so that you don't look at 2014 and 2015 profitability with the sense that you could have a labor cost advantage.

Q. And just generally, when you look at this, let's go back to slide 5, which is just the reported pretax margins.

So that if I understand this correctly, just the high water mark that you had here was 2015, what looks to be a pretax margin of around 15 percent; is that right?

A. That's correct.

- Q. And then at all other times, it's below that, right?
- A. When it -- yes. On a run rate basis and certainly adjusted.

THE COURT: Okay. What accounts for Delta's -- Delta there?

A. Well, Delta has done a really nice job of running a network airline. And based on my assessment, they have actually accomplished their merger with Northwest probably five years, six years prior to ours. So they got through all of the integration work, and they've done a really nice job of actually growing and making sure that their network serves

customers on a basis that allows them to achieve a higher level of profitability.

There's some cost elements to it, as well, in my opinion. They have a real nice hub in Atlanta that's low cost and it's the primary -- you know, it's the biggest chunk of their operation. But they've run a nice, reliable airline, and they've gotten through their integration, and it's something that we're making sure our network and our operation, that we, you know, get back to where we can be, and at some point in time matching it and beat Delta.

THE COURT: So they have a cost advantage, to some degree, in terms of where some of their hubs are, particularly Atlanta, and they've been able to build — they've had this period of time, you're saying 2015 forward, then they're building on their merger, as opposed to doing their merger.

- A. They're merger was all the way back in 2000 -- I think, '08 or '09.
- 19 THE COURT: I see. Interesting.
- 20 BY MR. WALL:

- Q. Just one more fact on that, can you compare American Airlines's unionization with Delta's?
- A. So that's -- American Airlines is the most unionized of any carrier, 85 percent of our team members are unionized.
- It's something we're very proud of, but it's almost I think

- 80,000 team members. Delta has their pilots that are unionized, which is a much smaller group. A group I think that is, you know, 10 or 15,000 team members for United. I can't speak to it specifically, but the rest of their groups are not unionized.
- Q. Okay. All right, Mr. Isom. Let's just sort of pick up a little bit on the business model. Could you try to explain to the Court how the hub-and-spoke model that we've heard about allows American Airlines to provide service and make a profit?
- A. So we're a global hub-and-spoke carrier. And what that means is we use -- we use these hubs that we created in Dallas, Fort Worth, and Chicago, and Philadelphia and Miami and Charlotte to bring customers from all over the world into one of our hubs and allow them to potentially connect or just fly on that local service and what it does is it creates great utility. So on any given flight, you know, just let's pick from DFW to Los Angeles. We may have customers that are coming from a few hundred different potential origins and destinations because they are able to connect. So the way that we work is trying to offer utility and the more places that we can serve in a hub, it's just multiplicative. One more destination that we can tack onto a hub means that we can fly to every other place in that hub and create a new O&D pair.

And so for us, what we offer that no other carriers, outside of the other global hub-and-spoke carriers, can offer is a tremendous amount of origins and destinations facilitated by connections within hubs. So for American, that is something that is, I think, prior to pandemic, it was almost 35,000 O&Ds, and then adding in our partners, it grew to almost 50,000 origins and destinations.

Now, doing that is hard, because you have to facilitate connections and it means that you have to have infrastructure, like a big hub airport, where your gates are used throughout the day in big banks. Everything comes in and everything goes out. It's not a smooth process. And what that means, then, is that you need to have more gates, more people, more resources to actually run that kind of operation than you would if you were a point-to-point carrier, where you're just flying from one point to another, and you don't need things like baggage handling systems, other than to get the bags off of a plane. We need baggage handling systems to connect between planes. We need carts and team and technology that actually can facilitate those transfers of bags. We operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week throughout the world.

Q. So does that result in American having higher cost structure than what we've heard of as the low-cost carriers and the ultra low-cost carriers?

A. So it's a much higher cost structure, and again, it's required, because we're not just flying point-to-point, we're bringing passengers in, allowing them to connect, and we facilitate that.

On top of that, being a full service carrier, and again, one that is trying to attract customers from all aspects of demand, we have things like lounges, and we offer services to customers that are -- we call five star services, where we help customers through airports and on to planes and sometimes transfer, you know, even on the tarmac. We're a full service carrier and then also we have to do things to make sure that we're catering to customers that want just the lowest fares, as well. But in any event, it's a high cost operation. It requires us to appeal to every aspect of demand, and do so in a way that we can still make a profit.

- Q. Do you compete for the same kind of customer that the ULCC, like a Spirit, would compete for?
- A. We absolutely do. So on our planes, as I said, whether it's just the local market passenger, or those passengers that are connecting in our hubs, and whether they're the customers that are sitting up front on our -- domestic, first class seat, or on our flagship services on our 787 aircraft that are flying throughout the world, or our premium economy, we serve customers that are the highest yielding and want to pay for those kind of amenities, all the way to those that

want no frill service and want to just get a seat on a plane.

- Q. We've heard a little bit about the mergers and how they contributed to what the network is today. Just from your perspective, could you just briefly summarize for the Court how the series of airline mergers that occurred between 2008 and 2013 changed the network offerings of the three major airlines?
- A. Well, again, I've worked at US Airways and America West. I've worked at Northwest Airlines, as well. There was after Delta and Northwest merged, Delta and Northwest created the largest airline in the world, and with their network and with their partnerships, they could offer many, many more origins and destinations. They could appeal to customers that were no frills, all the way up to the most premium, and they could do so in a way that was far superior to anything that, again, at the time that I was working at US Airways, that we could offer. That happened first.

A couple years later, or a few years later, United and continental got together. And then American and US Airways had a chance to get together after American's bankruptcy in the 2013/2014 time frame. Each one of those moves was to create a network that was competitive and that was able to offer something on a scale that would attract customers and allow you to make a margin and it did what you were doing.

- Q. So put yourself back in the time when you were at US
 Airways and Delta and Northwest have merged and United and
 Continental have merged. From that perspective at that time,
 did you believe that US Airways would be viable in the long
 run without a merger that would change its network offering?
- A. No, not in the long run. We were a middle sized hub-and-spoke carrier, so it had all the costs that a Delta/Northwest merged carrier would have, but we just didn't have nearly the scale, the number of frequencies to offer. So whether it was, you know, going into a corporate customer and competing against Delta for a deal, in terms of a corporation's travel, or even your presence in terms of just leisure business, we couldn't get people where they wanted to go. We had an inferior product. We had a cost structure that wasn't materially less and it was something that we had to remedy. And fortunately, the merger has worked out well. It's given us a network that is very, very competitive.
- Q. So let's pick up then with that net work. Did you just stand pat after that, or have you tried to build out the network that you inherited from the time of the merger?
- A. So once we've really tried to put all the pieces together, finding out how to expand that network has been a great challenge, and it's what we try to work on every day.

 We -- as I mentioned, the dynamics of a hub-and-spoke system are the bigger the hub that you can create, the more

destinations that you can add, the more appealing it is overall. So we've been spending a tremendous amount of time on making sure that we can take advantage of our biggest engines of, you know, connections, and that really is Dallas Fort Worth. And Charlotte, prior to the merger, we had grown DFW by I think almost 100-plus additional departures, and Charlotte wasn't too different, as well, and so we've spent a lot of time in those areas.

But as well, you know, we've done some things even on a spoke level, as well. So our focus in Austin, for example. And there's many cities throughout the United States where our presence has grown materially.

- Q. I mean, the suggestion has been made in this case that, since the merger, American Airlines hasn't been interested in growth; is that correct?
- A. No, that's not true at all.
- MR. JONES: Objection, leading.
- 18 THE COURT: Sustained as to the form.
- 19 BY MR. WALL:

- Q. Since the merger, is American Airlines interested in growth?
- **A.** We're absolutely interested in growth and we're
 23 interested in growth that can improve profitability and our
 24 offering to our customers.
 - Q. Okay. We also heard a lot about infrastructure

- 1 limitations in various parts of the country, right?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- Q. How does infrastructure limitations affect American strategies with respect to growth?
- Well, throughout the world, you just can't grow where you 5 want to. You have to take into account, you know, all sorts of limitations. So it can be everything from air space, it 7 can be gates. It can be slots. It can be government 9 relations and agreements that have to be worked between different countries, so we have to take all of those into 10 11 account. And there are many places, you know, throughout the world, in which, you know, you just simply -- you just simply 12 13 can't grow.
- 14 Q. So let's take the New York region.
- 15 A. Right.

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What kind of limitations do you have on what organic growth aspirations you might have?
 - A. So New York has been historically problematic, because they're slot controlled and space controlled, air space controlled airports. And in this case, I'm speaking specifically of JFK and LaGuardia. American has a slot portfolio, basically round trips, that we can utilize within JFK of 105 trips, basically. And in LaGuardia of 163. And although it sounds like a lot of flights in the scheme of things, it's not when you're going up against competitors

that in a case like JFK, where Delta would have, you know, 1 double the number of flights, or in the case of like 2 LaGuardia, 50 percent more of the flights, and United operating in New York at about the same level overall as 4 Delta. It puts us at a great disadvantage. 5 And there's really not much that you can do other than utilize what you have there as best as possible. Maybe 7 you can do some up-gauging, which is trying to put larger 8 planes on flights, but even that has limitations. And it has 9 limitations because, you know, even in a place like 10 11 LaGuardia, you know, there's a maximum size of plane that you can put in. 12 Q. So we've heard a lot about this concept of relevance --13 14 THE COURT: How big a plane can you send into LaGuardia. That's separate from the perimeter limitation. 15 THE WITNESS: It's separate from the perimeter. We 16 basically are capped out at a 321, which is about 190 seat 17 18 aircraft. So no wide bodies. 19 THE COURT: THE WITNESS: No wide bodies, the gates don't allow 20 for wide bodies in a place like LaGuardia. 21 THE COURT: Because the buildings can't accommodate 22 23 it or --It's not set up for it. And I'm sure 24 THE WITNESS:

there are other, you know, runway, and also air space issues

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that play into that, as well. But for the most part, our gates are configured to accommodate a maximum of about a 321 aircraft. THE COURT: I see. Okay. Go ahead. MR. WALL: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. WALL: So we've heard a lot about this idea of local relevance. What is the slot disadvantage that you have relative to Delta due to your ability to try to compete with Delta in -- for local passengers in New York? MR. JONES: Objection, Your Honor, to counsel testifying and characterizing the evidence as a predicate to the question. MR. WALL: All I said is we'd heard about it. THE COURT: Overruled for now. Shorter questions are usually better, though. THE WITNESS: Repeat the question. Sorry. BY MR. WALL: So the slot situation that you just talked about, the disadvantage, what, if any, effect does that have on your ability to try to build local relevance in New York? Well, for us, in a place like JFK and LaGuardia, and because of the limitations and what we can fly, we largely bring passengers from the rest of our network to New York.

From a local perspective, we just don't have the number of frequencies and the number of cities to be as attractive to the local customers as would be a Delta or a United. And for that reason, you know, we generally, you know, operate at a pretty big disadvantage when it comes to going and trying to land a corporate customer or appeal to the frequent flyer that flies to a lots of different places in the New York — in the local New York area.

- Q. Thank you, sir. Vasu Raja was here on Friday, and at that time, he described partnership strategies of various kinds. Are you familiar with American Airlines partnerships strategies since Mr. Raja took over?
- **A.** Yes, I am.

- Q. Okay. So can you state your understanding of the partnership strategies that Mr. Raja has been advocating for since he took over that strategy position in 2019?
- A. Sure. You know, since I took on as president of American, we have been working through our strategy with the intent of trying to create more relevance and put more points on the map that we can offer to our customers. Again, that's the nature of the hub-and-spoke and global network airline business model. And to that end, as we've said, you know, we've tried to do things with our -- our hubs and trying to maximize what we can do there, but in terms of partnerships, we have a long history of successful relationships

internationally, which have allowed us to use a concept of, you know, a joint business or alliance in a way that can take care of deficiencies in our network map.

And so over time, I've been working with Vasu on making sure that those areas that we've been historically weak, whether it's New York or up in the Northwest and also in many international locations, that we're taking the steps necessary to build partnerships where we -- where it's impractical for us to do it on our own.

- Q. Are these partnerships intended to be some way for American Airlines to avoid investments?
- MR. JONES: Objection. Leading.
- 13 THE COURT: Sustained as to the form.
- 14 BY MR. WALL:

- Q. Does American have some concept of what justifies investments in organic growth?
 - A. We do.
- **Q.** What is it?
 - A. So, look, we look to invest where we can produce a return. Absolutely. And in terms of investments, you know, overall, American has invested more in our business than any other airline over the last five, six years, since the merger, in every respect. So if you want to talk about aircraft, American has put, you know, \$25 billion-plus in our fleet. If you want to talk about modifications of aircraft,

older aircraft, you know, it's similarly a large -- not quite of the same magnitude, but a very large number.

We've put billions into airports, into lounges and, you know, we've spent where we think we need to invest for the long run.

- Q. Does demand, anticipated demand, have any role in the investment calculus?
- A. Absolutely. It's part of making the case, as we do with all investments, of determining what kind of expenditures you have to make now from a capital perspective, what kind of operating expenses you'll have in the future, and what kind of revenues that that will generate. And having investments that generate revenues that are in excess of the expenses is all is the business we're in.
 - Q. Is there any relationship between partnerships and creating demand for your services?
 - A. Absolutely. Again, from the business model perspective, in and of itself, it's the more points that we can add on to the map, the better off that our network operates. We're making use of investments that are already in place when we have a big hub that we can connect into. And so when we can add into our overall network with relationships, like we've done internationally, it means good things for American.
 - Q. All else equal, if a partnership helps you increase demand for your services, what effect is that going to have

on the likelihood that you will invest in that area?

- A. That's the -- that's the predicate. We absolutely have to have a business case that says, if you're going to add a service, you know, that it will produce a return. So we're constantly on the hunt for opportunities to grow ourselves or to create relationships that will bring more opportunities to tap into customer pools and add destinations to the overall network.
- 9 **Q.** When did you first hear about the possibility of the relationship with JetBlue that became the NEA?
- 11 A. Shortly before the -- the pandemic. So end of 2019.
- 12 Q. And from whom did you hear about it?
- 13 A. From Vasu.

1

2

4

5

7

- 14 Q. And what was your reaction to the idea?
- A. Sounded really intriguing. You know, as he laid it out,
- it was an opportunity to potentially take a -- again, a sub
- par performing aspect of American's network and to turn it
- into something that could be, you know, really, really
- 19 interesting.
- Q. Did he subsequently come back to you with more information about an analysis of it?
- 22 A. Yeah, of course and it's not something that -- like it
- hadn't been done before, so it's a new and interesting idea.
- But that is something that required analysis and just an
- assessment of is it even practical? Can we pull it off? How

```
do you do it? What goes into it? And ultimately, what are
1
     the costs?
 2
     Q. Let's pull up Defense Exhibit 1075A, which is --
 3
 4
               MR. WALL: Did he move this into evidence?
 5
               It was subject to an objection that's been
     resolved. It was a completeness of objection sort of thing,
 6
 7
     so I think this goes in without objection at this point,
     right?
8
 9
               THE COURT: Admitted.
               MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor.
10
11
               THE COURT: All right. Admitted.
                (Defendant Exhibit No. 1075A admitted into
12
13
               evidence.)
     BY MR. WALL:
14
         All right. Are you familiar with this deck, Mr. Isom?
15
     Q.
16
     Α.
          I am.
          Is -- and Project Garland, as we all know, is code name
17
18
     for the NEA, right?
          It is.
19
     Α.
          Okay. And so is this a deck that Mr. Raja presented to
20
     Q.
     you?
21
          It is a deck that he presented to me.
22
23
          All right. Why don't we pull up what is slide 7 in this
     version?
24
25
               MR. WALL: Your Honor, just as a point of
```

- information, when Mr. Raja testified, it was a slightly different deck, it happened to be slide 9. It was the same content, but it was a slightly different slide number.
- 4 BY MR. WALL:

- Q. Do you recall this slide, which is entitled, "A combined network creates a new ability to compete with DL and UA"?
 - A. I do recall this, yes.
 - Q. And what was your reaction to this slide upon seeing it?
 - A. It was a tremendous amount of excitement. It was for me, it was a light bulb, aha. When you take a look at having American and JetBlue that can offer services that would be on par with and, you know, really, really competitive with Delta and United, it was it was a fantastic moment. Because we had been struggling for a long time figuring out how do we change our relevancy in the New York area.
 - Q. So what is the investment that is required by American to make that happen?
 - A. Really, it's one of, you know, a will between American and JetBlue. But ultimately, it's creating a seamless experience. You can't -- you can put on paper and say, hey, here's nonstop markets from one and the other, and here's the number of seats and it all looks good on paper. But if you can't do something that then, you know, makes a compelling product, where a customer says, oh, I really want to fly on you and I don't view a lot of -- I don't view a hassle in

terms of working between you, then that is something that is, you know, real. But that seamlessness is -- you know, it's hard to bring about. You can't have, you know, long connections. You can't have, you know, customers that are confused about how to use the product. You have to make sure technology is linked together.

So it's hard, but it's not something that is impossible. It doesn't cost, you know, billions of dollars to bring about.

- Q. How does it compare to the cost of a merger?
- A. Oh, well, there's no comparison at all. A merger, as we talked a little bit earlier, is one in which you're spending hundreds of millions of dollars, if not billions of dollars to bring things together. And as I said, it's everything from creating dispatch centers to putting your people on joint contracts to changing branding, even putting employees in the same uniforms. It's there's it's not the same magnitude. It's not the same scale.
- Q. Did you ultimately make a decision about whether American Airlines should go forward with the NEA?
- A. We did. And again, on the basis that for the -- because of the alignment of interests and because of the fact that we can pull this off. And if we get the seamlessness right, this is something that is truly a competitor to Delta and United, and strengthens American overall.

- **Q.** Do you believe that a simple codesharing relationship with JetBlue could have achieved the benefits of the Northeast Alliance?
- A. No, it wouldn't. And look, I've been in the airline business a long time, going back all the way to the '90s, and I've been involved in codeshares at America West, Northwest, US Airways, and it's not even the same -- the same realm. Codeshares can provide some benefit, but it's randomness.

You know, in this case, the real key is --

Q. What do you mean by randomness?

A. Well, you take a look at a schedule, even on this chart, you take a look at a schedule on a codeshare basis, you may be able to provide a connection, or you may not be able to. You may not actually working together to put together a schedule, where you're trying to serve different markets and allow different connections to be made.

So in the NEA, what we're doing is we're working together on scheduling to make sure that we're able to offer services to places where people want to go in a timely fashion, not just, hey, it may happen on a random basis or you may get lucky. This is one where we sit down and say, you know what, we can have a schedule to Austin in this case that really appeals to a business customer. They can go out in the morning, and they can get back at night or they have a flight to get back midday.

Internationally, working together on scheduling allows us to create a connection to, you know, a place like Amsterdam, where, you know, if you didn't have -- if you weren't able to work on scheduling together, you may be able to get somebody from JFK or a connecting point in -- or rather, a connecting point in, but you may have to make them wait for an inordinate amount of time to be able to actually pull that off, which means you wouldn't be competitive with a Delta or United.

- Q. So you make the decision to go forward with the NEA.

 What has been your involvement in the NEA implementations?
 - A. Well, it's just at this time, I was president of the company, but overseeing commercial and operations aspects. So you know, it's definitely giving support, enthusiasm, and approving investments where required, but the biggest thing is here, you know, for us, is can you operate it? Can you make it seamless? And can you actually, for the customer, give them a product that they value and that they view as competitive with what Delta or United offers. And in that case, it's been to make sure that that happens.
 - Q. You've been involved in some of the implementation side of it, you're saying?
 - A. I have been, yes.

Q. So Mr. Jones talked to you earlier about a document. I
don't recall the number right off, but it doesn't matter,

- where a board member wanted to talk to you about some
- 2 questions about whether the NEA was going to work. Do you
- 3 recall that?
- 4 **A.** Ido.
- 5 Q. And in that e-mail, you make a reference that you're
- 6 about to visit New York. Do you recall that?
- 7 **A.** I do.
- 8 Q. Do you remember why you were going to visit New York on
- 9 that occasion?
- 10 A. Well, it's my own feeling about the NEA is that it works
- based on, you know, the seamlessness of the service. Our
- board absolutely amplified that concern, and so my interest
- was going -- my interest is actually from a hands-on
- 14 perspective, making sure that that's actually something that
- happens, and that the teams are aligned between American and
- JetBlue, and that we're working on creating a product that
- customers view as very, very easy to use.
- 18 Q. You came up from the operations side of the business,
- 19 right?
- 20 **A.** I did, yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. So what happened when you went to New York on that
- 22 occasion with respect to the NEA?
- A. Well, again, it's not just about checking in and seeing
- 24 how things are progressing. It's actually going and, for me,
- getting a feel of how things are working. So I wanted to go

and see JFK. I wanted to actually walk the airport, walk the baggage systems, walk the connections that the customers would have to take. And that's exactly what I did.

Again, I've been in the airline business a long time, so you wanted to understand how the check-in process for a customer would work, how it would work for a customer to clear security, how it would work for customers to pick up their bags, how it would work for customers to transfer between American and JetBlue.

And one of the things you find is that we're operating out of different terminals, and it's something that, you know, at least based on my view, would have been — would be really problematic. And so I asked for a number of things, namely a secure side of the airport bus to be put in place that would create a connecting experience that would be similar to any other carrier or any other place in our system.

Q. So the bus came from you?

A. The bus came from me, because -- well, the connecting opportunity without a bus in JFK would have been actually exiting RT 8 and then having to catch a train to JFK, go to JetBlue's facility outside of security, clear security, go in. And what you would ultimately end up with is a connecting time that would be, you know, 40 minutes-plus in terms of just getting from one point in the airport to where

you want to connect to. And what that does, though, is it adds time to the overall itinerary, and ultimately makes an experience that customers say, well, this is a hassle, so I just won't do it.

And in seeing that, I asked the team if there are other ways. You know, clearly, you can't walk it, but are there ways that we can keep the passengers on the secure side of the airport, so they don't have to leave security and then come back through, and actually make it to a connecting point with JetBlue in a timely fashion. A bus was rumored to have been a hard thing to accomplish, but we found a way to be able to do it, in a way that's very comfortable, it's branded, and it allows customers to make a connection or get from one place to another in a reasonable amount of time.

- Q. Have there been other seam issues with the NEA?
- A. There have been. It's -- look, trying to make all products, you know, exactly the same is hard. And we'll probably never get through all of the seams. But the vast majority of them, like connections, like being recognized as a frequent flyer, you know, things like, you know, upgrades and the experience that you get, both during the travel and after the travel experience, those are things that we're working on, and I know that that's where our attention is, and I know that we're going to address the vast majority of them.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Now, having said that, is there any part of the NEA that
requires JetBlue to change its business model or hard product
or overall business philosophies?
    No. JetBlue operates the aircraft that they want to
operate, we operate the aircraft that we want, we operate the
configurations that we want. We put the aircraft and the
amenities that we have to work. You know, JetBlue has a
different philosophy when it comes to in-flight entertainment
than we do. You know, they're overall product and product
experience is different. We have lounges in our business
model, but we don't require them to do any of that. What is
important is having a schedule and an experience as you
travel that is, again, easy to use, and that's where we spend
our time and attention.
         MR. WALL: Thank you, sir. No more questions.
          THE COURT: I think we'll take a break now. Do you
have -- what do you have?
         MR. JONES:
                     Your Honor, I will have some redirect,
so I think now is a great time for a break.
          THE COURT: Okay. We'll break now. Stand in
recess.
          (Court in recess at 11:09 a.m.
          and reconvened at 11:24 a.m.)
          THE COURT: Please be seated. Ready to go,
Mr. Jones?
```

MR. JONES: Yes, sir, I am.

THE COURT: Go ahead. 2

REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF USA

BY MR. JONES:

1

3

4

5

7

8

18

20

21

22

23

24

- Mr. Isom, in your testimony with Mr. Wall, you claim that the integration from the US Airways/American merger, for approximately five years, adversely affected the airline's ability to grow. Do you recall that?
- I recall that we spent a lot of time and attention to 9 integrate and that had an impact on other -- other 10 priorities. 11
- For about five years after the merger, right? 12
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 Q. Is it fair to say that US Airways, at the actual time of the US Airways/American merger claimed that it would be able 15 to grow immediately upon completing that merger? 16
- I don't recall. Α. 17
- Is it fair to say that US Airways claimed that the merger Q. 19 would promote growth upon its completion?
 - I know that, at the time of the merger, we talked about putting our networks together, and that that would facilitate many, many more opportunities for customers to fly. And that that would ultimately enable us to create a foundation on which to grow.
 - Q. But you don't recall, do you, any claims by US Airways

- that it would take five years before the combined company could begin any significant growth after the merger, right?
- A. No, I don't recall that.
- Q. Now, you testified in your conversation with Mr. Wall
 that the company needed to obtain a single operating
 certificate to have the two airlines operate together under a
 single brand. Do you recall that?
 - A. Yes, I do.

- Q. But it's fair to say, isn't it, that you didn't need a single operating certificate to continue to grow the combined airline, though, right?
 - A. Again, the merger facilitated putting the networks together, but there is the ability to actually take advantage of, you know, being able to schedule aircraft all as part of one network, to use team members all as part of one network requires a lot of steps to get through that. So yes, you can operate as two quasi distinct airlines, but until you get reservation systems, until you get single operating certificate, until you get your team members all on the same team, all on the same contracts and work policies and procedures, you're operating in a very inefficient manner.
 - Q. But it's true, isn't it, that you didn't need a single operating certificate to continue to grow the airline after you consummated the merger, right?
- A. Well, it would have been really hard. You could have

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

done that in pieces, but it would have been suboptimal, because what has to happen is, you know, in the case of US Airways, we had US Airways planes. US Airways planes could only be flown by US Airways team members. American planes can only be flown by American's airlines team members. Even in the airports, the people that handled the planes for US Airways could only handle US Airways planes and vice versa. So to actually take advantage of the gates being put together, having fleets that you wanted to actually route on each other's networks, it took all of that to be done before you could really do it. So the answer is, yes, you could have continued to operate as two separate airlines, but the real value comes in in bringing those together, not just from a marketing perspective and, you know, adding, you know, points on a map, but actually putting them together in a schedule that you can operate in a fashion that's efficient and that allows for, you know, a lot of customer benefit that comes from that.

Q. And you could have grown without a single operating certificate, right?

MR. WALL: Asked and answered, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. JONES: I'll move on.

THE COURT: You could do -- I understand the point

and you've described well the complications of coming

together. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Right. 3 THE COURT: But the day after the merger, you could take the two teams that were separate, the American Airlines 4 schedule team and the US Air schedule team, and even though 5 the planes were going to be operated differently and all of that, those two people could get together the day after and 7 optimize the schedule, to the extent they could within all 8 9 the other constraints that are limiting them. THE WITNESS: That's absolutely right. And it 10 11 would have largely looked at like two distinct airlines that were cooperating on pricing and scheduling. 12 13 THE COURT: But that would lead to potential --14 like you could not have a US Air flight fee to international long-haul route that American flew --15 THE WITNESS: You can change that schedule. 16 may be located at a different part of the airport here in 17 18 Boston, for example. We operate at a different --19 THE COURT: So you might have security connections, because even if you could time it, or the timing might not be 20 so great because of those issues. 21 22 THE WITNESS: Exactly. 23 THE COURT: I see. Okay. Go ahead. 24 25 BY MR. JONES:

- Q. Let me turn to a different topic, Mr. Isom.
- Now, you testified in your conversation with
- 3 Mr. Wall, that American competes for all the customers that
- 4 ULCCs compete for; is that right?
- 5 A. That -- we offer a product that is competitive in all
- 6 respects, yes.
- 7 Q. Isn't it the case, though, that ULCCs don't actually
- 8 compete for all the customers that American actually serves,
- 9 right?

- 10 A. Well, I can't -- I can't speak to the ULCCs, but I do
- 11 know that just their product with, you know, not offering a
- first class or not being able to fly internationally or not
- offering lounges, I know they have product limitations that
- 14 get in the way with that, and they don't have the network
- that we do to compete in the same way.
- 16 Q. So, for example, you would agree, wouldn't you, that
- 17 ULCCs generally don't compete for business travelers, right?
- 18 A. It -- it -- it depends. And in terms of drawing
- 19 distinctions between business and leisure passengers,
- 20 business passengers are leisure passengers, too. And so, you
- 21 know, I have an interest and I know ULCCs do, too, as well,
- is to attract and retain that kind of loyalty from whoever
- 23 they're pursuing. So I like to -- I like to create loyalty
- 24 among American Airlines customers no matter where they want
- 25 to fly, leisure, business, low cost -- cheaper tickets or

more expensive.

- Q. Let me ask it a different way to get at what I think you're saying here. ULCCs generally don't compete for travelers traveling for business; is that right?
- A. I can't say that.
- Q. ULCCs generally don't compete for passengers who want to fly first class; is that right?
 - A. They don't have a first class product.
 - Q. So they're not competing for those folks, right?
- **A.** They may be competing for those folks in terms of
 11 flying -- customers fly for all sorts of reasons and I'll
 12 just state this --

THE COURT: I think he means for the customers who are flying a flight where they want to fly first class, are the ULCCs competing with you on that flight?

THE WITNESS: The answer is --

THE COURT: Like the finance people who want to fly LA from New York, and they want to lie flat.

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if they were only choosing to fly lie flat, but if they're flying based on, hey, I need to be there at a certain point of day, okay, or you know, I have to fly to a specific city, then we're absolutely all competing for the same. I think it's really hard to draw distinction to say that a business traveler is only flying for that lie flat seat, but absolutely, if that's

- the only distinguishing factor, that they don't have that
- 2 product to offer.
- 3 BY MR. JONES:
- 4 Q. And to be clear, Mr. Isom, I'm asking -- or I was asking
- in the last question about passengers who want to travel
- 6 first class. Those aren't passengers you are competing
- 7 against the ULCCs for, correct?
- 8 A. Passengers that only have a desire to fly first class, I
- 9 would agree with you on that.
- 10 Q. Now, JetBlue, though, does compete for all of those
- categories of customers that ULCCs actually compete for; is
- 12 that right?
- 13 A. I can't speak to JetBlue's strategies, but JetBlue I know
- is -- I believe that JetBlue is competing for any business
- that they can get, as well.
- Q. Now, in your -- in your testimony with Mr. Wall, you
- described your airline's historic cost structures. Do you
- recall that, generally?
- 19 **A.** I do. Yes.
- 20 Q. Now, part of that testimony related to the creating of
- 21 connectivity banks at your hubs, right?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. And you have higher cost because of the connectivity
- banks at your hubs. Is that fair?
- 25 | A. It's because we have -- we offer a complex product; it's

- because we pay our people, generally, more; and it's because we don't have as great a utilization of our assets on, kind of, a per-flight basis. And many other factors, as well.
- Q. Well, let me -- let me put it another way. You have higher costs because of your connectivity banks than, say, a point-to-point carrier. Is that fair?
- 7 A. That is fair. It takes more to create a connecting hub 8 than it does to put just a single point-to-point flight in.
- Q. And it's also fair to say, isn't it, that part of the goal of the NEA is to have JetBlue coordinate with American's connectivity banks, right?
- 12 A. That's true.
- Q. That's the schedule optimization you were talking about, right?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Now, that will also have the effect of raising JetBlue's costs, won't it?
- 18 MR. SCHWED: Objection. Foundation.
- MR. JONES: Your Honor, they're business partners.

 They talk about the schedule optimization. So this is just a
- question going to the potential impact of that.
- MR. SCHWED: He's asking about JetBlue's costs.
- There have been plenty of JetBlue witnesses that they could ask about JetBlue costs.
- THE COURT: Yeah. I'm not sure -- he does know a

- lot about the airline industry, but I think you need at least a foundation question related to him in that or what you mean.
- 4 MR. JONES: Yes, sir.
- 5 BY MR. JONES:
- Q. So you've already testified, right, Mr. Isom, that your costs are higher than point-to-point carriers, in part because of the connectivity banks, right?
- 9 A. Yes. Uh-huh.
- Q. And before the NEA, you would characterize JetBlue -- you would have characterized JetBlue as a point-to-point carrier;
- is that right?
- 13 A. They're a low cost carrier. They -- again, I can't speak
- to JetBlue and JetBlue's strategy, but they run
- point-to-point operations I know and they have I know
- connecting operations that they have, as well.
- Q. So isn't it fair to say that one point of the NEA is to
- have that -- that connectivity with American's flights,
- 19 right?
- 20 A. That's important, yes.
- 21 Q. And that's going to move more of JetBlue's operations
- from the point-to-point category to the -- to the hub
- 23 category, right?
- 24 A. I can't speak to that.
- MR. SCHWED: Objection, foundation.

THE COURT: Overruled. He's answered, anyway. 1 THE WITNESS: I can't speak to it. I don't know 2 3 how, you know, ultimately, in JetBlue's broad network, I don't know how specific movements are going to, you know, 4 potentially impact their cost structure. And I can't speak 5 to if that means that they have to -- I know that they can't add gates and I know that they can't -- it is difficult for 7 me to be able to speak on impact to their cost structure. 8 BY MR. JONES: 9 Well, let me ask you, then, Mr. Isom, do you have an 10 11 understanding of whether any point-to-point carrier, moving from that model to a connecting hub model, would have its 12 cost raised by making that type of move? 13 I -- it's -- we're talking --14 THE COURT: I'm not sure I -- I'm sorry. 15 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: That's okay. But they're not -- I 17 think the answer -- if you're asking the question if JetBlue 18 19 changed from a point to a point model, to JetBlue operating on a hub-and-spoke model akin to what American, Delta, and 20 United seem to operate, I think his answer, from what I 21 already hear, he'd likely say that does increase the cost 22 23 structure, because he's already testified that hub-and-spoke is more, but this is not exactly the same as that. 24

MR. JONES: It's not, Your Honor, and I can move

on. I'm just trying to get an answer as to what effect, if any, he sees on JetBlue's costs by moving to that model closer to American by engaging in the schedule optimization.

THE COURT: But I think it would have to be tailored to sort of how they did the joint venture, not more generally the difference between a cost structure of a point-to-point versus a hub and spoke.

MR. JONES: I can move on, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

10 BY MR. JONES:

1

2

4

5

7

9

- Q. Let me -- let me ask you, Mr. Isom, if you can turn in your binder back to -- sorry, somewhere here. Let me ask you to turn back to PX320.
- 14 **A.** Got it.
 - Q. And let me know when you've found that.
- 16 **A.** PX320. I have it.
- 17 **Q.** Okay.
- MR. JONES: Oops, I'm sorry, not -- let's take down 320, actually.
- 20 BY MR. JONES:
- Q. You were asked about American -- American's competitors growing faster than American and you testified that you were upset about that fact. Is that a fair characterization?
- A. I don't know if I said upset about it. I know that it's a factor that is definitely worth considering, as we take a

- look at, you know, the longer term competitiveness of American Airlines.
 - Q. Now, that was a factor worth considering because your competitors were growing faster, while American exercised capacity discipline; is that right?
- A. It's -- it is true that our competitors were growing faster. Yes.
- 8 Q. While American was exercising capacity discipline, right?
- 9 **A.** While American was being mindful of where we placed our resources.
- 11 Are you speaking of a specific document?
- 12 Q. I'm asking generally right now, sir.
- A. Generally, American, we put our time and attention
 towards those things that are most important to American at
 that time, and our competition was outgrowing us. And that
 is something that is a factor that we take into account as we
 look forward and something that I'm concerned about because I
- Q. Now, you -- you testified during Mr. Wall's examination about the importance of seamlessness.

want to make sure that American is competitive.

21 **A.** Yes.

18

4

- 22 **Q.** Do you recall that?
- 23 **A.** I do.
- Q. Now, is it fair to say that seamlessness is similar to

 JetBlue and American operating like a single airline in the

NEA airports?

A. It's being able to offer an experience that's very easy to use and for the kind of points that are most important to customers, very easy to use.

So an example would be when an American, you know, customer is flying on a JetBlue service, you know, they would expect the potential to be upgraded or to choose their seat or to be potentially recognized from a frequent flyer perspective. Those are things that are important to American's customers and those are things that we talked to JetBlue about in making sure that we can deliver as part of the NEA.

- Q. So that's looking to that customer, like a single airline, at least in those four airports; is that right?
- A. Again, JetBlue is going to price differently. They are going to offer a product in many respects that is different. But in terms of when you're an American customer and you get the benefits of, you know, the admiral's club lounges, you'll continue to get those when you fly through JFK and you transfer, that there's an easy connection. When you get on to a JetBlue plane, you know how you're going to be treated in terms of upgrades and recognition. And you also know that in terms of loyalty and the points that you earn and loyalty programs, those are all the things that I think are important. So it really is, you know, the amenities and the

- service itself, as opposed to anything else.
- Q. And the amenities and services themselves appearing for that customer, like JetBlue, and American, are a single
- airline in those four airports, right, Mr. Isom?
- 5 A. No, that's not true. We have our own gates, we have our
- 6 own planes. Again, we have our own services that make us
- 7 distinct, but for those American customers that are flying on
- 8 JetBlue, they know what kind of service that they're going to
- get, and on those most important aspects, again, those things
- that, you know, are ease of use, and you know, quality of
- service, those are things that our customers going to
- receive. It doesn't mean every single last element. We're
- clearly not trying to merge our carriers or trying to make,
- 14 you know, JetBlue identical to American.
- Q. You spoke with Mr. Wall a bit about JFK and using your
- assets efficiently at JFK. Do you recall that testimony
- 17 generally?

- 18 **A.** I do.
- 19 Q. One aspect of using your assets efficiently at JFK would
- be using your slots efficiently, right, Mr. Isom?
- 21 **A.** Absolutely. Right.
- 22 **Q.** And one way to use your slots efficiently would be to
- keep an accurate track count of the slots you had at JFK,
- 24 right?
- 25 **A.** We -- yes.

```
MR. JONES: I pass the witness, Your Honor.
1
                THE COURT: All right.
 2
 3
               MR. WALL: Nothing further, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much,
 4
     Mr. Isom, you're excused.
 5
                THE WITNESS: I appreciate it.
 6
                THE COURT: Have a good day.
 7
               Next witness.
 8
               MR. JONES: Next witness, Your Honor, is Scott
 9
     Laurence of American Airlines. And Ms. Riggs will do the
10
11
     examination for the Department of Justice.
                THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
12
13
               Mr. Laurence, if you just remain standing for a
     minute while the clerk administers the oath.
14
15
                (The witness was duly sworn.)
                THE COURT: Please be seated.
16
                              SCOTT LAURENCE
17
              having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
18
19
             DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF USA
     BY MS. RIGGS:
20
          Good morning, Mr. Laurence.
21
     Q.
     A. Good morning.
22
          Mr. Laurence, you currently work at American Airlines?
23
     Α.
          I do.
24
25
                THE COURT: Ms. Riggs, can you pull one of the
```

- 1 microphones to you? That will help.
- MS. RIGGS: Yes.
- THE COURT: Thank you.
- 4 BY MS. RIGGS:
- Q. And you are the senior vice president of partnership
- 6 strategy since March 2022?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- Q. You report to Mr. Vasu Raja, the chief commercial officer
- 9 at American?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. But during the time that American and JetBlue were
- negotiating the Northeast Alliance, you were employed by
- 13 JetBlue, correct?
- 14 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 15 Q. And you joined JetBlue in 2008?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 **Q.** You worked in the network planning department?
- 18 A. When I joined, yes. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And network planning refers to development of the routes
- and schedules that JetBlue flies?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. Your last position at JetBlue was head of revenue and
- 23 planning?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. And as head of revenue and planning, you were responsible

- 1 for network planning?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And revenue management?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. And partnerships with other airlines?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 Q. And you interacted daily with JetBlue's CEO, Robin Hayes,
- 8 right?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And you were prominently involved in the Northeast
- 11 Alliance from its conception?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. You were JetBlue's lead negotiator for the NEA?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you negotiated directly with Vasu Raja from
- 16 American Airlines?
- 17 A. Vasu and others.
- 18 Q. You signed the Northeast Alliance agreements for JetBlue?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. And you were responsible for JetBlue's implementation of
- 21 the NEA until you left?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And just briefly, David Fintzen is the vice president of
- 24 Northeast Alliance for JetBlue?
- 25 THE COURT: I'm sorry, what was that name?

```
David --
 1
               MS. RIGGS: Fintzen.
 2
 3
                THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, David Fintzen.
     BY MS. RIGGS:
 4
          Mr. Fintzen reported to you?
 5
     Q.
     Α.
          Yes.
          And then you resigned from JetBlue in January of 2022?
 7
     Q.
         That's correct, yes.
 8
     Α.
     Q. And you joined Delta?
         Yes, Delta Air Lines.
10
     Α.
11
     Q.
         At Delta, you were the vice president of network
     planning?
12
13
     Α.
          Yes.
14
     Q. And you reported to Joe Esposito?
     Α.
15
         Yes.
         Your responsibilities included working on Delta's network
16
     plan for 2030?
17
18
     Α.
          Yes.
          And just backing up for a moment, when you resigned from
19
     Q.
     JetBlue, you also spoke with Mr. Raja at American Airlines,
20
     correct?
21
          After I had resigned from JetBlue, before that
22
23
     information was public, I did speak to Mr. Raja.
                THE COURT: I'm sorry, when did you resign from
24
```

JetBlue?

- THE WITNESS: In January of 2021 -- I'm sorry,
- 2 2022. Excuse me.
- 3 BY MS. RIGGS:
- Q. And you spoke to Mr. Raja by phone within 24 hours of your resignation?
- A. That sounds right. It might have been 48 hours, but it was --
- Q. Mr. Raja told you in that conversation that there would always be a position for you at American?
- 10 A. I don't remember exactly how he put it, but he did
 11 indicate that there would be a position at American. I think
 12 he -- the term he used was consider it a standing offer.
- Q. And in fact, you resigned from Delta after working there for about a month, correct?
- 15 A. That's correct. About four weeks.
- Q. And you joined Mr. Raja at American Airlines?
- A. I resigned from Delta, and then there was a period of time, and then I joined American.
- Q. And you didn't have to interview for your job at
- 20 American, correct?
- 21 A. I spoke to a number of people at American: Mr. Raja,
- 22 Mr. Kerr, Mr. Isom, Ms. Brown.
- Q. I guess, let me rephrase. There was no formal interview
- 24 process for your job at American?
- 25 A. I think each of those conversations I considered to be

```
similar to an interview.
1
     Q. And Mr. Laurence, you took a deposition in this case in
 2
 3
     2022, correct?
 4
     Α.
          Yes.
         And you were under oath at the time?
 5
     Α.
         Yes.
 6
 7
          If you could turn in your binder, there's a separate
     deposition transcript binder on top, to the June 2022
 8
     deposition, to page 60. And I'll just read from lines 2 to
 9
     3. Ouestion --
10
                THE COURT: Hold on.
11
                MS. RIGGS: Are you there?
12
13
                THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, which lines?
14
     BY MS. RIGGS:
          It's page 60, lines 2 to 3.
15
     Q.
16
     Α.
          Okay.
          "And did you interview at American?
17
     Q.
18
                "ANSWER:
                         No."
19
                And that was your testimony at the time, correct?
          I'm sorry, I'm just looking for the --
20
     Α.
          We're at the 2022 deposition.
21
     Q.
     Α.
          I'm sorry?
22
23
                THE COURT: Second tab.
                THE WITNESS:
                              Second tab.
24
25
                THE COURT: In the deposition binder.
```

```
THE WITNESS:
 1
                              Sorry.
                THE COURT: That's all right.
 2
 3
                THE WITNESS:
                              Page?
                THE COURT:
                            60.
 4
     BY MS. RIGGS:
 5
          Are you there?
     0.
 7
     Α.
          Yes.
     Q. And so lines 2 to 3 read.
 9
                "QUESTION: And did you interview at American?
                "ANSWER: No."
10
11
                And that was your testimony at the time?
          It was, but my testimony also continues similar to what I
12
13
     just testified to; that I spoke to Ms. Brown, Mr. Kerr, and
14
     Mr. Isom about that. So as we go from 2 to 3, the context is
     actually there in lines 6 and 7.
15
     Q. All right. And as senior vice president of partnership
16
     strategy, you have responsibility for American Airlines's
17
18
     alliances with other airlines, right?
19
     Α.
          Yes.
               Are we done with the --
20
          Yes. You can set that aside.
21
     Q.
                The NEA is part of your responsibilities at
22
     American Airlines?
23
     Α.
          It is.
24
     Q. But you don't currently engage with JetBlue outwardly on
25
```

- 1 the Northeast Alliance, correct?
- 2 A. That's correct.
 - Q. But you do plan to later this year; is that right?
- 4 A. That was the plan, that as I left JetBlue, it was a bit
- awkward. And we talked about the potential for me to join.
- 6 We talked later in the year, maybe the fall, to be the
- outward facing person. We really haven't discussed it, given
- 8 all of the -- all of the work around the trial.
- 9 Q. And Mr. Laurence, I'll shift topics now to discussing
- 10 your time at JetBlue, before the Northeast Alliance was
- 11 established.
- 12 As head of revenue and planning at JetBlue, you
- were involved in deciding which airports JetBlue should
- 14 serve?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. And you would have been involved in what routes JetBlue
- should serve from those airports?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. And JetBlue grew substantially from your time in 2008 to
- 20 2022 when you left, correct?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. And you played an integral role in that growth, right?
- 23 A. I mean, I quess JetBlue's a growth company. I was able
- 24 to take part in that.
- 25 Q. And at the end of 2019, JetBlue pubically announced

- planned capacity growth of five-and-a-half to seven-and-a-half percent for 2022 -- or 2020, sorry?
- A. I'm sorry, the dates again? 2019. We talked about 2020
- 4 being five-and-a-half to seven-and-a-half, is that your --
- 5 **Q.** Yes.
- A. That sounds correct.
- Q. And Boston is one of the cities JetBlue grew substantially in during your tenure at JetBlue?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. You're familiar with the term "Boston 200"?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And Boston 200 was a JetBlue goal to reach 200 flights a day operating at Boston-Logan Airport?
- 14 A. 200 peak flights during the year, yes.
- Q. And Boston 200 was a publically announced goal prior to

 JetBlue ever considering the Northeast Alliance, right?
- 17 **A.** Yes.

- 18 Q. So if we could turn now to the creation of the --
- THE COURT: I'm sorry, 200 peak flights in a day?
- 20 What does that mean?
- THE WITNESS: So what it means, sir, is during the

year, the flight schedule would go up and down. And so 200

- would be the peak of the peak. So if it were a Wednesday in
- February, we hit 200 flights day, our goal would be
- associated with the most flights that we flew during the

year, so it would be get to a peak of 200.

THE COURT: On the busiest day, 200 in that day?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

THE COURT: All right. Got it.

Go ahead.

BY MS. RIGGS:

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

22

- Q. I'd like to turn now to focus on the creation of the Northeast Alliance, and the initial discussions over American and JetBlue entering into a potential alliance, were between you and Mr. Raja at American Airlines, correct?
- 11 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And then in April of 2020, American and JetBlue decided to form a clean team?
- 14 **A.** We did decide to form a clean team. The date sounds correct to me.
- Q. And briefly, what is a clean team?
- A. The clean team was a concept that our legal departments
 proposed to us that would allow a more detailed examination
 of some of the concepts that we were talking about, to be
 performed by people who were familiar with the strategy, but
 did not have sort of a day job within network planning.
 - O. And the clean team was tasked with --
- 23 **A.** I'm sorry and just and then the goal was then to have sort of a more detailed build-out of what the strategy would

look like, and then have those people return to their day

- jobs where they could otherwise sort of not affect planning, if the information that was exchanged you know, they had information about American that probably American would not want to know, and would not want them, our network planning team to know, and vice versa.
 - Q. And that's because American and JetBlue network planners wouldn't share information of their competitor teams with each other, right?
- **A.** I don't think it would be in our interest to share that type of information with American.
- Q. You're talking about the time that you were at JetBlue, that was the context of your answer, right? You said we --
 - A. Yes, I apologize. I think as we were talking about the clean team, so the context of that time, that period of time.
 - Q. Thank you. And the clean team was tasked with putting together a comprehensive plan for what a JetBlue American partnership would look like under the Northeast Alliance?
 - A. I'm not sure I would term it a comprehensive plan. It was a plan that was more detailed than, again, the concepts that were being discussed, so we're talking about concepts, the clean team would bring data to those concepts and attempt to analyze, perform analysis around that.
 - Q. The clean team created a joint network schedule?
- **A.** Yes.

25 Q. And the joint network schedule included what routes to

fly?

- 2 A. Yes, by its nature it would.
- Q. And it would have included how many flights for each
- 4 route?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- Q. The joint network schedule included what size airplanes would fly?
- A. I don't think it was a fully fleeted schedule. In other words, the complexity of fleeting a schedule, so I think they approximated the aircraft that would fly.
- 11 Q. They approximated aircraft gauge to go into the schedule?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And the purpose of the joint network schedule was to
- identify routes that JetBlue and American could serve for the
- Northeast Alliance, correct?
- 16 A. Well, I think it was correct, but it was also to make
- sure that, again, the concepts would fit together once we had
- 18 the detailed information.
- 19 Q. Okay. And you provided guidance to the clean team for
- JetBlue, correct?
- 21 A. I provided them sort of general guidance, sort of a
- vision of what I thought the NEA could look like and asked
- them to work and analyze based upon sort of those very
- 24 general guidelines.
- Q. And let's turn in your binder, the big one underneath the

- 1 transcripts, to PX752. And this is in evidence already.
- MS. RIGGS: You can also pull it up on the screen.
- 3 BY MS. RIGGS:
- Q. This is an e-mail that David Fintzen sent to Tracy Lawlor and you, dated April 23, 2020?
- A. Yes, I'm on the CC of the e-mail.
- Q. And Ms. Lawlor was then the chief strategy business development officer at JetBlue?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. Mr. Fintzen is attaching a Project Connie scope document?
- 11 I'm just looking at the attachment.
- 12 A. I'm sorry. It notes it's a page in the e-mail, but I see
- a document here attached.
- 14 Q. And Project Connie is JetBlue's code name for the
- 15 Northeast Alliance?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. So the attachment is provided first in black and white,
- and then the native Powerpoint in color. I think it's easier
- to look at the color version, so if you could turn to page 5
- of the color version, which is entitled "Project Connie
- 21 Initial Proposal Review." Do you see that?
- 22 A. I'm sorry. I'm just getting the color version.
- THE COURT: You can also look at the screen, if you
- 24 prefer.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

- I'm sorry, can you ask the question again? 1 BY MS. RIGGS:
- Just you see that it's titled "Project Connie Initial
- 4 Proposal Review"?
- A. Yes, I do. 5

- Q. And this slide outlines American's initial proposal to 7 JetBlue for Project Connie, right?
- I would not characterize it as American's proposal. I 8 think it was the joint sort of outcome or proposal. So I 9
- think when it's referencing a proposal, it's the proposal 10
- 11 from the joint clean team.
- Okay. I'm just going off of the second line, which 12
- 13 is, "JetBlue clean team received an initial proposal with the
- below terms outlined for review." 14
- 15 Do you see that?
- T do. 16 Α.
- And the first bullet reads, "Includes all AA and B6 17
- 18 flying into/out of JFK, LaGuardia, and Boston airports."
- 19 Do you see that?
- Α. I do. 20
- And so the initial proposal does not include Newark 21
- airport, correct? 22
- 23 The initial clean team proposal does not. Α.
- And the fourth bullet down reads, "Metal neutral revenue 24 Q.
- share based on proportionate share of capacity (determined at 25

```
city-level.)"
```

- 2 A metal physical neutral revenue share means that
- 3 American would sell a JetBlue flight as if it were an
- 4 American flight and vice versa?
- 5 A. Um, in effect, yes.
- Q. And if we skip ahead two slides to page 7, this is entitled, "Project Connie value drivers for the network."
- 8 Do you see that?
- 9 **A.** I do.
- 10 Q. And there are four types of markets listed in the column
- on this page. The left-most column is titled "Overlap"
- Markets," and underneath, for example, it reads, "Markets
- which directly overlap, for example, JFK-San Francisco and
- Boston-Los Angeles"; is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes, I read that, as well.
- Q. And these are markets where both American and JetBlue fly
- 17 nonstop?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. And the slide says there are 40 such routes?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. And if you look at the bottom row to estimated value for
- 22 American and JetBlue's overlap markets, it says "TBD," and
- 23 then in parenthesis "Likely highest." You agree with that,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. Um, I'm not sure if I agree with it or not. I don't have

- enough to -- I don't think there's enough on this page to sort of be able to agree with that.
 - Q. Would you -- would your memory be refreshed if we turn to your deposition transcript?
- 5 A. Sure.

8

9

10

11

Q. This is the June 2021 deposition. So it should be the first tab in your binder.

THE COURT: First tab.

MS. RIGGS: And we'll go to page 147.

THE WITNESS: 147?

MS. RIGGS: Yes.

- 12 BY MS. RIGGS:
- Q. And if you want to just read silently to yourself lines
- 14 20, to page 148, line 2.
- 15 **A.** Okay.
- Q. Does that refresh your recollection, Mr. Laurence?
- 17 A. It does.
- Q. And so the estimated value for American and JetBlue's
- overlap markets says "Likely highest." Do you agree with
- 20 that?
- 21 A. I do. Although, again, I would sort of highlight the
- 22 context in the following portion of my response in the
- deposition, where I talk about the relevance being there and
- the enhanced relevance.
- 25 Q. And that's fine. Your counsel will be able to cover more

- in their examination.
- 2 A. Sure.

- Q. But the answer to my question is just "yes," right?
- 4 A. The answer to your question is --
- Q. You agree that the value is likely highest for JetBlue and American's overlap markets, as presented on this slide?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And if you look at the third column over,
 entitled, "Standalone routes," the slide says, "The value is
 TBD, but likely limited."
- Do you see that?
- 12 **A.** I do.

22

23

24

- Q. So even though there are 179 standalone routes, they're viewed as less valuable than the 40 nonstop overlap routes on this slide?
- A. As noted, they're viewed as less valuable, because
 there's limited connectivity. The customers aren't likely to
 connect between the airlines on these standalone routes.
- Q. And compared to the value of the overlap markets, markets where American and JetBlue directly overlap, the value is much less, correct?
 - A. Again, the value is much less, based upon the concept that the standalone groups tend to be point to point. So someone flying from the example is actually there, from LaGuardia to, say, Memphis, where their customers are less

```
likely to connect between the airlines, versus the overlap
1
 2
     routes from Boston to Los Angeles. Customers are very likely
     to connect on to that from -- because of the size of, say,
     JetBlue in Boston or American in Los Angeles.
         And if we can turn ahead to page 11, titled "Project
 5
     Connie Likely Goals." On this slide, the clean team is
 7
     identifying "JetBlue Primary Goals, "Common Areas of
     Interest," and "American Believed Primary Goals."
               Do you see that?
 9
     Α.
         I see that.
10
     Q. And under "American Believed Primary Goals," one of the
11
     goals in orange reads, "Protect existing infrastructure
12
13
     assets during retrenchment."
```

Do you see that?

15 Α. Yes.

14

18

- And that refers to American needing coverage so it 16 wouldn't lose its slots in New York under usage requirements? 17
 - Amongst other things, I think. Α.
- 19 Q. And when you were at JetBlue, you understood that American may retrench into core hubs with the Northeast 20 Alliance?
- MR. SCHWED: Objection. 22
- 23 THE WITNESS: No.
- BY MS. RIGGS: 24
- Q. Well, if we could turn to your deposition, again, from 25

```
June 2021, to page 149. And I'll read lines 23 to --
 1
                THE WITNESS: 149?
 2
 3
                MS. RIGGS: Yes.
                THE COURT: In the first deposition?
 4
                MS. RIGGS: Yes.
 5
     BY MS. RIGGS:
 6
          I asked you a question, "Do you agree that American may
 7
     retrench into core hubs with the Northeast Alliance?"
 8
                "ANSWER: Yes.
 9
               And that was your testimony at the time?
10
11
     Α.
          Yes.
                MS. RIGGS: Your Honor, I would move to admit
12
13
     page 149, line 23, to page 150, line 1, as a party admission
14
     and an impeachment.
               MR. SCHWED: Again, I have no problem admitting the
15
     testimony. I disagree with the impeachment characterization,
16
     but I have no problem admitting the question.
17
18
                THE COURT: Admitted.
                (Plaintiff Exhibit No. Deposition of Scott
19
                Laurence, June 2021, page 149, line 23 to page 150,
20
                line 1 admitted into evidence.)
21
     BY MS. RIGGS:
22
23
          And that retrenchment, as it's called, that contemplates
     a capacity pulled-down for American in New York, correct?
24
          It doesn't necessarily mean a capacity reduction.
25
```

Remember that, as an airline, you generate capacity by flying seats a certain number of miles. So if American were to add a large airplane, flying a long distance, or, for example, from New York to Athens, with a 300-seat airplane, and it were replacing a plane that was flying from New York to Charlottesville with 50 seats, then you have a dramatic capacity increase, but the potential for fewer departures. So you can have more capacity with fewer departures.

- Q. So I'll ask again, because I'm not sure what your answer was, but at the time, you understood that this contemplates a pull-down in capacity for American in New York?
- A. So I would say it would contemplate a reduction in departures, not necessarily capacity.
 - Q. Well, let's turn, again, to your June 2021 deposition, on page 150, line 3.

"QUESTION: And do you think that contemplates a pull-down in capacity for American?

"ANSWER: Well, in the Northeast Alliance cities, because they're -- it's kind of a zero sum game for slots, there's got to be some level of pull-down, at least in term of departures, for JetBlue to be able to grow in those points. So yes.

MR. SCHWED: Objection, Your Honor. This is 100 percent consistent with what he just said. She's just reading into the record.

```
BY MS. RIGGS:
1
     Q. Do you agree that your testimony contemplates a pull-down
 2
     of --
               THE COURT: I'm going to overrule it, because if
 4
     it's totally consistent, what's the harm?
 5
               Go ahead.
 6
     BY MS. RIGGS:
 7
     Q. Do you think that contemplates a pull-down in capacity
     for American?
 9
        No.
10
     Α.
11
               MS. RIGGS: And Your Honor, I move to admit
     page 150, line 3 to 11 as a party admission.
12
13
               THE COURT: I just admitted it already.
                (Plaintiff Exhibit No. Deposition of Scott
14
               Laurence, June 2021, page 150, lines 3 to 11
15
               admitted into evidence.)
16
               THE WITNESS: I think the issue here is one of
17
     capacity. Again, you're talking about capacity versus
18
19
     departures. Departures might be reduced, but capacity can
     easily increase.
20
               MS. RIGGS: I'll move on.
21
     BY MS. RIGGS:
22
23
     Q. At JetBlue, prior to the Northeast Alliance, you observed
     that American sits on its slots to suppress competition,
24
     correct?
25
```

- A. I think that all airlines, from time to time, have situations where they can't cover some of their slot obligations.
- 4 Q. And if you could turn to PX550.
- 5 MS. RIGGS: This is in evidence, so we can publish
- 6 it.
- 7 BY MS. RIGGS:
- Q. This is an e-mail chain, with the top e-mail from Andrea
- 9 Lusso to you and Michael Quiello, dated April 2, 2019?
- 10 **A.** I'm sorry, PX550?
- 11 Q. Yes. It might be easier to just look on the screen for
- 12 this.
- 13 A. (Witness complies.)
- Q. I'm sorry, the question is just this is an e-mail with
- the top e-mail from Mr. Lusso to you and Michael Quiello,
- dated April 2, 2019; is that correct?
- 17 A. I'm sorry, yes.
- 18 Q. Mr. Lusso was JetBlue's vice president of network
- 19 planning at the time?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. And Mr. Quiello is the chief of staff for JetBlue's
- 22 president, Joanna Geraghty?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- Q. So let's turn to the original e-mail from Mr. Quiello
- 25 that spills onto the second page, ending in 654. Mr. Quiello

is asking for any data points or updates from Ms. Geraghty, in connection with her meeting with the Metropolitan Washington Airport Authority, right?

A. Yes.

Q. And if you look back at your response, on the first page, ending in 653, the fourth short paragraph, you wrote, "I would urge her to highlight that American continue to sit on DCA slots with regional aircraft in order to suppress competition."

Do you see that?

- **A.** I do.
- Q. And you were saying that American Airline flies smaller, regional aircraft to sit on its slots, correct?
 - A. I was highlighting that American was utilizing their DCA slots with smaller planes, and I was noting that Rob Land might have comments about that, because we talked about the concept of those slots being utilized with smaller planes was somewhat inefficient.
 - Q. And that suppresses competition, because it uses slots that would otherwise be available to other carriers?
 - A. So I don't think it's a slot issue that I'm talking about in terms of it being available to other carriers, but how American was utilizing that slot. So they were utilizing the slot with a smaller aircraft. At JetBlue, we'd want to highlight the fact that we would be utilizing the slot with

- 1 larger aircraft.
- 2 Q. And here you're encouraging Ms. Geraghty to report
- 3 American continuing to sit on DCA slots with regional
- 4 aircraft in order to suppress competition. Correct?
- 5 A. Again, I think what I was highlighting was that American
- 6 was flying smaller planes than they otherwise could. And we
- 7 wanted to highlight the fact that we wanted the ability to
- 8 compete in DCA.
- 9 Q. You can set that aside, Mr. Laurence.
- 10 At JFK, American had a slot waiver for the MAX
- grounding in 2019, right?
- 12 A. That is my understand.
- 13 Q. And a slot waiver is an exemption from having to meet
- useage requirements by the Federal Aviation Administration?
- 15 A. That's also my understanding.
- Q. You don't have to follow the 80/20 rule if you get a slot
- 17 waiver?
- 18 A. I think the slot waiver can be somewhat informal. So in
- 19 general, it means that you're not going to need to follow the
- 20 80/20, but I don't know what American and the FAA had
- 21 arranged in terms of their exact coverage requirements.
- Q. And American had a slot waiver for runway construction at
- 23 JFK, right?
- 24 A. Well, the industry had a waiver -- or I should say the
- 25 domestic US industry had a waiver, yes.

- Q. And at JFK airport, airlines can retain their slots, but hand slots back on a temporary basis to the FAA, right?
 - A. There was an informal process with the FAA, where you could hand back slot, then the FAA would attempt to reallocate them, and an airline would gain -- not gain, but retain the historical rights to that slot.
- 7 Q. And that's sometimes called a giveback?
 - A. Sure, you can term it like that.
- 9 Q. American has done this -- or sorry, JetBlue, has done this itself, correct?
- 11 **A.** Yes.

5

8

21

22

23

24

- Q. Handed back slots to the FAA on a temporary basis, rather than meet useage requirements?
- A. In certain situations, with certain slots, in certain seasons, and, actually, up to certain days of the week, we would hand those slots back to FAA so they could be reallocated, and we would retain historical rights to those slots.
- Q. But in late 2019, the FAA was set to end this informal process, correct?
 - A. It had certainly been discussed. I did not speak directly with the FAA, but my team continued to come back to me after conversations and note that the FAA was formalizing the process and making JFK similar to other slotted airports, or was likely to.

- Q. So airlines would have had to meet the usage requirements or risk losing historic or permanent rights to their slots?
- A. Well, from time to time, situations come up. So you mentioned the runway construction, where the FAA would then allow the airlines some leeway and slot usage, because the airport capacity would have been lower than -- was anticipated when the slots were allocated.
- Q. So my question is, would the FAA set to end the practice of informal givebacks that would mean that airlines would have to do more flying or risk losing slots on a permanent basis, correct?
- A. There are other mitigants to that. But in general, yes, the slots, one way or another, would need to be operated, but airlines will exchange slots through trades or temporary coverage. It's a bit of an industry practice.
 - Q. And JetBlue would participate in this practice of trading or sliding slots at JFK?
- 18 **A.** Yes.

2

4

5

7

16

- 19 Q. If you could turn to PX507.
- MS. RIGGS: This is also in evidence, so we can publish it.
- 22 BY MS. RIGGS:
- Q. The top e-mail in this chain is from you; to the vice president of network planning, Mr. Lusso; on January 3, 2020.
- 25 Do you see that?

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. And this is an e-mail chain related to JetBlue leasing
- 3 JFK slots from American, before the Northeast Alliance,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. This was during the period of time when we were
- 6 discussing and negotiating around --
- 7 I'm sorry, it is before the Northeast Alliance.
- 8 You're correct.
- 9 Q. And if you turn to the page 427, to the first in time
- 10 e-mail in this chain, this is from Vasu Raja at American, to
- Brent Alex and Jason Reisinger, also at American Airlines,
- copying you on December 23, 2019. Do you see that?
- 13 **A.** I do.
- 14 Q. And at the top of the page ending in 428, Mr. Raja
- wrote, "I just spoke with Scott L. at B6."
- And that's referring to you?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 | Q. Mr. Raja then wrote in the next paragraph that, "American
- is looking to lease as many as 18 to 20 slot pairs to
- 20 JetBlue," right?
- 21 A. Yes, I see that.
- 22 Q. And in the last paragraph, Mr. Raja wrote that, "Time is
- 23 short, and the FAA is looking for closure."
- Do you see that? In the first sentence?
- 25 A. Yes. And also -- I'm sorry, I just want to refer back to

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
the date. I want to make sure I have the dates correct. The exhibit is -- or if we can go back one on the --
```

THE COURT: Blow it up, then he can see the date.

BY MS. RIGGS:

- Q. So Mr. Raja's e-mail was sent Monday, December 23, 2019.
- A. Okay. And -- and I apologize as I was looking at that.

So Mr. Raja and I started discussing the Northeast Alliance in late November of 2019. So as we were having this discussion, the Northeast Alliance was also sort of being considered, which I confused in my initial testimony.

- Q. And then you received the initial proposal for the Northeast Alliance in April 2020?
- A. No. We began the discussions, Mr. Raja and I, in

 November of 2019. Then that went through -- you know, all

 the way through the concept of signing the agreement in July

 of 2020.
- Q. And the clean team, when was that formed?
- 18 A. I think, as you just noted, I believe in April of 2020.
 - Q. So if we turn back to the last paragraph in Mr. Raja's e-mail, you understood him to mean that getting this deal done was time sensitive, right?
 - A. Yes. As he notes here, that it is time sensitive, and the FAA was looking for closure right after the holidays.

 And again, this is on December 23rd.
- 25 Q. And you understand that American was reaching out to

- JetBlue because they weren't meeting the usage requirements to cover their slots at JFK, right?
- A. I made that assumption that American had some sort of an issue. I did not know what the issue was between FAA and American.
- Q. But you understood that they either weren't covering them or didn't have a path to cover their slots at JFK?
- A. Well, I knew the slots were available, and I was very interested in operating them, particularly the slots in the peak afternoon period.
- Q. So we can turn to the second page of PX507, which ends in 424. And this is a reply from Mr. Lusso to the e-mail chain on January 2nd. Do you see that?
- 14 **A.** I do.

18

22

- Q. So Mr. Lusso was sending American a list of slots that

 JetBlue was interested in leasing from American at the time?
 - A. Can we go back one -- or go -- just so I can see the whole e-mail. Thank you.
- (Witness reviews document.)
- Okay. I'm sorry, if you could ask your question again.
 - Q. So Mr. Lusso is sending American a list of slots that

 JetBlue was interested in leasing from American at the time?
- A. I see that he's -- his e-mail of 9:50 a.m. on January 2, noting that they're looking at each hour.

- Q. So the image is not reproduced, but I'm looking at the 2129 e-mail, where he writes, "Below is a high level view on where we stand today?
- A. Can we pull that? I can't find that in the hard copy

 here. I just want to -- thank you.
- Q. Mr. Lusso is conveying that JetBlue is interested in lesioning certain slots from American in this e-mail?
- 8 A. Yes.
- Q. So then you wrote in response, looking up the page, "I think we need to grab more of these."
- Do you see that?
- 12 **A.** I do, yes.

18

19

20

21

- Q. And you wanted to lease more slots from American at JFK, correct?
- A. Again, I was very eager to grow at JFK, so when I saw the opportunity, I wanted to try to grab those slots.
 - Q. So Mr. Lusso replied again at the very top of page 2, and he wrote, "Are you willing to fund three to five shells of flying into JFK next year? They need to come from somewhere" and that refers to how, in order to fly more at JFK, JetBlue would need to use planes currently flying elsewhere in its network, correct?
- A. I think what Mr. Lusso was referring to was there needed to be three or four planes flying elsewhere in the network, and what I read was he was conveying a concern that the slots

- that were on offer were likely there temporarily, and I think there was a discussion about how many slot seasons they would be available for. So I think, yeah, he was concerned, as was I, about pulling three to five shells of flying, so aircraft flying temporarily from JFK from other points.
 - Q. And if we look at the first page to your reply, you wrote -- sorry, we're going to give it a moment to catch up. "If we don't fly these, they're going to Spirit. Full stop."

Do you see that?

11 **A.** I do.

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And you thought Spirit with would get American's JFK slots had JetBlue not agreed to cover them?
 - A. I suspected they would go to Spirit and I very much wanted them and so what I was conveying in my e-mail was we need to fly these, someone else will. It wasn't like they were just an asset that would just go and lie foul.
 - Q. And Mr. Laurence, you were concerned that if JetBlue lost these slots to Spirit, JetBlue wouldn't be able to get them back in the long term, correct?
 - A. I felt that if we lost the slots, we'd have a hard time -- or if we did not try to grab those slots, we would have a hard time getting those, whether they went to Spirit or elsewhere.
 - Q. And JetBlue wanted to use those in the long term,

correct?

- A. Well, we were willing to take the risk in the short term, and we were eager to operate those slots, in part because I felt strongly that once we were operating them, it was likely that American might not want them back.
- Q. And that's what you mean in the next paragraph, where you wrote, "I'm also confident that American isn't going to want them back from us once we're flying them"?
- **A.** Yes.
- Q. And ultimately, American and JetBlue agreed that JetBlue would lease 37 slots at JFK, correct?
 - A. I think we agreed on 27. The timing was very short on this. And then there was a request from American to cover or to add an additional ten slots. And as we were discussing that, the world turned upside down with COVID, and this all sort of disappeared into the ether because there was a slot waiver based upon COVID.

So we had agreed on, I think, the 27. I can't -- I don't recall if we -- I think we were discussing the other ten, but the timing was very questionable if we could operate those slots or not. It would have been a big lift for us operationally, given the timeline involved. And, like I said, I suppose that the timing, it may be the one positive that came out of COVID was that we were able to figure something out on timing on that.

- Q. You can set that aside.
- And Mr. Laurence, I want to turn now to focus on some of the specifics of the Northeast Alliance. If we could turn in your binder to PX1A.
- MS. RIGGS: And this is in evidence. You can publish it.
- 7 BY MS. RIGGS:

- Q. This is a copy of the "Northeast Alliance Agreement" between American and JetBlue, dated July 15, 2020?
- 10 A. Yes. I think the date is correct. I'm just
- double-checking. But, yes. I see it dated July 27, 2021,
- 12 with a signature.
- 13 Q. That might be for the amendment.
- 14 **A.** Oh. Okay.
- Q. If you look at page 18, I think the execution page follows.
- MR. SCHWED: Ms. Riggs, I just want to confirm that what's on the slide, screen will be the redacted version?
- 19 MS. RIGGS: Yes.
- MR. SCHWED: Okay. Thank you.
- THE WITNESS: I don't see the date. The date
- 23 BY MS. RIGGS:

22

sounds correct.

- Q. All right. If we could just turn to page 3, to the
- 25 bottom. This is entitled -- I'll wait for the screen to

- catch up. "Network Growth and Consultation, 3.1."

 Do you see that?
 - A. I do.

5

7

8

10

19

21

22

23

24

Q. And Section 3.1.1 reads, "The parties agree to use commercially reasonable efforts to coordinate the NEA services."

Do you see that sentence?

- A. I do.
- Q. And whether a flight is profitable is a commercial consideration, correct?
- 11 A. I mean, I suppose it's part of the considerations, yeah.
- Q. And if we turn to page 4, to Section 3.1.2.1, here the
 agreement states that the parties will, quote, "Endeavor in
 good-faith to optimize their respective individual network
 plans regarding the NEA services throughout the term of this
 agreement, after due consultation on all aspects of its
 network plans related to the NEA services, including with
 respect to each party's usage of terminal facilities."

Did I read that correctly?

- 20 **A.** Yes.
 - Q. So the agreement requires American and JetBlue, in good-faith, to consult on all aspects of their network plans for NEA routes, right?
 - A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. At the time JetBlue entered the Northeast Alliance, it

- 1 was encompassing two-thirds of --
- 2 A. I'm sorry, just one piece. The network plans that were
- 3 there, we talked about sort of our network plans to
- 4 facilities. But what is not in this Section 3.1.2.1 is that
- 5 we do not, nor do we ever discuss, pricing.
 - O. That's -- that's fine.
- At the time JetBlue entered the Northeast Alliance,
 the Northeast Alliance encompassed two-thirds of JetBlue's
- 9 network, correct?
- 10 A. Approximately, yes.
- 11 Q. And the routes in the Northeast Alliance encompassed
- about 75 percent of JetBlue's revenue, correct?
- 13 A. I'm sorry. Can you ask me the revenue portion again? I
- 14 just want to make sure that I --
- 15 Q. The routes within the Northeast Alliance comprise about
- 75 percent of JetBlue's revenues, right?
- 17 A. I don't know the exact number. I think, you know,
- directionally, it's probably greater than the capacity share.
- 19 The revenue share is probably higher than the capacity share
- of that. So I don't have any reason to disagree, but I don't
- 21 know if that's correct.
- 22 Q. Then let's turn to Section 4.1.3 at the bottom of page 6.
- 23 And this is a section that discusses the management committee
- for the Northeast Alliance, and the management committee
- includes executives from both JetBlue and American, correct?

A. Yes.

related agreements."

Q. Section little ii tasks the management committee to be responsible for, "Measure and report on the success of the NEA in achieving significant consumer benefits and the other commercial benefits (including financial) the parties reasonably expect to achieve from this agreement and the

So the management committee is tasked with continuing to measure and report not only on the consumer benefits but also the financial benefits to the parties, correct?

- **A.** Yes.
- Q. And if we look at section little iv, the management
 committee also will, "Resolve or escalate any disputes
 between the parties related to this agreement and the related
 agreements" right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And as of June 2022, there had been no disputes between the parties, correct?
- **A.** I mean, there have certainly been discussions and disagreements.
- **Q.** Are you on the management committee?
- 23 A. Currently?
- **Q.** Yes.
- **A.** No.

- Q. Were you on the management committee when you were at JetBlue?
- A. No. I mean, I think the management committee, we talked about this being substantially equivalent to a vice president. I likely would have been the party that it was sort of escalated to at JetBlue.

At American I suspect the party that it would be escalated to would be Mr. Raja.

- Q. And at the time of your deposition in June of 2022, you weren't aware of any disputes between the parties that were elevated to the management committee, correct?
- A. In areas where we disagreed, I think it had become a sort of less formal, and we didn't formally convene a management committee, because we sort of -- we were urging the teams to be able to work through disagreements.
- Q. So rather than the process here, the parties were resolving disputes informally?
- A. Again, I think the -- the disputes that were there sort of rarely took the form of a management committee meeting.

 If there were disputes, the teams would attempt to de-conflict and work through things.

I don't know if I'd characterize that as informal.

It's informal compared to sort of a formal management committee meeting, but the teams took that responsibility very seriously.

Q. And we can set this aside. I'd like to turn in your binder to PX1B. This is also in evidence and we will publish a redacted version.

This is a copy of one of the Northeast Alliance related agreements called the Mutual Growth Incentive Agreement?

7 **A.** Yes.

1

2

3

4

- Q. And this is also referred to as the MGIA?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. You signed this agreement on behalf of JetBlue?
- 11 A. I'm sure that I did. I just want to find the page. Yes.
- 12 Q. If we could turn to page 3, to Section 2.1. This is
- discussing the payment arrangements under the MGIA, and it
- states that the MGIA payments are calculated, quote, "based
- on certain of each parties revenues and costs, as set forth
- in this article 2, and will reflect adjustments based on each
- party's incremental revenue and incremental costs, attributed
- to the NEA, as compared against each party's base period
- 19 data."
- 20 Did I read that correctly?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 **Q.** And this is revenue sharing, correct?
- 23 **A.** This is the mechanism that we had around the revenue sharing and the growth incentive.
- 25 Q. And Mr. Laurence, the revenue sharing component of the

Northeast Alliance means that it makes more sense for JetBlue to cooperate with American, rather than compete on NEA routes, right?

A. Again, it -- JetBlue, I think, we looked at the potential for cooperation, but we also wanted customers on our airplanes. And you know, how we cooperated depended on how we were competing, if we were competing with Delta or United or others on long-haul or short-haul.

So the cooperation that was there took a -- sort of a number of forms, depending on what we were attempting to do.

- Q. So is the answer to my question, no, that the revenue sharing component of the NEA means it makes more sense for JetBlue to cooperate with American, rather than compete?
- A. Well, I think that there's a lot of context that comes with that. So, you know, overall, it made sense for us to cooperate. But again, I think there is a great deal of detail that comes with each sort of individual decision.
- Q. And if you turn to your June 2021 deposition.
- A. Yes.

Q. To page 146. I'll read lines 18 to 25.

"QUESTION: I want to set aside the component of the but/for if you don't enter the NEA. And just ask, with the NEA in place, do you agree that the revenue sharing component means that it makes more sense to cooperate with

```
American, rather than compete for NEA routes?
1
               "ANSWER: For NEA routes, yes."
 2
               And that was your testimony at the time?
 3
          That was my testimony at the time, with the context for
 4
     the prior two pages --
 5
               THE COURT: Which page are you on?
 6
                              I'm sorry, sir?
 7
               THE WITNESS:
               MS. RIGGS: 146.
 8
               THE COURT: Oh, June 7th.
 9
               MS. RIGGS: June 2021.
10
               THE COURT: Oh, sorry. Give me one moment.
11
               Okay. Go ahead.
12
               THE WITNESS: So -- I'm sorry -- so that was my
13
14
     testimony. At the same time, I think the context that was
     being set in the prior pages, two pages, three pages, looks
15
     very similar to my testimony just now.
16
               So to directly answer your question, yes, with a
17
     lot of context that was here.
18
               MS. RIGGS: And Your Honor --
19
     BY MS. RIGGS:
20
     Q. And the prior context that you're referring to is our
21
     discussion of the but/for if you don't enter into the
22
     Northeast Alliance, correct?
23
          So I -- as I look at this, if we go to page 144, at
24
     line 25, the question -- there's a question about metal
25
```

```
neutrality with American, and it's sort of American and the Northeast Alliance, rather than compete with American. I respond that it's sort of the -- I suppose, yes, there is the but/for that's there, but, again, with a great deal of context here.
```

- Q. So the prior testimony that you're referring to is about the but/for world if you don't enter the Northeast Alliance, correct?
- A. I think that's actually about metal neutrality. The questions were leading up to a question about the but/for that's here.

MS. RIGGS: Your Honor, I would move to admit page 146, lines 18 to 25, as a party admission and for impeachment.

MR. SCHWED: Your Honor, I have no objection to the admission of those pages, but I believe Mr. Laurence has said that those — the specific question and answer needs to be read in the context of the preceding two pages, beginning, say, in the middle of page 143, with the question on line 12, and I would just move to, for completeness, admit that as well, since he has identified those questions as relevant to his answer.

THE COURT: Do you object to that?

MS. RIGGS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'll admit all of it.

(Plaintiff Exhibit No. Deposition of Scott 1 Laurence, June 2021, pages 143, line 12, to page 2 146, line 25 admitted into evidence.) 3 BY MS. RIGGS: 4 Mr. Laurence, you can set that aside. 5 You're aware from your time at JetBlue that Mr. Hayes has been critical of international joint ventures, 7 correct? 8 Α. Yes. And the revenue sharing under the MGIA is modeled after 10 11 American's revenue sharing agreements with its international joint ventures, right? 12 13 In a very broad sense, I believe that that's what was communicated to me. 14 Q. Let's turn in your binder to PX756. 15 MS. RIGGS: And this is already in evidence, and it 16 has no redactions. If we could publish. Thank you. 17 18 BY MS. RIGGS: This is an e-mail chain that begins with an e-mail from 19 Q. Vasu Raja, to you, on February 3, 2020? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And this is about five to six months prior to JetBlue 22 23 entering the Northeast Alliance? It was in the midst of the negotiation, and we did sign 24 Α.

the Northeast Alliance agreement in July, so, approximately,

yes.

- Q. Mr. Raja is sending you a deck that gives an overview of
- 3 how American Airlines does revenue sharing with its
- 4 international joint venture partners?
- 5 A. I think it's a sort of -- how they do revenue sharing.
- 6 I'm not sure if it notes that it's --
- 7 Q. Well, at the time, American Airlines only did revenue
- 8 sharing with their international joint venture partners,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. Honestly, I did not know what American and Alaska were
- 11 doing. You know, I know that they were talking -- I didn't
- 12 just -- I wasn't sure that the scope of this. You know, what
- was explained to me was that American, this was sort of their
- 14 revenue sharing model. So it certainly would have
- encompassed what they were doing with their long-haul sort of
- international partners.
- 17 Q. And if you turn to slide 702 of the deck, the top bullet
- 18 there.
- 19 **A.** Yup.
- 20 **Q.** 702.
- 21 A. Sorry.
- 22 | Q. Under "Principles Behind Revenue Sharing Model," the top
- 23 line says, "American participates in three joint businesses
- sharing net revenue." Do you see that?
- 25 **A.** I do.

- Q. And so you agree that this overview of the revenue sharing model is related to American's three international joint businesses, correct?
- A. I read it to be the case, because I didn't think whatever they were doing domestically was this robust.
- Q. And if we could turn back to the cover e-mail. On
 February 4th, 2020, you forwarded this deck to JetBlue's CEO,
 Robin Hayes, and its president, Joanna Geraghty?
- 9 **A.** I did.
- Q. Then sorry for flipping around, the page ending 701 in the attachment, if we could flip there.
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And this is entitled, "Why share benefits?" Do you see that?
- 15 | A. I do.

21

22

23

24

- Q. The first reason in the bullet there says, "To achieve metal neutrality, the economic incentives of the parties need to become aligned towards supporting the overall success of the joint business."
 - And so the reason to enter a revenue share according to that statement, is to align the economic incentives of the parties? That's what revenue sharing does?
 - A. So in this proposal, I think, you know, what the -what's being proposed here is that the parties are
 incentivized to support the joint business, via metal

- 1 neutrality.
- 2 Q. And that refers to the second sub bullet, "be
- incentivized to sell all joint business services, regardless
- 4 of metal"?

- A. I see that, yes.
- Q. And that helps achieve metal neutrality?
- 7 A. As I read that, I think that the point here is that the
- 8 joint businesses also would -- you would be seeking some sort
- 9 of a seamless customer experience where the benefits were
- 10 provided to customers. And the airlines were aligned in
- terms of supporting the success of the business.
- 12 Q. And I'd like to you keep this handy, but we're going to
- skip to a different tab in your binder, to PX450.
- MS. RIGGS: Your Honor, this next section might
- take more than ten minutes, but only slightly more, so for
- 16 me, it makes sense to go ahead.
- 17 THE COURT: Go right ahead.
- 18 BY MS. RIGGS:
- 19 Q. This is a presentation --
- MS. RIGGS: Sorry, it's in evidence, so we can have
- 21 it published.
- 22 BY MS. RIGGS:
- 23 Q. This is a presentation that American and JetBlue made to
- the Department of Justice on July 21, 2020.
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And you attended this meeting on behalf of your then-employer JetBlue?
 - A. I did. The meeting, if I recall, was virtual, but I did attend, yes.
- Q. If we could turn to the page ending in 016. The third
 bullet on this slide says, "The MGIA is designed to make each
 carrier indifferent as to which carrier an incremental
 passenger flies."
 - And that's also describing metal neutrality, correct?
- 11 **A.** Yes.

4

9

10

18

- Q. So I'd like to walk through a couple of the slides from
 PX450, as compared to the prior Exhibit PX756. So the
 easiest way we're going to do this is to split the screen.
 We have PX756 on the left, which is the revenue sharing
 overview from American Airlines in the joint venture context,
 and JetBlue/American's presentation to the antitrust division
- Does that make sense to you?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Now, let's go to page 5, which is "Revenue Sharing Mechanics"?
- MR. WALL: Of what?

on the right, PX450.

- MS. RIGGS: Of the deck on the left.
- 25 BY MS. RIGGS:

Q. And so this is slide 704 in the deck, where -- American revenue sharing overview with its international joint venture partners.

Do you see that?

A. Um --

- Q. The top line -- you're on Revenue Sharing Mechanics?
- 7 A. Yes, I see it.
 - Q. The top line reads, "Each carrier's net revenue is contributed to a shared pool, from which each carrier recovers a base or protected amount of revenue based on the capacity it operates."
 - Did I read that correctly?
- **A.** Yes.
 - Q. And if we could turn to page 5 of the American and JetBlue presentation to DOJ, please on the right.
- 16 That's titled "MGIA Mechanics"?
- **A.** Yes.
 - Q. And the top line says, "Each carriers net revenue is contributed to a total net revenue pool from which each carrier recovers, one, its base amount of revenue, based on the capacity to operate, adjusted for current capacity."
- 22 Correct?
- **A.** Yes.
- Q. And both of these decks are saying that net revenue is pooled, and each carrier recovers a base, based on the

- 1 capacity it operates.
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- Q. So now, if you look on the right, on the DOJ presentation deck, number 2 states, "Its share of incremental revenue, which is the additional revenue or loss after base revenue is subtracted from the total net revenue pool." Right?
- 7 **A.** Yes.

9

10

11

12

- Q. And if we could turn ahead a slide on the American deck on how it does revenue sharing with its joint ventures, the top bullet there says, "Incremental revenue is the sum of all residual revenue or loss after base revenue is subtracted from the shared net revenue pool."
 - Do you see that?
- 14 **A.** I do.
- Q. And underneath, on the left, there are two sub bullets
 that read, "Incremental revenue is split between the carriers
 based on attributed share. An attributed share equals each
 party percent of current period capacity," in parenthesis,
- 19 "ESKs." Correct?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. And ESKs there refers to equivalent seat kilometers?
- 22 **A.** I'm assuming that's the case.
- Q. And now if we go ahead a page on the right, on the DOJ presentation deck, to slide 6.
- The first two bullets there read, "Incremental

revenue is split between the carriers based on attributed share. Attributed share equals each carrier's share of current period capacity in ESMs."

And so the attributed shares are split between -- sorry, I skipped ahead.

And there the first two bullets say the same thing, except it's in miles instead of kilometers?

- A. Um, I don't have any way to know if that's the case. I think so. But the concept of the ESM is, I think, far different, as is the sort of JetBlue/American agreement to what was initially proposed by American.
- Q. We can keep looking. If we look at the formula on the left, it says, "Shared net revenue, minus base revenue, equals incremental revenue?" Correct?
- 15 A. I see that.

1

2

4

5

7

8

10

- Q. And then it shows attributed share divided by
- American Airlines and a partner carrier?
- 18 **A.** Uh-huh.
- Q. And on the right, we have the same graphic?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. But the attributed share is split between
- 22 American Airlines and JetBlue in that box?
- 23 A. I see that.
- Q. So if we could turn ahead one page on both decks now. In
- 25 the American revenue sharing deck, the top line states, "A

carrier's retained revenue for a given time period is equal to its base revenue, plus it's attributed share of incremental revenue."

Do you see that?

A. I do.

- Q. And on the presentation that the parties made to the DOJ about the Northeast Alliance, the first bullet states the exact same thing, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And the formulas on the slides, even though one is
 horizontal and the other one is vertical, they both show base
 revenue plus attributed share or incremental revenue equals
 retained revenue, right?
 - A. I see that, but I want to go back to this concept of the ESKs versus the ESMs. So we're looking at American's initial proposal to JetBlue on the left and then we're comparing it to what came through. And the ESMs and the ESKs, you know, I don't know what makes up the ESKs on the left, but the ESMs, we had to make a number of adjustments to American's formula to account for things in the NEA that were unlike what we assumed to be a relationship between American and another long-haul partner. So we had to adjust for the fact that the left-hand side assumed that it would be two airlines flying long-haul trips. So, you know, intercontinental trips with a 300 seat airplane, where both airlines have about the same

number of seats flying the same distance.

1

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With the ESMs that we talk about in the reference on the right-hand side, we had to adjust to account for the fact that American was adding long-haul flights with large airplanes. So adding a flight from New York to Athens with a 250 or 300 seat airplane, and JetBlue would be adding a flight from, say, New York to Charleston with a 100-seat airplane. And so the incremental flying was very different. We had to make that adjustment. We also had to push, frankly, very hard for some of the other things that came into the revenue share. So things like cost of sale, we included, but we did not include other costs. So my assumption was that in American's other agreements there were other cost elements that were added. So we had to make a number of adjustments and talk through and negotiate and work through this. So the note of ESKs to ESMs is more significant, even if it's sort of laid out in the text to make this as simple as possible. I think it was an attempt to not have the sausage making come through in a presentation where we were trying to be as sort of straightforward as possible.

- Q. So your testimony is there were adjustments made to stage length in ESMs and ESKs, as between the two?
- A. So again, I don't know what constitutes ESKs. If there's something in the background that was happening in American's

agreements, I wasn't aware of them.

- Q. Well, you are American today, correct? You know what ESKs are today as the senior vice president of partnership and strategy?
- A. So I haven't broken in and read the agreements. I'm responsible for the relationships with other airlines, but I haven't gone through and read the contracts.

At a broad level, I know that there's these equivalent seat miles that are there. I don't know what actually, in the relationship between American and say, Qantas, I don't know what actually makes up that agreement. I am assuming there are slightly different things in each one, but it is a level of detail that I haven't jumped into yet.

- Q. But you know it's different when there are ESMs in this deck, you don't know how, but it is different?
- A. So the ESMs, again, I know the ESMs are different because I negotiated and we negotiated with American, to drive out the differences from their initial proposal to where we actually landed.
- Q. Okay. But you can set aside the differences of ESMs versus ESKs as an input and just look at the graphic on this page and I believe my question was, the formulas on these slides, although one is horizontal and one is vertical, they are the same formula?

- A. The formula is the same, but, again, the inputs are different.
- Q. Sure. Let's turn ahead one page on both decks again.

 And this is a slide called transfer payments on both decks.

Do you see that?

6 A. I do.

5

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. And on the left, on how American does revenue sharing, the text reads, "A transfer payment is required when a parties' collected net revenue is more/less than its calculated retained revenue."

Did I read that correctly?

- 12 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And on the right, the first bullet says, "A transfer payment is required when a carrier's collected net revenue is more/less than its calculated retained revenue."

And again, this top line is identical in defining the transfer payment, correct?

- A. Yes.
 - Q. And if you look at the graphic across these two pages, showing how transfer payments are determined on each of these slides, that is also identical, except one is in black and white, and the other is in color?
- A. So they're identical, but, again, they're illustrative.
- So, for example, there's numbers in them, as well, but
- 25 there's numbers in them that are illustrative. But they are,

```
in fact, the same.
 1
          The numbers are identical in both examples, correct?
 2
     Q.
          The illustrative numbers in both are the same.
     Α.
     0.
          So Mr. Laurence, what American and JetBlue presented to
 4
     the DOJ on how the MGIA works, matches the mechanics from how
 5
     the American joint ventures operate revenue sharing, correct?
     Α.
          No.
 7
     Q. You can set that aside.
 9
                THE COURT: How much more do you have?
               MS. RIGGS: That's a good time to take a break if
10
11
     Your Honor wants.
                THE COURT: Okay. Fine. We'll take the morning
12
13
     recess, lunch break, and I'll see you at 2:30 today.
     stand in recess.
14
                (Court in recess at 1:03 p.m.)
15
                (The following reported by Robert Paschal.)
16
                (In open court at 2:29 p.m.)
17
                THE COURT: Two things before -- I thought of just
18
     before you resume -- minor.
19
               One is we're keeping track as best as we can of the
20
     deposition excerpts that are admitted, that has happened here
21
     and there with different witnesses, little snippets. To the
22
23
     extent that you're able to keep track of that and have a list
     at the end, that would be helpful.
24
```

And the other is, like, if you know the witnesses

who are coming on a particular day, if you know at the end of the day, tell me for the next day. And if you have the binders before 9:00, even if it's just at 8:45 or whatever, give them to us, or at least the binders for the first witness.

Okay. Ready to resume?

MS. RIGGS: Yes.

THE COURT: Go right ahead.

MS. RIGGS: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MS. RIGGS:

- Q. Mr. Laurence, before we left for lunch, we were talking about the revenue sharing that American Airlines does with its international joint ventures and the MGIA. And what you were pointing out about the ESM stage adjustments, I would just like to follow up and ask the ESM stage adjustments are to fairly attribute revenue as between American and JetBlue, correct?
- A. I would say, yes, fairly and equitably. Again, the issue there is the complexity of matching up an airline who has incremental capacity is by and large long-haul flying with large airplanes, vis-à-vis JetBlue's incremental capacity to short-haul capacity with smaller airplanes.
- Q. I understand. I just want to be clear the reason you are making those adjustments is in the apportionment, right, of how you are attributing revenue to each of the partner

carriers.

- A. It is the sort of formula that drives out the apportionment of the incremental revenue.
- Q. Okay.
- A. Divided by the incremental capacity for both airlines, yes. I think we're agreeing.
 - Q. So the difference you described doesn't affect the similarities between international joint ventures and the MGIA on aligning incentives of the partners?
 - A. I can't really speak to the international joint ventures, especially in my capacity at JetBlue when we worked through this because I was not aware of exactly what that entailed, it's what I would have read publicly or assumed.

So what I would say is that the formula, incentivizes both airlines to grow and apportions the -- I think as it's put there, sort of the kept revenue between the airlines, yes.

- Q. And so -- sorry. I'll try again. The economic -- the incentive to align the underlying economic incentives -- I said incentive twice -- the MGIA aligns the economic incentives of the parties, correct?
- A. I'm not sure if I would characterize it that way. What I would say is the MGIA incentivizes both parties to grow and then serves as a mechanism for the transfer of revenue between the parties based upon their unit revenue performance

- for incremental flying above a base period.
- Q. And so the ESM stage adjustments are about that second step of attributing incremental revenue between JetBlue and
- 4 American?

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

5 A. Yes, I think I'm following you. I think I agree, yes.

703 for PX 756. And on the right, it's page 4.

- Q. Okay. We're going to jump back to the split screen, if I may, just quickly. And so we'll put up again PX 756 on the left and PX 450 on the right. We'll turn to page ending in
 - So here, if you look at the bottom line on the slide on the left ending in 703, there's a phrase written "essentially, it's passenger-related revenues, less passenger variable costs."
- Do you see that?
- 15 **A.** I do.
- Q. And if we look page 4 on the bottom line for the deck presented to DOJ about the MGIA, the bottom line reads "net revenue is essentially passenger-related revenues less

Yes.

Α.

- Q. And so just a couple more points on this slide. Under
- included revenues for the MGIA on the right, number 3 is net
- frequent flyer revenue, correct?

selling expenses, " correct?

- A. Yes. I'm sorry, on the right. I apologize. I was
- looking at the left. Thank you for the highlight.

- Q. It's on the left, too. They're both number 3?
- 2 A. Yes. I see.

- Q. And looking at "included expenses" on the right, things
- 4 like fuel and in-flight catering are not included as expenses
- 5 in the MGIA, right?
- A. So on the right-hand side in the MGIA, the only expenses we included were those directly related to the cost of sale.
- Q. So fuel and in flight catering and the like are not included?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And if JetBlue grows under the MGIA, American doesn't
- share in these in-flight costs associated with that growth,
- 13 correct?
- A. In terms of the cost that you're referencing, like fuel
- as an example, no.
- Q. So it's fair to say today that your testimony is the
- inputs are different between how American does revenue
- sharing in the international JVs and the MGIA, but the
- underlying formula is the same, correct?
- MR. SCHWED: Objection, Your Honor. He's already
- 21 testified extensively that he doesn't know all the terms of
- 22 the international JVs, and she's trying to encapsulate about
- 23 half an hour of testimony into a single answer than -- is
- 24 not -- in a fair way.
- THE COURT: What's the question again?

BY MS. RIGGS:

Q. So it's fair to say, right, that the inputs are different in between -- as to American doing joint venture revenue sharing and the MGIA, but the underlying formula, that box we saw on the final page, the mechanics of how the revenue share works, is the same?

THE COURT: As described on these two slides?

MS. RIGGS: As described on these two slides.

THE COURT: With that, the objection is overruled.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: So what I would highlight is that, as displayed on the slides, there are a multitude of things that are different on the expense side. And the first point I would make there is that each one of those changes in terms of a negotiation is a point and is sort of laboriously discussed.

The second piece that I would highlight is that on the left-hand side, if you sort of look at the included revenues, right, there are differences that are there. Each of those differences are laboriously discussed as well. And then the sort of ESM versus -- I think it was ESK formula is very different.

So if you look at sort of all of those together, it's very difficult for me to first of all say what was in the international joint ventures that American had at the

time. We were presented with a concept that we negotiated and worked through and made a series of changes to that we -- both parties agreed to.

So I think similar to your last question, and I want to make sure I answer it properly, I don't believe I agree with the characterization that it's the same, but there are a series of similarities in some of the inner workings.

Does that make sense?

9 BY MS. RIGGS:

1

2

4

5

7

- Q. Okay. And just to clarify, the inputs into that formula we agree are sometimes different --
- 12 A. Right.
- Q. -- like on this slide, but the underlying formula, that box that was identical in black and white color, that's the same?
- 16 **A.** So, again --
- 17 MR. SCHWED: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 18 THE COURT: Sustained.
- MS. RIGGS: We can move on.
- 20 BY MS. RIGGS:
- Q. Mr. Laurence, you're familiar with the agreement between
- 22 American, JetBlue, and the US Department of Transportation
- regarding the Northeast Alliance, correct?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. And that agreement was signed January 10, 2021?

A. Yes.

1

9

10

11

- 2 Q. You signed this agreement on behalf of JetBlue?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you were involved in the negotiations over this agreement?
- A. I was involved in the discussions and negotiations, I would say, if I had to guess, about half of the discussions that took place, maybe a little bit more than half.
 - Q. Let's turn to tab PX447 in your binder and this is in evidence with no redactions, so we can publish. And this is the agreement with the US Department of Transportation, correct?
- 13 **A.** Just give me a second. 447.
- Q. At the bottom of page 1, ending in 940, commitment A refers to JetBlue agreeing not to exit any nonseasonal, nonstop JFK route it served as of February 2020 other than JFK-Long Beach, JFK-Oakland, and JFK-Worcester; is that right?
- 20 A. Yes, with a -- there is an annotation, as well.
- 21 Q. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that.
- A. I'm sorry. There's an annotation at the bottom, the

 Number 1 noted there. I just want to make sure that we -
 that that makes sense.
- Q. Sure. It looks like the footnote clarifies that it's

- closing its stations at Long Beach, Oakland, and Worcester; 1 is that right? 2
- 3 I see. Yes. And it has to do with the CARES Act and the COVID relief bill. Okay. Yes. 4
- Q. And so looking at the commitment A, JetBlue could reduce 5 capacity on any particular route down to a single flight and not violate this provision, correct? 7
- MR. WALL: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion. 9
- THE COURT: Overruled as to his understanding. 10
- 11 BY MS. RIGGS:

- Do you understand that, under commitment A, JetBlue could 12 13 reduce capacity on a particular route down to a single flight
- 14 and not violate this provision?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- Q. And American can still exit any JFK route and not violate 16 this provision, right? 17
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 Q. And American has exited JFK to San Diego, correct?
- That sounds correct. I don't recall if they've exited 20 Α. that or not, but I wouldn't dispute it. 21
- And if we turn to the bottom of page 942, to section E, 22 23 this refers to slot divestitures. Do you see that?
- A. I do. 24
- Q. And so as part of this agreement, American and JetBlue 25

- 1 divested -- agreed to divest seven slot pairs at JFK?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. JetBlue is the number two slot-holder at JFK, correct?
- 4 A. I believe that to be the case, yes.
- 5 **Q.** JetBlue holds 334 slots at JFK?
- A. Again, I don't know the exact number, but that sounds correct to me for slots.
- Just to be clear, slots versus slot pairs. We're talking about single slots, correct?
- 10 O. Correct.
- 11 A. Yes, that number sounds like it's probably correct.
- 12 Q. And American is the number-three slot-holder at JFK?
- 13 A. I believe that to be the case, yes.
- 14 Q. American holds 210 slots at JFK?
- 15 A. I don't know. But, again, I wouldn't dispute that
- 16 number.
- Q. Okay. Combined -- well, you wouldn't know the combined number, then.
- Fair to say it sounds like American and JetBlue likely have more than 500 slots at JFK?
- 21 A. I think if we used the two numbers that I didn't object
- 22 to, I believe those exceed 500.
- Q. 544 slots at JFK sound about right?
- A. I believe so.
- Q. And the agreement calls for divesting 14 of the 544 slots

- 1 the parties have?
- 2 A. Yes. Since it's seven pairs, 14 slots.
- Q. Additionally the agreement calls for divesting six slot
- 4 pairs at DCA, right?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. But you understood those divestments were temporary,
- 7 correct?
- 8 A. I think that is what's noted in the agreement. I just --
- 9 I don't -- I don't recall the dates that were associated with
- 10 the DCA slots. I'm just looking here.
- 11 Q. The slot divestitures end when the Northeast Alliance
- 12 ends. Does that sound familiar?
- 13 A. It does.
- 14 Q. American is the largest slot-holder at DCA, correct?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. American has 357 slots at DCA?
- 17 A. I don't know how many slots American has at DCA.
- 18 Q. But it's the number one slot holder at DCA?
- 19 A. I believe that to be the case, yes.
- 20 Q. And under Section 2 in this agreement, if we could move
- 21 to the page ending in 944.
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. There, American and JetBlue pledge to grow a certain
- 24 amount across JFK and LaGuardia combined. Do you see that?
- 25 **A.** I do.

- 1 Q. But that growth, again, it's not route-specific, correct?
- 2 A. I believe it's based on seats.
- Q. Uh-huh. Across all of the routes at JFK and LaGuardia
- 4 that American and JetBlue fly?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- Q. So nothing prevents the parties from decreasing capacity on nonstop overlap routes while growing elsewhere?
- A. I'm sorry; you -- can you just ask the question one more time.
- Q. Yep. Nothing prevents the parties in this agreement from
- decreasing capacity on nonstop overlap routes while growing
- 12 elsewhere?
- A. That would be the case unless it resulted in JetBlue exiting a JFK market.
- Q. And it's pretty easy to grow if you've been sitting on slots, right?
- A. Well, again, I think growth is something that requires
- either a larger airplane, a longer stage length flight, or an
- available slot in which to grow.
- 20 Q. Mr. Laurence, the agreement here does not reference or
- address Boston in any way in the agreement terms itself, does
- 22 it?
- 23 A. It does not.
- Q. All right. You can put that aside.
- I'd like to shift now to talking about the routes

- that the parties decided to carve out from the Northeast
 Alliance. You're familiar with those?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And in those routes, American and JetBlue committed not to coordinate on capacity and scheduling, nor share revenues; is that right?
- 7 A. In that tranche of routes, yes, although codesharing was not prohibited from those.
- 9 Q. And these carve-outs don't appear anywhere in the 10 agreement with the US Department of Transportation, correct?
- 11 A. I don't recall if it's in the agreement.
- 12 Q. If you want to flip through today --
- 13 **A.** Sure. --

18

- Q. -- and see, I don't believe you'll find the carve-out routes anywhere within that agreement.
- 16 **A.** Okay. Again, I --
 - THE COURT: I don't think -- are the defendants contending that it is in the carve-out -- in the agreement with DOT?
- MR. WALL: We stipulate to that, Your Honor.
- MR. SCHWED: We do not, Your Honor.
- MS. RIGGS: Let's turn now to PX845 in your binder.
- 23 And this has been admitted into evidence already and has
- redacted phone numbers. Plaintiffs have prepared a
- demonstrative, PX845A which contains the same redactions and

```
reproduces the text messages in a table for ease of use.
1
 2
               We understand the defendants have no objection.
 3
     would move to publish PX845A.
 4
                THE COURT: All right.
               MR. SCHWED: No objection to that.
 5
                THE COURT: Admitted.
 6
               MR. SCHWED: As redacted.
 7
                THE COURT: As redacted.
 8
                (Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 845A admitted into
 9
               evidence.)
10
11
               MS. RIGGS: Thank you.
     BY MS. RIGGS:
12
13
          This is a series of text messages between you and Robin
14
     Hayes on January 7, 2021?
     Α.
15
          Yes.
          And if we could zoom in on the first text message,
16
     because they're very small -- thank you -- looking at the
17
18
     first text message at 3:54 p.m., you wrote to Mr. Hayes, "Can
     we chat AA this evening with Rob"?
19
     Α.
20
          Yes.
          And Rob there is referring to Robert Land, JetBlue's
21
     senior vice president of government affairs?
22
     A. Yes and associate counsel.
23
     Q. And Mr. Land was heavily involved in negotiating the
24
     agreement between American, JetBlue, and the DOT?
25
```

A. Yes.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

Q. If you turn to your second message, you wrote, "Rob rightfully livid that American is playing games with the sec on the way out the door, and Spirit has just submitted a 50-page objection to the deal."

And "sec" in that refers to the Secretary of Transportation at the time?

- A. Yes.
- Q. You understood Mr. Land to be livid about something
 American was trying to negotiate into the DOT agreement?
- A. I was characterizing Mr. Land, who was upset because we had gone through a lengthy process. You know, the date on this is the 7th of January. We had been negotiating with the Department of Transportation since mid-November through the holidays. And we felt like we were in a good place, and then
- I think American the American contingent was refining some
- language and I think that upset Mr. Land.
- Q. And that's when you wrote that he is rightfully livid?
- 19 A. I felt strongly that we were very close to an agreement.
- I was quite frustrated, too. And so I wanted to ensure that
- 21 Mr. Hayes knew that I was supportive of Mr. Land's opinion or
- 22 feeling.
- Q. And the agreement was signed three days after this text exchange, is that right, on January 10th?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

And you testified in your deposition that the clock was 1 2 ticketing to get this agreement signed; is that right? That sounds like something I would have said. 4 0. And, in fact, let's just turn to your deposition, if you could, to the June 2022 tab. It's at page 357. 5 357? Α. 6 7 And I'll just start reading at line 21 there: 0. Yes. "QUESTION: Okay. And why was the clock ticking? 8 9 "ANSWER: We had been negotiating with the Department of Transportation, and we were nearing the -- both 10 the end of the administration's time before inauguration, 11 additionally I believe the secretary of transportation had 12 resigned right around this time, effective a day prior to the 13 inauguration." 14 You agree with your testimony sitting here today? 15 I'm a little bit fuzzy about the dates of the 16 secretary's effective date of resignation, but, yes, I agree 17 18 with that. 19 And if you could look at the next question, starting on page 358, at line 6. It says: 20 And you wanted to get the agreement 21 "QUESTION: finished before the effective date of that resignation? 22

"ANSWER: As I recall, we had been negotiating with the department, and it was unclear if the department's position would somehow change if the secretary resigned."

23

24

Do you agree with your testimony at that time?

A. I do. The department's position had changed multiple times through the negotiation. We thought we had a deal, and then something would change. We would move forward, make other changes to the agreement, and then there would be other changes.

We would also find that the people with whom we were working at the Department of Transportation, we would be working with someone we thought was a decision maker, and then that person would either appeal up the line, or we were also, at the time, unfortunately encountering a number of people who would be COVID positive and then would be out of the discussion.

And so it was very difficult to nail down the exact baseline and then the defined terms of the agreement, and what exactly would be necessary to gain the department's agreement and signature on a document.

- Q. And I just want to look back to your answer at the time in your deposition. It was unclear if the department's position would somehow change if the secretary resigns. That was -- you agree with that testimony?
- A. Again, I do. It was one more sort of moving part that we were encountering.
- Q. And, Mr. Laurence, you understand that the Department of Transportation subsequently issued a clarification of its

- position on the Northeast Alliance, correct? 1 2 I believe the department has submitted some things to the press, but I haven't been following them closely. 4 0. And I'd like to turn to PX452. Is that a 2016 deposition? Am I on the right 5 document? 7 No. PX452 should be a Department of Transportation notice published in the Federal Register. 9 THE COURT: Not page 452, PX. MS. RIGGS: Sorry. It's the exhibits binder. 10 11 MR. SCHWED: It looks like he might be in the deposition binder rather than the exhibit binder. 12 13 THE WITNESS: My apologies. Thank you. 14 Okay. I do have the exhibit. BY MS. RIGGS: 15
 - Q. PX452 is a Department of Transportation notice, published in the Federal Register in September 2021; is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes. September of 2021, yes.
- 19 Q. And on the first page --

17

20

21

- MR. SCHWED: Your Honor, may I just -- we have an objection to this exhibit. It's pure hearsay. And so we object to it being read into the record.
- 23 THE COURT: What is it?
- MS. RIGGS: Your Honor, this -- the defense
- counsel, in their opening, discussed the Department of

Transportation agreement, and we feel the record is incomplete without the clarifying statement that DOT issued.

I believe this is the signer of the DOT agreement. I would like to ask him his understanding of that agreement with the clarification. This easily meets the public records exception to the hearsay rule under 803(8). It clearly sets out DOT's activities under 803(8)(a)(1), and it also sets out factual findings from a legally authorized investigation under 803(8)(a)(3).

MR. SCHWED: Your Honor, this is a document that the Department of Transportation, not coincidentally, I'm sure, issued simultaneously with the Department of Justice filing this suit. It is not an ordinary course statement by the Department of Transportation. It's part of a Department of Justice litigation tactic, and it shouldn't be admitted.

MR. WALL: The only other comment I would like to make, Your Honor, is if the Court admits this, I would like the Court to order a production of the records — all contacts between the Department of Justice and the Department of Transportation in the days leading up to this statement.

MS. RIGGS: Your Honor, I would also just note that this is published in the Federal Register. It is not just something issued to the public or as a press release. It is a business record.

THE COURT: I'm going to take it for now. For now,

```
I'm going to overrule the objections, if for no other reason
1
     to read it since it was in federal register. I don't think I
 2
     can appreciate all the objections until I read it. So for
     now, I'm taking it subject to further consideration of the
 4
     nature of the objections after I've reviewed it, and the
 5
     request to order discovery now is overruled.
               You can answer the question if you remember what it
 7
     is.
 8
               THE WITNESS: No, sir, I don't.
 9
     BY MS. RIGGS:
10
11
          Under the heading "Department of Transportation Office of
     the Secretary," it says, "Clarification of departmental
12
13
     position on American Airlines-JetBlue Airways' Northeast
14
     joint venture."
15
               Do you see that?
     Α.
          I do.
16
          And on the bottom of page 2, if we could publish now, is
17
18
     it in evidence?
19
               THE COURT: Yes, it's in evidence. You can publish
     it.
20
                (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 452 admitted into evidence.)
21
     BY MS. RIGGS:
22
23
          We turn to the bottom of page 2 ending in 119. There's a
     sentence at the bottom paragraph that says, "Consistent with
24
     past precedent, the department chose to conduct the review of
25
```

```
the NEA informally and without establishing a docketed
1
 2
     proceeding." And Mr. Laurence, you understand the department
     review of the Northeast Alliance was conducted informally?
     A. Honestly, I don't know what that means. It seemed like a
 4
     pretty formal process, but I don't actually know what that
 5
     means.
          Are you aware of an open docketed proceeding in reviewing
 7
     the Northeast Alliance?
     Α.
          No.
 9
         We can turn to page 3, which ends in 120 --
10
11
     Α.
         Yes.
        -- to the last paragraph. And the first sentence there
12
13
     states, "In this context, DOT's review of the Northeast
14
     Alliance under Section 41720 was not designed to approve or
     disapprove the alliance."
15
               And, Mr. Laurence, you understand don't you that
16
     DOT did not approve the Northeast Alliance?
17
               MR. WALL: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion.
18
19
               MR. SCHWED: Yes, Your Honor, Mr. Laurence --
               THE COURT: Sustained as to that.
20
               MR. SCHWED: Thank you.
21
     BY MS. RIGGS:
22
          If you look further down that same page, the last line
23
     states, "The DOT agreement did not address all of the
24
     department's concerns resulting from the Northeast Alliance's
25
```

- impacts on competition, but instead sought concessions from the carriers that were intended to mitigate some of the anticompetitive harm while providing a means for monitoring
- 4 the NEA's implementation." Did I read that correctly?
 - A. You did.
 - Q. And as mentioned before, for example, the carve-out routes, that you may still codeshare on nonstop overlap markets, correct?
- 9 **A.** Yes.

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. You understand that the DOT agreement with JetBlue and
 American did not address all of DOT's concerns about the
 impact on competition?
 - A. I think it's very difficult for me to understand what all of DOT's concerns were. As we negotiated with them, they brought us their concerns and we offered remedies and we continued to do that through the process. So when we had completed the negotiation, it was my assumption that we had addressed each one of their concerns and mitigated it in the agreement, otherwise they wouldn't have signed it.
 - Q. Do you understand that the sentence here says the DOT agreement did not address all of the department's concerns?
 - A. I see that.
- Q. If you look at the fifth page ending in 122, under the heading DOJ litigation, it reads, "On September 21, 2021, after completing an extended review of the NEA, DOJ announced

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
its determination that the NEA violates the antitrust laws
and that the agency has initiated action to enjoin the
agreements. DOJ has shared with the department its
significant concerns with respect to the effect of the NEA on
competition. The department notes that the DOT agreement
does not, nor was it intended to, wholly address these
concerns."
          Did I read that correctly?
A. You did.
Q. And you understand the DOT agreement does not nor was
intended to wholly address the concerns with respect to the
effect of the Northeast Alliance on competition?
          MR. SCHWED: Objection. Asked and answered.
          THE COURT: Whose concerns?
BY MS. RIGGS:
Q. Do you understand that the DOT agreement does not nor was
intended to wholly address DOT's concerns with respect to the
effect of the Northeast Alliance on --
          THE COURT: That, he's answered.
          MR. SCHWED: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MS. RIGGS:
   And if we look at the last sentence on the page ending in
123 -- sorry --
          THE COURT: Someone might explain to him when.
          MS. RIGGS: Sorry?
```

THE COURT: When, when -- the concerns DOT had 1 might differ at different times. 2 3 MS. RIGGS: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: But he did answer the question before. 4 BY MS. RIGGS: 5 On the last page ending in 123, in the middle of the 6 7 paragraph there, there's a sentence that reads, "However, the department intends to defer to DOJ as the primary enforcer of 8 9 the federal antitrust laws to resolve antitrust concerns with respect to the Northeast Alliance." 10 11 And do you agree that deferring to the DOJ is not the same as approval by the DOT? 12 13 MR. SCHWED: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a 14 legal conclusion. THE COURT: Sustained. 15 BY MS. RIGGS: 16 Mr. Laurence, are you aware that Spirit's complaints are 17 18 still pending before the DOT? I'm aware of a Spirit complaint. I didn't know how it 19 Α. was -- how it was proceeding. 20 Q. Let's move on. We can take that down. 21 I'd like to turn now to the implementation of the 22 23 Northeast Alliance. I'm sorry; the implementation?

24

25

Α.

Q.

Yes.

A. Okay.

1

8

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. So American and JetBlue began implementing the NEA in early 2021; is that correct?
- **A.** We began the implementation process during the first quarter of 2021.
- Q. And approximately how many planes were in JetBlue's fleet at the time?
 - A. Around 280, give or take, about 10, I think.
- 9 **Q.** In constructing a network, the number of routes that
 10 JetBlue can fly is limited by the number of planes it has,
 11 correct?
- 12 A. By and large, yes.
- Q. And the same is true for pilots? You have to have a specific number of pilots in order to fly the planes?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And if you've committed to flying particular routes, that limits your options as to other routes you can fly, right?
 - A. Again, it's a bit more complicated than that, but ultimately, you are -- there's a restraint based on the number of aircraft and the aircraft time available and the number of pilot hours that are available.

But in terms of characterizing that by routes, it depends how long the routes are, the stage length between the routes and how quickly the aircraft are turned around and what times of the day that you actually fly. But overall,

conceptually, I agree that those are the main constraints.

Q. Thank you.

If we could turn in your binder to PX791, and this is in evidence with no redactions, so we can have it published. And this is an e-mail from Mr. Lusso to Johanna Geraghty, copying you, Eric Friedman, and Michael Quiello on August 6, 2021, with the subject "QNR"?

- A. Yes.
- **Q.** And Mr. Lusso was sending Ms. Geraghty the current draft of JetBlue's quarterly network review?
- **A.** Yes.
- Q. Quarterly network reviews are quarterly meetings where
 the network team would present developments to JetBlue's
 senior leadership team?
- **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you and Mr. Lusso presented the quarterly network review to Ms. Geraghty and JetBlue's CEO, Mr. Hayes?
- 18 A. Amongst others of the senior leadership team, I believe.
- Q. And let's turn to the QNR, to page 084.

And here we can see you're listed as a presenter along with the network planning team, correct?

- A. Yes, in general, to present to the senior leadership team, the presenters would need to include a member of the -- of that senior leadership team.
- Q. And if we look at the meeting objectives on this slide,

the second one is listed as, quote, "Discuss fleet requirements to support steady state NEA vision and other growth." Correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And at the bottom of the slide, under "Next Steps," the first next step is quote, "Continue to execute NEA vision while managing aircraft and pilot hour constraints in 2022."

Is it fair to say JetBlue was experiencing fleet and pilot hour constraints at the time?

- A. I would say from a network planning perspective, there are always aircraft and fleet constraints. I think the network planning teams are kind of known as the people that want to grow the airline all the time. So it was, I think, on the dates that are involved here, August 2021, probably particularly.
- Q. And if we could turn to the sixth page, which ends in 088, this is titled "However, there is urgency to invest in specific areas of our pre-COVID network as well as the NEA, likely at the expense of recent initiatives."

And so according to the title of this slide, investing in NEA growth likely meant abandoning or scaling back other JetBlue initiatives?

A. What I would -- yes. But the note here which is recent initiatives, so during COVID, the market for us changed massively overnight. And so as we were first experienced

lockdown and pulled the airline down, we then brought the airline back as quickly as we could.

But what we found was that the only traffic that was available to us was leisure oriented. And so we were eager get the aircraft flying, so we worked a number of projects that were characterized under project reach, which were attempts at reworking our network so we could appeal to the COVID normal that included flying from places that we had sort of never intended in our pre-COVID incumbent plan, a series of markets that tended to be more leisure heavy were markets that were otherwise unserved that it had previously had service.

And so when we launched those, we had anticipated that some would work. We hoped they would. But a number of them, we also assumed would probably have to be reworked into the network and be canceled.

- Q. And, Mr. Laurence, I don't want to cut you off, but I do have a limited amount of time, so some of this your counsel can cover. I would ask if I ask you a yes-or-no question, if we can just stick to yes or no where possible?
- A. Where possible, sure.

Q. But if we could look, actually, at this slide, if you look at the chart on the bottom, the airports shaded gray on the chart are designated for scaled back or slower growth, correct?

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. And on this slide, that includes Orlando,
- Fort Lauderdale, San Juan, Richmond, and Raleigh-Durham?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. And, actually, Orlando, Fort Lauderdale, and San Juan are
- 6 focus cities for JetBlue, correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Predating Project Reach and the Northeast Alliance?
- 9 A. Predating Project Reach, but a number of the markets in
- 10 Project Reach were destined for those cities. Again, if you
- think about those three cities, they're heavily
- leisure-oriented, and so we had reworked our fleet to be able
- 13 to fly more into those cities.
- 14 Q. And none of the airports on this slide are designated for
- scaled back or slower growth within the NEA, correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- Q. And the left-hand axis of this slide depicts the variable
- margin at these airports in June 2021, right?
- 19 A. Yes. So the question is June 2021. I don't know the
- exact period. I think it was just the monthly variable P&L,
- 21 or profit and loss.
- 22 **Q.** And all five of the gray markets designated for scaled
- back and slower growth performed above 20 percent variable
- 24 margin at this time?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

So fair to say they're financially successful airports 1 for JetBlue? 2 3 Α. No. Q. Let's turn to slide 13 ending in 095. This is titled 4 "Pilot hours are limiting capacity growth in 2020." Correct? 5 Α. Yes. 6 And so fair to say pilot hours were still constraining 7 0. JetBlue's capacity at this time? 8 Α. Yes. 9 **Q.** And --10 11 THE COURT: How does usage go down in September? So the -- you're only seeing one year THE WITNESS: 12 here, and so the capacity moves seasonally. And so if you 13 14 think of the year --THE COURT: So September is typically a slow 15 season? 16 THE WITNESS: Right after Labor Day, you see a deep 17 trough and then a trough through the fall, and then you can 18 19 see it build back up for the December holidays. THE COURT: Go ahead. 20 BY MS. RIGGS: 21 If you can turn to the next slide ending in 96, this is 22 23 titled "NEA requires a significant shell investment,

particularly in Mint." And "shells" here refers to

24

25

airplanes?

A. Yes.

- Q. If you look at the right-hand side of this slide, the
- second bullet which is bold and underlined reads, "By 2022,
- 4 including keeping the 30 owned E190s, we still need 18 Mint
- 5 aircraft and 34 All-Core aircraft," correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And the third below, below that says "Steady state
- 8 unachievable until 2026-2027," right?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And, finally, the last bullet says, "This also assumes
- 11 limited growth in other focus cities, such as Los Angeles,
- 12 Fort Lauderdale, and Orlando," right?
- 13 A. That is what we put together and, again, in doing so
- making sure that the senior leadership team understood that
- we needed to be acquiring aircraft.
- Q. And these bullets are conveying that, due to fleet
- constraints, not only will the steady state not be achievable
- until 2026 or 2027, but the growth would also have to be
- 19 scaled back in non-NEA focused cities?
- 20 A. So, again, as this was presented, we laid out exactly
- 21 what you are noting and then highlighted the need to either
- 22 keep aircraft that were slated to retire or acquire aircraft
- 23 that were new to JetBlue.
- MS. RIGGS: And let's pause here. I have a
- 25 document that I'd like to distribute.

```
If you could hand out copies.
1
     BY MS. RIGGS:
 2
 3
     Q. Mr. Laurence, you're being handed a public press release
     by JetBlue in February 2022; is that correct?
 4
     Α.
          Yes.
 5
               MS. RIGGS: I would move to admit this into
 6
 7
     evidence. It does not have a PX number.
               THE COURT: Any objection?
 8
 9
               MR. SCHWED: Yeah, I mean, I do note that it hasn't
     been previously marked as an exhibit or provided to us, but I
10
11
     don't have any objection other than I would request that, in
     the future, if you're adding new exhibits, we get notice of
12
13
     them.
14
               THE COURT:
                          Admitted. And I agree.
                (Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 2000 admitted into
15
               evidence.)
16
               THE COURT: Do we have a number?
17
               MS. RIGGS: Let's assign it PX 2000? Can we do
18
     that?
19
               THE COURT: All right. PX 2000. Let's hope we
20
     don't fill up from 1,000 to 2,000.
21
               MS. RIGGS: I hope not.
22
23
     BY MS. RIGGS:
          This is an announcement of JetBlue of exercising an
24
     Q.
     option to get 30 new A220s by 2026; is that right?
25
```

A. That appears to be the case.

Q. And do you agree that, at the same time as JetBlue publicly announced this aircraft order, JetBlue also announced it would retire the E190s in connection with the A220 order?

MR. SCHWED: Objection, Your Honor. Just from a foundational point of view, I don't know if she's asking him to read this document, but by the date of it, he was no longer at JetBlue. So I'm not sure he has foundation beyond reading the document. And Mr. Hayes, who was here, of course, was present at the time of this announcement.

THE COURT: Do you know beyond reading the -- other than reading the document?

THE WITNESS: I mean, I was aware that JetBlue had announced the order of aircraft, but I don't follow the JetBlue fleet as closely as I used to.

BY MS. RIGGS:

Q. I would just ask, if I could, if you could turn to the fourth paragraph, the sentence that starts, "In 2018." If you want to read that silently to yourself.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I just want to make sure.

THE COURT: Second page, top paragraph.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MS. RIGGS:

Q. And, Mr. Laurence, do you understand that JetBlue's

```
announcement that it would retire the E190s is in connection
1
     with this A220 aircraft order?
 2
               MR. SCHWED: Again, objection if she's talking
     about this announcement in -- trying to interpret this
 4
     announcement from February 2020. Obviously, I have no
 5
     objection to questions about 2018, which is what the
 7
     paragraph refers to, but --
               THE COURT: He can -- I can read it.
 8
     evidence. I know what it says. But I don't know how he
 9
     can -- he wasn't --
10
11
               You left in January --
               THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
12
               THE COURT: -- of 2022?
13
14
               THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
               MS. RIGGS: I can move on, Your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: Unless he -- establish a foundation he
16
     knew about it.
17
     BY MS. RIGGS:
18
19
     0.
          Turning back to the exhibit on the screen, if we could
     turn to slide 126, and this -- the heading reads here, "For
20
     the next five years, aircraft availability presents a
21
     challenge in growing non-NEA focus cities." Do you see that?
22
               MR. SCHWED: Your Honor, if I may just ask, I see
23
     he's still turning pages.
24
25
               So maybe you can just re-ask the question, because
```

- 1 you are reading, and you asked --
- MS. RIGGS: Sorry. I followed the screen. I'm not
- 3 used to paper.
- 4 THE WITNESS: I just want to be able to look at
- 5 both.
- 6 Go ahead.
- 7 BY MS. RIGGS:
- 8 Q. So this slide, the heading reads, "For the next five
- 9 years, aircraft availability presents a challenge in growing
- 10 non-NEA focus cities," correct?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. And it's comparing peak daily departures in 2019, 2025
- realistic, and 2025 desired?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 Q. The only focus city where 2025 realistic and 2025 desired
- are equal is in New York City?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And the bottom bullet or the bottom banner reads, "With
- 19 current aircraft constraints, fulfilling the NEA vision
- 20 remains a challenge in Boston, while Los Angeles, South
- 21 Florida, Orlando, and San Juan all see limited to no growth."
- 22 Do you see that?
- 23 **A.** I do.
- 24 Q. You can set that aside.
- 25 A. On this slide, again, what we were trying to convey to

the leadership team was an alarm bell that we had a number of opportunities. And so as we called out each one of those opportunities, our attempt was to ensure that they understood and that we understood as a leadership team that we needed aircraft.

Q. Are you aware of any changes to the JetBlue fleet plan since your leaving JetBlue, other than what is in PX 2000?

MR. SCHWED: Objection. Foundation.

MS. RIGGS: He works on airline alliances, including the Northeast Alliance.

MR. SCHWED: They don't share overall fleet plans as part of the Northeast Alliance. I think we've established that. I'm fine if she asks, I guess, what's been publicly available, but he obviously would not have confidential information.

THE COURT: I assume he doesn't, but he'd be answering as somebody who knows. It's clear everybody in this industry, understandably, follows what's going on in the industry closely, so if you know.

THE WITNESS: So with the exception of this document that was provided to me, I don't know of other changes.

23 BY MS. RIGGS:

Q. I'd like to switch gears now and talk a little bit about

New York. And first, Mr. Laurence, you're familiar with the

- 1 term "catchment area"?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. A catchment area refers to an area that a specific
- 4 airport draws its customers from?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. And while you were at JetBlue, you would observe that
- 7 JetBlue's JFK and Newark flights served different catchment
- 8 areas, correct?
- 9 A. They served different catchment areas, and they also had
- a great deal of overlap.
- 11 Q. And let me ask you this: Prior to this litigation today,
- 12 you were deposed in a litigation involving a slot swap at
- 13 Newark airport, right?
- 14 **A.** Would that be in 2016?
- 15 **Q.** Yes.
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. And JetBlue was not a party to that litigation?
- 18 **A.** No.
- 19 Q. You would have testified truthfully under oath in that
- 20 litigation?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. And I'd like to turn to your deposition in the Newark
- 23 slots litigation, which is in the depo transcript binder.
- 24 A. It's at the back?
- 25 **Q.** Yes.

```
Okay.
1
     Α.
          And I'll direct your attention to page 27.
 2
     Q.
 3
               MR. SCHWED: Your Honor, I'm not sure the basis of
 4
     introducing a deposition from a prior case in this matter, or
     reading that into the record. It's not an exhibit.
 5
               MS. RIGGS: Your Honor, this is a litigation
 6
 7
     deposition involving market definition and whether Newark is
     included in the market in New York City, and Mr. Laurence's
8
 9
     testimony was refreshed at his recent deposition and I
     believe is instructive to the market definition issues in our
10
11
     case.
               THE COURT: Are you impeaching him, or are you
12
     offering it? Or is this just foundation for something
13
     further?
14
               MS. RIGGS: It's foundation. Unfortunately, I have
15
     to get a couple of questions before I get to the recent
16
     deposition testimony.
17
18
               THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule it for now.
     Go ahead.
19
     BY MS. RIGGS:
20
     Q. If I could just turn to page 27, lines 20, to page 28,
21
     line 3?
22
23
               THE COURT: Which one -- oh, I see. 27, line 20,
     to page 28, line 3?
24
```

MS. RIGGS: Correct.

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WALL: Your Honor, I just -- I also just want to rise to say I think we have a hearsay objection to this that was previously lodged. You know, it -- from our perspective, it's a deposition in a case that American has nothing to do with. THE COURT: Well, she hasn't offered it yet. hear what you're saying, that you might have a hearsay objection, but right now, all she's asking him is to look at it. MR. WALL: It seems to me an awful lot like what counsel was objecting to the other day, except more extreme, just reading the deposition as if it were a question. But in this case, it's not the deposition from this case. It's from an entirely different case. BY MS. RIGGS: Well, Mr. Laurence, you worked at JetBlue at the time of this deposition, correct? Α. Yes. Q. And you were testifying as an executive of JetBlue at the time? Α. Yes. THE COURT: What's the question as to the transcript? I take it you've looked at those couple lines now? 27, line 20, to 28, line 3?

THE WITNESS: Okay.

BY MS. RIGGS:

1

2

4

22

23

24

- Q. And, Mr. Laurence, JetBlue served JFK and Newark because JetBlue saw a different catchment area in Newark than at JFK, correct?
- A. As I noted here that there was a different catchment area based upon, in this case, ZIP code data from customers. What I didn't note here, and what I would likely note is, that there is also a great deal of overlap, for example, in lower Manhattan.
- Q. And you also testified -- you also understood from your time at JetBlue that customers that fly from JFK tend to live east of the Hudson River and customers who fly from Newark tend to live west of the Hudson River, correct?
- 14 A. Yes. That was my testimony.
- Q. And while you were at JetBlue, your team had done an analysis of the catchment areas of the New York City airports, correct?
- 18 A. Yes, a number of times.
- Q. And you also observed from that catchment analysis and your time at JetBlue that LaGuardia's catchment operates similarly to JFK, correct?
 - A. I did, although what I would note here, since 2016, some of the things that had taken place -- I'll give an example -- when we saw for the first time the fares really dropping at one of the airports -- so, for example, when Spirit entered

Newark, and we saw a great deal of competition, we saw fares drop in Newark and customers actually coming from points that weren't traditionally in the Newark catchment, so this happened in sort of 2018.

And so the result from the industry was actually to match the very low Newark fares at JFK and LaGuardia, because the catchment for -- the traditional catchment for those airports was drawn in by the lower fares at Newark. And, again, what we observed was, when the fares would move around the different airports, the customers tended to move and utilize the airports a bit differently than we had seen previously.

- Q. And understanding that there might be specific examples that differ, my question is if you agree that, in your experience, there's less of an interaction with Newark than there is an interaction between JFK and LaGuardia?
- A. Yes, I agree with that.
- Q. You can set that testimony aside.

Mr. Laurence, you're familiar with the term
"monopoly market," correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. A monopoly market is a market that is only served by one airline?
- A. So I would lay out a monopoly route, as opposed to
 monopoly market. There's a -- it's a bit wonkish, but the

```
example being a market that is served by one airline versus a
1
 2
     route, so --
         Well, let's turn to your June 2022, deposition, please.
     Q.
 4
     A. June?
         2022. And I would like to turn to page 115. And I'll
 5
     Q.
     read starting at line 20. Are you there?
 7
          Yes.
     Α.
          "QUESTION: Okay. Mr. Laurence, are you familiar" --
     Q.
 9
               MR. SCHWED: Can I just object? Your Honor, I
     don't understand the basis of just reading in deposition
10
     testimony. Obviously, if this is a prior inconsistent
11
     statement --
12
13
               MS. RIGGS: This is for impeachment.
14
               MR. SCHWED: -- then that's one thing.
               THE COURT: I can she's --
15
               MR. SCHWED: But they're regularly reading in
16
     deposition testimony, if I may.
17
18
               THE COURT:
                           I think she's only been reading in
19
     deposition testimony to impeach him.
               MS. RIGGS: Your Honor, I intend to offer this
20
     question if I'm allowed to say it for impeachment purposes.
21
               THE COURT: Go ahead.
22
23
     BY MS. RIGGS:
               "QUESTION: Okay. Mr. Laurence are you familiar
24
     Q.
     with the term 'monopoly market'?
25
```

```
"ANSWER:
                        Yes.
1
               "QUESTION: Okay. What's" --
 2
               THE COURT: What line?
 3
               MS. RIGGS: Starting at line 20 on page 115.
 4
               THE COURT: Oh, there I am. Thank you. Sorry. Go
 5
     ahead.
 6
 7
     BY MS. RIGGS:
               "QUESTION: What's your understanding of what that
 8
     Q.
 9
     term means in the context of the airline industry?
               "ANSWER: Within the airline industry, I would take
10
11
     that to mean a market that is only served by one airline."
               MR. SCHWED: Your Honor, I object to the attempt to
12
     use something that's not inconsistent for impeachment.
13
               MS. RIGGS: You know, I move to admit.
14
               THE COURT: Overruled. Admitted.
15
                (Plaintiff Exhibit No. Deposition of Scott
16
               Laurence, June 2022, page 115, line 20 to page 116,
17
               line 3 admitted into evidence.)
18
     BY MS. RIGGS:
19
        And this is page 115, line 20, through page 116, line 3.
20
               In this litigation, JetBlue and American have been
21
     claiming that Newark is part of the New York market, correct?
22
23
     Α.
               I mean, we've spoken to it as if it were part of
     the New York market, yes.
24
          And that flights from Newark compete with flights at JFK
25
     Q.
```

and LaGuardia?

- A. Yes. And I think that, even in the prior deposition, I talked about the use of JetBlue's brand strength across the New York area to attract customers was there. That was actually in the 2016 deposition right before the question that came up that was my prior response.
- Q. But in general, you serve flights from New York and flights from LaGuardia or JFK to the same destination because you find that they don't cannibalize from each other, correct?
- A. That can be true depending on the demand set that's there. As more capacity comes in, I -- it is possible that you will cannibalize from these markets, particularly between JFK and LaGuardia.
- Q. And I apologize; I may have misspoke. I'm asking you whether flights from LaGuardia and JFK tend not to cannibalize from flights at New Jersey Newark airport.

THE COURT: When they're all going to the -MS. RIGGS: When they're all going to the same
destination.

THE WITNESS: So I think the example that I just testified to, it is highly variable and depends on the fares. So the example I cited of Spirit's entry in -- or Spirit's growth when they had the ability to grow in Newark meant that the markets were sort of cannibalizing off of each other,

which is why I believe the industry responded by matching Newark fares at JFK and LaGuardia.

And given that our market set at JetBlue tended to be more leisure-oriented markets -- I don't tell like to give an answer like "it depends," but it does very much depend on the competitive set and the fares that are involved. And it is dynamic. It changes all the time because these airports, while it's not easy to get around New York City, you're talking about airports that are 10 or 12 miles apart.

- 10 BY MS. RIGGS:
- Q. Let's turn in your binder to PX 446, which is in evidence. And this is a September 27, 2021, submission from
- JetBlue to the Department of Transportation. Do you see
- 14 that?

1

2

3

4

5

7

- 15 **A.** Just give me a second. 446?
- 16 THE COURT: Yes.
- 17 BY MS. RIGGS:
- 18 **Q.** 446.
- 19 A. Okay. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- Q. This is a September 27, 2021, submission from JetBlue to the Department of Transportation, correct?
- 22 A. That appears to be the case, yes.
- Q. And JetBlue was seeking to receive 16 runway timings at Newark airport?
- MR. SCHWED: Your Honor, I may just request that

- she lay a foundation before questioning this witness on this
- document, given that he's not the signatory.
- BY MS. RIGGS:
- 4 Q. Mr. Laurence, as head of revenue and planning for JetBlue
- 5 you would have assisted in the project to receive runway
- 6 timings at Newark airport, correct?
- 7 A. I would have worked on the project, yes.
- 8 Q. And this document is a regulatory submission involving
- 9 JetBlue seeking runway timings at Newark airport?
- 10 A. It is. I don't know -- this is not the kind of document
- that I would have normally -- I may have gotten a copy of it.
- 12 I probably would not have edited it. Mr. Land would likely
- have sent this to me, but it's the kind of thing I would have
- briefly glanced at unless he called something specific to my
- 15 attention.
- 16 Q. Let's just see if you can verify some of the statements
- that JetBlue is making in -- within this submission within
- your knowledge as head of revenue and planning. Okay?
- 19 A. Uh-huh, yes.
- Q. JetBlue is making the case that it should receive these
- 21 runway timings at Newark Airport, right?
- 22 A. That appears to be the case, yes.
- Q. And if you look at the bottom paragraph on page 1, under
- "background," would you agree JetBlue is discussing its
- expansion at Newark airport from 2016 to 2019?

8

9

10

11

12

14

19

```
MR. SCHWED: Again, Your Honor, I just object to
1
     her asking him to interpret this document, given what he's
 2
     testified to about his knowledge of it.
               MS. RIGGS: Mr. Laurence --
               THE COURT: Overruled.
 5
     BY MS. RIGGS:
 6
          -- as head of revenue and planning, were you involved in
 7
     the expansion of Newark airport at JetBlue from 2016 to 2019?
     Α.
          Yes.
          And if you turn to page 2 ending in 936 --
     0.
     Α.
          I'm sorry.
          If you turned to page 2 ending in 936 --
     Q.
13
     Α.
          Yes.
          -- in the middle of the top paragraph, there's a sentence
     that reads, "Fifteen of the 29 new JetBlue destinations were
15
     previously monopoly markets that only United served from
16
     Newark and which have benefited the most from new, much
17
18
     needed low-fare competition."
               Do you see that?
     Α.
          I do.
20
          And here JetBlue is saying that United routes at Newark
     were monopoly markets?
22
23
               MR. SCHWED: Objection. She's trying to equate
     this to a very important legal term in this case, and it's
24
     being used in a very different context and obviously not an
25
```

antitrust context, and especially with a witness that did not write this document and has no knowledge of it.

MS. RIGGS: I believe his prior testimony is in evidence for the truth of the matter about his understanding.

THE COURT: Overruled. The equivalency is not necessarily established, but you can ask the questions, of course, and get him to answer them.

BY MS. RIGGS:

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Mr. Laurence, you previously testified as to your understanding of a monopoly market, correct?
- A. Yes. Monopoly markets versus monopoly routes. I think
 the difference here is that this document appears to me to be
 advocacy. You know, it's the concept of advocating for
 something, so it's highlighting this in the best possible
 light, I'm assuming.
 - Q. Well, Mr. Laurence, JetBlue would want to be truthful in submissions to regulatory authorities, correct?
 - A. So, again, I think, when advocating, you, I believe, put your best foot forward in terms of the case that can be made.
 - Q. Okay. So on September 27, 2021, JetBlue's advocacy to the Department of Transportation was that these are routes that United served as monopoly markets from Newark, correct?
- 23 A. That is what I'm reading, yes.
- Q. And if we look at footnote 3 down at the bottom of the page, this identifies the 15 routes that United served from

- 1 Newark prior to JetBlue's entry, right?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And JetBlue introduced new, much-needed, low-fare
- 4 competition on these 15 routes, right?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And the routes listed in footnote 3, JetBlue already served some of these routes from JFK or LaGuardia, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You can set that aside.
- 10 I'd like to shift gears to another topic that
- 11 Mr. Wall touched upon earlier with Mr. Raja, which is a
- 12 little bit about American's West Coast alliance with Alaska.
- So if we can turn to PX 500. This is in evidence with no
- redactions. It's in the very back of your binder. It's not
- 15 in order.
- 16 A. I'm sorry. PX?
- 17 Q. 500. It's in the very back of the binder.
- MR. SCHWED: It's out of order. It's the very
- 19 last --
- THE WITNESS: I've got it.
- 21 BY MS. RIGGS:
- 22 Q. This is an e-mail exchange between you and Reese Davidson
- on May 28, 2020, with updates about two regulatory matters;
- 24 is that right?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And one of those matters is DOT allowing the Alaska-American alliance to go forward?
 - A. That appears to be the case, yes.
- 4 0. And if you look towards the end of Mr. Davidson's original e-mail, he wrote, "There was apparently some 5 emphasis placed on the fact that Alaska only operates to 7 Canada, Mexico, and Costa Rica, and doesn't compete with American in the vast majority of international markets. I 8 take that to mean that Alaska' placing its code on 9 American-operated international flights doesn't present any 10 11 competition concerns because Alaska isn't a competitor in those markets. But that would obviously be different for 12
 - Did I read that correctly?
 - A. Yes.

us."

1

2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. And you understood there that Mr. Davidson meant there's more overlap in American-JetBlue international networks than in American-Alaska international networks, correct?
- A. I'm not sure what Mr. Davidson meant. There was some overlap between JetBlue and American, but it was quite limited when compared to JetBlue's overlap with other airlines.
- Q. Do you agree with the statement that Mr. Davidson wrote?
- 24 A. I mean, I -- I don't know. This concept of apparently 25 emphasis placed on Alaska operating things, I don't know

- where that emphasis came from. And, again, my take was that
- 2 JetBlue and American had very limited international overlap
- in the NEA. There were a few markets. Some markets on
- 4 American operated on weekends, but I did not consider them to
- be a primary competitor in international routes from New York
- 6 and Boston.
- 7 Q. Would it help your memory to refresh your recollection
- 8 with your June 2021 deposition transcript?
- 9 **A.** Sure.
- 10 Q. Let's turn to that June 2021 transcript, to page 218.
- 11 **A.** 218?
- 12 **Q.** Yes.
- 13 **A.** Okay.
- Q. And I'd like you to read to yourself lines 12 through 22.
- 15 A. Go ahead.
- Q. Does that refresh your recollection that you agree that
- there's more overlap in the American-JetBlue relationship
- than in the American-Alaska relationship?
- MR. WALL: That's a different question, Your Honor.
- That's a different question than what he addressed before.
- MR. SCHWED: And, in fact, I don't believe he said
- 22 he didn't have a recollection, which is what you need to
- 23 refresh a recollection.
- THE COURT: Well, why don't you just ask about
- 25 that, and we won't have to worry about what's refreshed or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
I thought that's what you were asking about before,
although he answered about something a little different. But
your issue is about whether there is overlap or not, right?
         MS. RIGGS: Your Honor, I believe I just prefer to
read the testimony and offer it as a party admission.
          MR. SCHWED: Your Honor, I just object to the
reading of testimony and offering it as a party admission.
There's --
          THE COURT: Ask him whether he thinks that there's
more overlap between American and JetBlue than American and
Alaska.
        Isn't that --
BY MS. RIGGS:
Q. Mr. Laurence, do you agree that there's more overlap in
the American-JetBlue relationship than in American-Alaska
relationship?
          THE COURT: At that time.
          THE WITNESS: Yes, I believe that is the case.
Yes.
          THE COURT: And that's what you understood
Mr. Davidson to be describing there?
          THE WITNESS: So I think Mr. Davidson had
highlighted there was very limited Alaska-American
international cooperation.
         As I look at the question that's here, it's
noted --
```

```
THE COURT: Not the question.
1
               THE WITNESS:
                              Sorry.
 2
               THE COURT: Just asking.
 3
               THE WITNESS: So with Mr. Davidson, I -- my take
 4
     was that he was highlighting there's very limited
 5
     Alaska-American overlap internationally and that we --
 7
     JetBlue had more overlap than that.
                           That's what he means by -- that's what
 8
               THE COURT:
 9
     you understood him to mean about "that obviously would be
     different for us."
10
               THE WITNESS: Yes. The question that's bouncing
11
     around here is the inclusion of JetBlue flying to London.
12
13
               THE COURT: Oh. All right.
               THE WITNESS: It's just what I'm --
14
               THE COURT: I don't know that. You could be right.
15
               THE WITNESS: I'm not sure -- as I look at the
16
     deposition -- I'm sorry. If I look at these two things, if I
17
18
     look at this today and I read it, I read it for what's on the
19
     page, which is, right, there's Alaska Airlines flying to
     Canada, Mexico and Costa Rica.
20
               I know that JetBlue and American likely had more
21
     overlap than that, but it's not something that I considered
22
23
     at the time to be significant. As I look at that now and
     think, there were a few markets that overlap, markets like
24
25
     Antiqua or a Saturday flight from Boston --
```

THE COURT: You think London was underlying this. 1

THE WITNESS: London wasn't flying yet. As I looked at this in May of 2020, late May of 2020, I think that what Mr. Davidson, as I look at, this may have been referencing was the concept that JetBlue and American could be competing in London. Because Mr. Davidson was likely working on those matters on a regulatory side or with the CMA in the UK.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm not sure where that leaves 10 you.

11 BY MS. RIGGS:

2

3

4

5

7

9

- Let's try setting this aside and taking it down. 12
- Mr. Laurence, do you agree there's more overlap in the 13
- 14 American-JetBlue domestic flying than there is in the
- American-Alaska alliance? 15
- I think that's likely, yes. 16
- And do you also agree that, under the West Coast 17 alliance, one of the purposes of that alliance is for Alaska 18 19 domestic passengers to feed American international flights?
- As the alliance -- as I understand the alliance was 20 envisioned, yes. 21
- And the equivalent of that in the Northeast Alliance 22 23 would be JetBlue feeding domestic passengers to American international flights, correct? 24
 - A. Correct.

- Q. And specifically, the international destinations that

 JetBlue doesn't serve, like Tel-Aviv?
 - A. So in comparison of American and Alaska, because of COVID, American has very limited international flying in Seattle to work with Alaska Airlines.

But through the NEA, American had added -- sort of dramatically added long-haul capacity that JetBlue feeds through JFK. So markets like Tel-Aviv or Delhi or Santiago, or the transatlantic flying.

- Q. And that's one of the benefits of the Northeast Alliance, according to the parties, right?
- 12 **A.** Yes.

3

4

5

7

- Q. Except JetBlue did serve Tel-Aviv, right, via its partnership with El Al Israel Airlines?
- A. So JetBlue did have a relationship with El Al that provided service to Tel-Aviv on a connecting basis.
- Q. And JetBlue served other international destinations like

 Dubai and Athens via a partnership with Emirates?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. And overall, before the Northeast Alliance, JetBlue had over a dozen codesharing agreements with various other airlines, right?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 Q. South African Airways?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. Japan Airlines?
- 2 **A.** Yes.

- Q. And also Icelandair, Aer Lingus, Azul, Royal Air Maroc,
- 4 Etihad, Turkish Airlines, Tap Portugal, Singapore Airlines.
- 5 It's a long list, right?
- A. It is, but there's not codesharing with each of those
- 7 carriers. There's a differential with some cases it's
- 8 unilateral or bilateral codeshare, where the code rides both
- 9 airlines, and in some cases, it's unilateral code, and in
- some cases these are interlying agreements between the
- 11 airlines.
- 12 Q. And JetBlue also had domestic codeshare agreements with
- 13 | Cape Air and Hawaiian?
- 14 A. Yes. With Cape Air, yes, a code. With Hawaiian, I don't
- remember if it was an airline agreement or a codeshare.
- 16 Q. Specifically, the Hawaiian Airlines codeshare connects
- 17 JetBlue passengers to Hawaii, right?
- 18 A. Yes. JetBlue and Hawaii cooperate. I just don't
- 19 remember if it was -- I believe it's codeshare, but it may
- 20 just be an interline agreement that allows customers to
- 21 connect between two flight numbers. I just don't recall if
- 22 it was an interline or codeshare.
- 23 Q. So it would be incorrect to assert that JetBlue needs the
- Northeast Alliance in order to connect passengers to Hawaii?
- 25 A. I'm sorry, it would be -- you're just -- your phrasing.

- Q. It would be incorrect to assert that JetBlue needs the Northeast Alliance to connect its passengers to Hawaii?
- A. So JetBlue had the capability of connecting customers to
- 4 Hawaii on a limited basis from Hawaiian's gateways in
- 5 New York, Boston, and Los Angeles.
- 6 Q. I'd like to turn now and ask a little bit about the early
- 7 days of Project Reach, which I think you touched upon
- 8 earlier.
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And you recall JetBlue's planned expansion to take
- advantage of opportunities to launch new routes under COVID,
- 12 right?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And specifically, you recall that JetBlue announced 30
- new routes on June 18, 2020, as part of JetBlue's Project
- 16 Reach?
- 17 A. The date sounds correct.
- 18 O. And JetBlue announced new routes to and from Newark
- 19 Airport in June 2020, correct?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. And I'm not trying to quiz you. There's an exhibit if it
- 22 would refresh your recollection --
- 23 A. It would.
- 24 Q. -- it's PX640.
- 25 **A.** Thank you.

Okay. 1 And JetBlue announced new routes to Newark Airport in 2 3 June -- on June 18, 2020, correct? Α. Yes. 4 And JetBlue also announced Mint service on Newark to 5 Q. Los Angeles and Newark to San Francisco? Yes. 7 Α. And this JetBlue announcement involved many nonstop 8 Q. routes that competed against American, correct? 9 Α. Yes. 10 11 JetBlue announced these 30 new routes prior to announcement of the Northeast Alliance? 12 13 We made this announcement prior to the signing of the 14 Northeast Alliance, but we announced these in anticipation of the Northeast Alliance, in cases where there was -- the 15 Newark flying was involved. 16 Would you turn in your binder to PX738, and this is in 17 18 evidence, but has redacted phone numbers. And, again, 19 plaintiffs -- it's a series of text messages, so plaintiffs have prepared a demonstrative, PX738A, which contains the 20 same redactions but reproduces the text messages in a table. 21 May we --22 23 THE COURT: Yes. MS. RIGGS: Move to use PX738A? 24

THE COURT: Yes.

- 1 BY MS. RIGGS:
- Q. This is a series of consecutive text messages between you
- and Michael Quiello, on June 18, 2020?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. And Mr. Quiello is chief of staff for JetBlue's
- 6 president, Joanna Geraghty?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And these text messages are on June 18th, the same day as
- 9 JetBlue's new group announcement?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. And looking at the first text, Mr. Quiello is asking you
- to look at a slide for him?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- Q. You made three replies, starting at 2:12 p.m. You wrote,
- "I can't touch it today. Go to Lusso," and then, "Slammed
- 16 with media and Connie blowback."
- Do you see that?
- 18 **A.** I do.
- 19 Q. And "Connie blowback" refers to Mr. Raja at American
- 20 Airlines expressing concerns about JetBlue's new route
- 21 announcement, correct?
- 22 A. Well, Mr. Raja expressed concern about the intentions. I
- don't think he specifically called out JetBlue's intentions.
- I don't think he specifically called out the route
- announcements when we spoke.

- 1 Q. And you reported that concern up to your bosses,
- 2 Mr. Hayes and Ms. Geraghty?
- 3 A. I think I spoke to Mr. Hayes about it. I can't remember
- 4 if I spoke to Ms. Geraghty.
- 5 Q. These routes that JetBlue entered, JetBlue independently
- 6 planned to enter these routes without consulting with
- 7 American first, correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. But now if JetBlue plans to add new routes within the
- 10 Northeast Alliance, JetBlue would consult with you and
- 11 American Airlines first, correct?
- 12 A. The -- within the Northeast Alliance, outside of the
- carve-out routes, these are things that we would likely have
- 14 discussed.
- 15 Q. And you can set that aside.
- 16 I'd like to turn to PX846. This document is also
- already admitted and has agreed-upon redactions and
- plaintiffs have prepared a demonstrative, which I would move
- 19 to use?
- 20 THE COURT: Yes.
- 21 BY MS. RIGGS:
- 22 **Q.** This is a chain of text messages between you and Warren
- 23 Christie, on August 30, 2021, right?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. Mr. Christie was the head of operations at JetBlue at the

time?

- 2 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And in terms of the date, August 30, 2021, that's several weeks after JetBlue's first flight to London from JFK?
- A. Yes. I think London began at the beginning of August, if
 I recall, maybe the middle of August.
- Q. And at a high level, without going into anything redacted as confidential, this text chain is discussing where JetBlue might codeshare with American under the Northeast Alliance, correct?
- 11 **A.** It has some -- I think Mr. Christie was asking me about 12 some specific areas of interest for him and his -- some of 13 his internal stakeholders.
- Q. If you look at the text ending in "126" in the middle of
 the page, it's sent at 11:56 a.m., you wrote, "I request
 suspect Robin will walk from our transatlantic before walking
 from code on AA transatlantic."
- "Robin" there refers to JetBlue's CEO, Robin Hayes?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And you thought Mr. Hayes felt strongly enough about the ability for JetBlue to codeshare on American's transatlantic flights that he might abandon JetBlue's own transatlantic flights to do so?
- A. I wasn't sure. I was actually telling Mr. Christie that
 I wanted him to back off of this. It was important to me and

- that I was willing to go to Robin, and I was expressing my

 opinion of what I thought would transpire in that discussion.

 So it was very much a text message that was my respectful way
- of telling Mr. Christie that I thought he was treading in the wrong area.
- Q. That's what you wrote?

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Well, that is what I communicated.
- Q. Okay. After -- and we can take that down.

After JetBlue entered the Northeast Alliance, did there come a time when you understood Mr. Hayes had concerns about American taking advantage of JetBlue?

- A. I think Mr. Hayes had a healthy paranoia of pretty much everything that we worked on.
 - Q. And you understood Mr. Hayes felt American could take advantage of JetBlue as the NEA evolves?
 - A. I think Mr. Hayes had expressed to me that American had, in his view, nearly unlimited resources, and he felt that our resources were more limited and probably less experienced than's American's. So he consistently asked me to stay in the details of exchanges with American. And that led to a number of conversations between us where I would highlight that our interests tend to be aligned with American, and he would very rightfully ask me to ensure that I understood every detail.
 - Q. And let's turn to PX844 in your binder. This is a

```
March 10, 2021, text message chain between you and a
1
     third-party consultant?
 2
 3
     Α.
          Yes.
          And you were text messaging this third party in
 4
     connection with your job responsibilities at JetBlue,
 5
     correct?
 7
     Α.
          Yes.
          You were conveying what you understood Mr. Hayes's
 8
     Q.
     position to be on the Northeast Alliance at the time?
     Α.
          No.
10
11
               MS. RIGGS: Your Honor, I move to admit PX844 into
     evidence.
12
13
               MR. SCHWED: No objection, other than to the
14
     third-party statements. But for any statement by
15
     Mr. Laurence, we have no objection.
                THE WITNESS: Can I -- if it's possible to -- I'm
16
     sorry, is there a question come.
17
18
                THE COURT:
                            There's no question at the moment.
19
                THE WITNESS: Okay.
                           That's the hard part about your spot.
20
                THE COURT:
     You don't get to speak unless someone asks you a questions.
21
               MS. RIGGS: For the record --
22
23
                THE WITNESS: I'll live, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: Yeah, so --
24
               MS. RIGGS: For the record, Your Honor, with that
25
```

```
limitation, we have no --
1
               THE COURT: Fine. I'll admit 844 and 844A, but the
 2
 3
     comments of the third party are not admitted for the truth of
     the matter asserted.
 4
                (Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 844 and 844A admitted into
 5
               evidence.)
 6
               MS. RIGGS: Thank you, Your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
 8
               MS. RIGGS: And if we could have it published.
 9
     have a demonstrative for this, as well.
10
11
               THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.
     BY MS. RIGGS:
12
          I'd like to direct your attention to the text message.
13
     The Bates number ends in 400. You wrote, "I think NEA is
14
     dead, as Robin isn't supportive." Do you see that?
15
          I do.
16
     Α.
               MR. SCHWED: Can you just pull this off the screen
17
     for one second. Just there's a phone number at the top.
18
19
     Maybe if you just don't -- I realized it looks like there's
     some phone numbers.
20
               MS. RIGGS: Missing a redaction.
21
               MR. WALL: A personal cell phone that we prefer not
22
23
     display. If you can it in a way that does not display the
     phone numbers that are right at the top, I have no objection.
24
25
               THE COURT: There you go.
```

BY MS. RIGGS:

- Q. And I believe you already answered my question. I would like to move to the reply, your further reply, ending in 407, where you wrote, "My take on the discussion, he doesn't feel we're in a spot American can take advantage of us, but that could change as the deal evolves." Do you see that?
- A. I do.
- Q. And you were saying there, Mr. Hayes is not supportive of the NEA because the deal could evolve to a point where American can take advantage of JetBlue, correct?
- 11 A. So I think it's important to set some context.

THE COURT: Hold on. What's ZBS.

THE WITNESS: So that's the context I was intending to set, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

THE WITNESS: So this exchange in March of 2021 was from outside -- outside business partners from Boston Consulting Group. They were questioning two potential engagements. One of them was ZBS, which had to do with a sort of scheduling technology where we enhanced aircraft scheduling process, had nothing to do with the NEA.

The second was that they were pitching to us a project, an engagement on the NEA where they would, in effect, audit our work. And so when I said that NEA is dead to them, what I meant was the concept of the NEA project. So

I had gone to Mr. Hayes. I had told him there was a proposal from the consulting group to audit some of our work. I actually thought it made sense to have somebody else take a look at what we've done.

Mr. Hayes objected to that because it was expensive and he thought we would have time if things didn't go forward in the world of continually sort of looking at this and being in the details, I don't think he was eager — he was eager for me to take that responsibility and not outsource that work to a management consulting team.

11 BY MS. RIGGS:

- Q. And you wrote, "He doesn't feel we're in a spot where
 American can take advantage of us, but that could change as
 the deal evolves." Correct?
- 15 A. I did write that.
- MS. RIGGS: And we can set this aside.
- 17 BY MS. RIGGS:
 - Q. So after this text exchange, JetBlue went on in 2021 to accrue a large transfer payment to American under the MGIA of over \$1 million, correct?
 - A. So because of the way that the transfer payment worked and as we moved through COVID and American was adding capacity in the NEA more quickly than JetBlue, it drove out a very large potential transfer payment based on the formula.
 - Q. So the answer to my question is yes?

- A. Yes, but we were kind of working in COVID at all times, which is why we made an adjustment.
 - Q. And then after that adjustment, you left JetBlue?
- A. So -- and, again, you have to help me with the timing. I
 did work through the first transfer payment discussion. I
 believe as I was leaving, there was a second discussion about

transfer payment that went into the second part of December,

- 8 and then I did leave JetBlue.
- 9 **Q.** And then after you left JetBlue, you are aware that JetBlue took down a bunch of capacity in spring 2022?
- 11 **A.** Yes.

3

- Q. And in second quarter 2022, JetBlue publicly announced record revenues?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 | Q. But it --
- 16 A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. But it still didn't turn a profit because its costs were so high?
- A. So JetBlue's costs were driven out by the fact they
 couldn't operate the entire fleet, and so without the ability
 to grow, lacking resources, I believe that that led to a
 dramatic increase in unit costs that led to a loss even on
 record revenue.
- MS. RIGGS: Pass the witness, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination.

MR. SCHWED: Yes, Your Honor. Just give me one 1 2 second to --3 THE COURT: Sure. 4 MR. SCHWED: -- hand out the binders. 5 THE COURT: While you're getting ready, just one follow-up question I have, I'm wondering about. This sort of 6 7 comes up at different times, about the transfer payment. THE WITNESS: 8 Yes. 9 THE COURT: And I understand that the first payment was due in the course of the all the events in COVID and the 10 11 like, but the contract seems to say that payment obligations aren't subject to the force majeure clause, if I read it 12 13 right. 14 THE WITNESS: I believe that's the case, sir. THE COURT: So then -- and when the contract was 15 signed, it was during COVID, right? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 THE COURT: So then -- so then it's just -- I'm 18 wondering -- it's just a contractual obligation to pay north 19 of a hundred million dollars. 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. I think the thinking amongst 21 the parties was that this was a long-term agreement and that 22 23 the unintended consequence of COVID, of American adding a great deal of long-haul capacity into JFK that didn't perform 24 25 as well as I think we would have expected, at JetBlue at

least, if you sort of think about the recovery, the recovery was Florida and leisure first. Long-haul international were places where you oftentimes, we'd either have COVID shutdowns or tests or things like that involved.

And so as the parties looked at this, I think we both saw this as a long-term agreement and one where we wouldn't want to, at least from my perspective at JetBlue, sour things with the first payment or two, because there was a long period of time where we could jointly compete, but it is a — I mean, it was a hotly contested discussion and it was a negotiation. But there was no contractual fallback to point at something and say I need relief from this. It was a literal ask for relief and I think a recognition on American —

THE COURT: That you want the deal to work long-term.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: You need to make an adjustment here because it arose out of COVID, even though the contract excluded payments from the force majeure.

THE WITNESS: Yes, and I think the other piece was we were in COVID when we signed the agreement, but the recovery was so much sort of a nonlinear. We would think we were on the road to recovery and then we would hit a variant or something else would come up, and so every time we thought

```
we were recovering we would fall down a month later.
1
 2
               And so I think part of this was, even signing
 3
     during COVID, we think it was sort of how we envision travel
 4
     in COVID in July of 2020 versus how we saw it in July of
     2021.
 5
               THE COURT: June 2020, right?
 6
               THE WITNESS: July of 2020 -- or it is June.
 7
     You're right. I'm sorry.
8
 9
               THE COURT: I think it's June.
10
               THE WITNESS: Sorry, sir.
11
               THE COURT:
                          That's okay.
               THE WITNESS: Anyway, the change between June of
12
13
     2020 and June of 2021 was massive in terms of the markets
14
     that were recovering. International came back more quickly
     after June of 2021 and then even faster in 2022 when things
15
     like testing requirements went away.
16
               THE COURT: All right.
17
               THE WITNESS: So it was just such a --
18
19
               THE COURT: I understand.
20
               THE WITNESS: We were all along for the ride.
               THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.
21
               Go ahead.
22
23
                 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR JETBLUE
     BY MR. SCHWED:
24
        Mr. Laurence, I just want to briefly touch on your
25
```

background and departure from JetBlue. I know you covered 1 that before, so I'm not going to go over it, but just, when 2 you decided to leave JetBlue, did you have a plan to go to American Airlines? 4 Α. 5 No. Did you plan to stay at Delta? Ο. 7 Α. Yes. Why did you leave Delta? Q. 9 Α. I arrived at Delta, and the combination of the job not -and the environment not being what I expected and some family 10 11 issues with a move to Atlanta, I think, were troublesome. And at that point, American has made it clear that there was 12 13 an opportunity where I thought I would fit better, and I 14 hadn't moved my family yet. Q. Okay. 15 MR. SCHWED: If you could, Andy, call up the 16 redacted version of 285B. 17 18 And just -- I'm going to move to admit into evidence 284 and 285B, which I believe there was no -- there 19 was an objection. We've resolved it. No current objection, 20 correct? 21 MS. RIGGS: That's correct. 22 23 THE COURT: All right. Admitted. (Defendants' Exhibit No. 284 and 285B admitted into 24

25

evidence.)

BY MR. SCHWED:

1

- 2 Q. Can you describe what this document is?
- A. It's a quarterly network review document that my team would have presented to the senior leadership team.
- Q. And would it have been presented on or about the date of
- 7 **A.** Yes.

January 29, 2019?

- Q. And who prepared it?
- A. It would have been prepared by the network planning team led by Andrea Lusso under my review.
- 11 Q. And did you, in fact, review this?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Can you turn to slide 28, please. And this is --
- 14 A. Hang on. Let me get to --
- Q. You're going to have to open it in your book because it's
- 16 largely redacted.
- 17 **A.** Okay.
- 18 Q. And the reason it's redacted is because of the names of
- some of the opportunities, so I'd like you to answer these
- questions without identifying anything by name.
- 21 **A.** Okay.
- Q. We can talk about category or something like that, but just please just don't name a specific route.
- Can you just describe for the Court what this slide
- 25 is showing?

- **A.** Sure. It is a map that lays out growth potential for profitable markets flying from JFK going forward.
- Q. And the bottom banner, which is not redacted, says, "Our opportunities exceed our current ability to grow at JFK." Do you see that?
- $6 \mid \mathbf{A}$. I do, yes.

- Q. What were you trying to convey with that?
- A. That we lacked the slot opportunities to be able to grow to the markets that were noted on the page, both in terms of new markets and increased frequency.
- Q. Would JetBlue have been able to add flights to all of the markets listed on the page?
- 13 A. Not at the time of -- that package was published.
- 14 **Q.** Why not?
- A. Some of the markets there require long-range aircraft,
- and the opportunities there require those planes. And so we
- had the potential to grow into those markets, but none of
- 18 those aircraft yet.
- Q. And when you say long-haul aircraft, is that what's often referred to as "long-haul markets"?
- A. Yes. It would be aircraft that we operated with an A321
- 22 long range.
- Q. And at the time, did JetBlue actually own any of those
- 24 aircrafts?
- 25 **A.** No.

- Q. And that would cover -- again, just without naming cities, but just geographically, say by continent, where would that cover that JetBlue was not able to fly at that
- 4 time?
- 5 A. Europe.
- Q. Okay. And how about within South America?
- A. With the exception of flights from New York into points
 in South America, the incumbent fleet could fly most of that,
 but the northern tier of South America was within reach of
- 10 JetBlue's incumbent fleet.
- 11 Q. From New York?
- A. From New York, again, on a limited basis. I think the extreme northern tier of South America.
- 14 THE COURT: Not Buenos Aires?
- 15 THE WITNESS: No, sir.
- 16 BY MR. SCHWED:
- Q. Just to be clear, New York-Buenos Aires would be
- 18 long-haul?
- 19 A. Indeed, yes.
- Q. And if you flip to the next slide, slide 29 --
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. -- and can you explain that the top line says, "Slot
- constraints limit our ability to grow JFK." What does that
- 24 mean?
- 25 **A.** So this was a partner slide to the previous slide that

had the map of opportunities. And what we were trying to convey was that we did not have -- while there were slots opened at certain times of the day, we did not have the capability of doing sustained growth in a number of the markets that we had listed because of our lack of slot portfolio.

- Q. Can you describe what the bar chart is trying to show, how it works?
- A. Sure. So on the horizontal axis, what we have done is sort of graphed out times of the day -- in this case, in two-hour increments instead of the slotted one-hour increment, just for the ease of presentation.

And then the vertical axis are the number of slots or arrivals or departures within that time increment where, if we're below the line, we have an underage of slots and are unable to add, and if we're above the line, we would have the capability of adding flights during that period.

THE COURT: I'm sorry; say -- if you're above the line, you can add the slots?

THE WITNESS: You can add the flights.

THE COURT: Because there's availability at JFK?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You could get additional --

THE WITNESS: You could get slots at certain times of the day at JFK from the FAA.

THE COURT: And if you're below, you can't?

THE WITNESS: That's correct. Or within our

3 portfolio. So this was our plan, but our plan, if you sort

4 of look -- you know, there are a number of cases where

between 10:00 and noon, there's an underage; but between noon

and two o'clock, there's an overage. Those are the types of

7 things that we can work out because the bars are a similar

8 height. Or similar size. Excuse me.

- 9 BY MR. SCHWED:
- 10 Q. So in other words, you can shift from one to the other?
- 11 A. You can shift or make subtle adjustments to be able to
- 12 utilize the slide portfolio.
- Q. And just for what seasons are this or season or seasons
- is this trying to show?
- 15 A. So at the time we were working this, which I think was
- early in the year, we were looking at our plan for the summer
- peak, so I think July.
- 18 Q. Of what year?
- 19 **A.** July of --
- Q. I'll represent that it was -- the date on the front is
- 21 January 29, 2019.
- 22 **A.** Okay. So this would have been July of 2020. And this
- was constructed, I believe, pre-COVID.
- Q. Is this bar graph showing the situation assuming there
- was the growth shown on the prior page or without the growth?

A. Without the growth.

- Q. And can you explain what the orange bar represents? All the way on the left-hand side, there's an orange bar.
- A. Sure. So what we had done and we had noted on the page was that we had, in order to make our schedule operable, we had already moved flights from the six to eight o'clock hour into the pre-six o'clock hour because the airports is unslotted during that period of time.

And so we turned that orange and showed it as a negative, because we were taking flights and moving them to a commercially less desirable time in order to make the schedule operable.

- Q. And can you just explain what you mean by the airport not being slotted at that time?
- A. Sure. So between 11 p.m. and 6 a.m., there's not a lot of demand for the slots. And if you think of JFK Airport being able to operate 81 operations per hour, in those periods of time, there's not demand, so there's not slots associated with those hours.
- **Q.** And I believe you had referred to the flying in that period as less desirable or less commercially desirable. Can you explain that?
- A. Yes, it's the concept, if you were flying to Orlando, your desired departure time was 7:30 in the morning, we would operate that flight at 5:30 in the morning because we needed

to get the flight out before the slotted period.

And so the concept would be for customers who were already getting up early, it's getting up really early, and in a lot of cases, the leisure destinations with kids and you've got customers setting their alarm before three o'clock in the morning.

- Q. And then you've referenced, say, a 7:30 flight. Can you just explain what the bar that says "600 to 759" represents?
- A. So what that laid out was an underage versus our plan for the period between six o'clock and 7:59. So if nothing else changed between when we created the chart and when we flew the airline, we would have to move those other it looks like three or four other flights into the pre-six o'clock our or into the later hour at between 8:00 and 9:59.
- Q. So just so I understand, was that underage in addition to or apart of the orange bar that was already scheduled there?
- 17 A. So it would be in addition to the orange bar.
- Q. And then can you -- you see on the right side there there's a red circle?
- **A.** Yes.

- Q. Can you -- or maybe an oval is a better word. Can you tell me what that signifies?
- A. Yes. So JFK has a traditional peak during the afternoon hours between about 2:00 and 8 p.m. that's centered around when transatlantic flights both arrive and depart and the

- flights that connect to them arrive and depart. And so the demand for slots during that period has consistently exceeded supply for as long as I've been in the industry.
 - Q. And why were you highlighting it here?

2

- A. It's highlighted because JetBlue's slot portfolio during
 that period of time was extremely limited, and that time was
 critical for us because we needed the ability to either
 arrive or depart aircraft during that period of time in order
 to utilize them throughout the day.
- Q. And had JetBlue tried to get slots during that time period?
- A. We consistently made attempts to getting -- to get slots during that time period.
- Q. And, again, does this -- is this saying a shortage, based on what you're planning to fly or hoping to fly?
- A. So this was a shortage on, again, what we sort of already had. So this is what we were planning to fly, and we knew we had a shortage. The prior slide actually would have -- was inoperable, which is what we noted, because we lacked slots during the key parts of the day.
- Q. And did you not -- do you, to your knowledge -- do you know how JetBlue's slot holdings during -- I think you referred to it as the peak afternoon period -- compared to American's?
- 25 **A.** They are significantly less robust than American's.

- 1 JetBlue has a -- sort of a small holding in the afternoon.
- 2 An example would be in mornings when there are slots that are
- there. JetBlue operates a large peak in the morning with
- departures both ends of the check-in lobby are open. In the
- 5 afternoon, the departures are so limited that half of the
- 6 check-in lobbies actually shut down.
- 7 Q. Half of JetBlue's?
- 8 A. Half of JetBlue's check-in lobbies shut down. Meanwhile,
- 9 American has a peak in the afternoon and traditionally has
- 10 held slots in peak in the afternoon associated with their
- 11 transatlantic and long-haul flying.
- 12 Q. How would it compare to Delta, for example?
- 13 A. So Delta, again, has a very large peak in the afternoon,
- has a lot of slot holdings during that period of time to
- 15 support their long-haul operations.
- 16 Q. Now, this page only talks about JFK airport. What was
- the slots situation at LaGuardia airport at this time?
- 18 A. So at LaGuardia, there was no availability. We
- 19 occasionally would work our way into something that operated
- 20 late at night or early in the morning, but there's no sort of
- 21 going to the FAA and saying, "I want to add a flight at a
- 22 specific time." It was fully subscribed.
- 23 Q. Now, how -- during the period of time leading up to the
- Northeast Alliance, was JetBlue trying to get slots at JFK
- 25 and LaGuardia?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

- Q. How? Can you describe the effort?
 - A. So first and foremost, we had a standing order with our schedule planning team to be contacting other airlines and simply asking if they had slots available or if they're willing to work agreements or trades or sort of otherwise to gain JetBlue access to slots.
 - We also participated whenever we thought there was the potential for an airline either selling slots or working through -- excuse me -- any issue where there might be an opportunity to acquire slots, particularly in the afternoon.
- Q. If you can turn in your binder to DX 426, which we will not put on the screen because anything at all relevant is entirely sealed on this. Let me know when you have it.
- 15 A. I have it.
- Q. Can you identify -- I would say just, without
 identifying -- withdraw that. Without identifying the name
 of the company, just identify generally what this document
 is.
- 20 **A.** Sure. It was a description of a potential slot acquisition at Heathrow Airport involving --
- 22 **Q.** Without mentioning the name.
- A. Yeah, another airline.
- 24 Q. Just -- that's enough.
- 25 A. Another airline.

- 1 Q. And the date is March 2021? Is that an accurate date?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And who prepared this document?
- 4 A. My team prepared the document.
- 5 Q. And did you review it?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Can you turn to slide 11? And let me know when you're there.
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 **Q.** And, again --
- THE COURT: What's the number on the bottom right?
- MR. SCHWED: The number on the bottom right ends in
- 13 6110.
- Oh, there are two different numbers. And there's
- also -- our defendants' exhibits say DX-426, which is the
- 16 exhibit number, and then --
- 17 THE COURT: Got it.
- 18 BY MR. SCHWED:
- 19 Q. Can you describe -- again, don't -- this also is sealed.
- 20 Don't identify the names of any parties or airports, but just
- 21 can you broadly describe what slide 11 of this exhibit shows?
- 22 **A.** Yes. It is a ten-year history of JetBlue's both
- 23 successful and unsuccessful slot transactions.
- 24 Q. What does the red text represent?
- 25 A. The red text represents an unsuccessful attempt at a slot

acquisition.

- Q. And the blue text?
- A. A successful slot acquisition.
- Q. And I want to direct your attention to the last line on
 the chart. Without discussing the terms of the proposed
 acquisition, can you describe why that was not -- it's listed
 as unsuccessful -- why it was not successful?
 - A. It was not successful because we had presented the potential transaction to the Department of Justice antitrust division, and they reacted in a way that made it clear that they were not supportive of this transaction.
 - Q. Did JetBlue make other attempts to obtain LaGuardia or JFK slots that were not listed on this page?
 - A. Yes. Again, the standing order that we had where we would talk to other airlines and ask them if they had any interest on a regular basis of working in terms of slot transactions with us or even if we were covering slots. We would make it clear that we were in the market for New York slots whenever possible.
 - Q. And just broadly speaking, how did that go?
 - A. It went poorly. I would have printed on a T-shirt that I was looking for JFK slots so people would see it, and the answers were roundly no every time. I don't think our competitors were interested in seeing us gain more access.
 - Q. Besides acquiring more slots, did JetBlue try any other

ways to grow capacity at JFK and LaGuardia?

A. We did. Again, at JFK, we would add flying during what I would describe as commercially challenged times, either leaving very early in the morning — think of a flight that departs at five o'clock instead of six o'clock or seven o'clock to a leisure destination.

We would fly late at night, so imagine a flight from New York to Los Angeles that leaves at 10:30 p.m. and arrives at two o'clock in the morning. These are things we would try because we were so eager to grow, but it takes a lot to convince a customer that that's a time they want to fly.

The other thing we had done was very aggressively deployed our newer and larger aircraft into the New York airports. So when we took delivery of an A321 that had 200 seats, it almost always went to New York and replaced either 160-seat or 100-seat airplane or 162 or 100-seat airplane.

- Q. And is that latter practice sometimes referred to as "upgauging"?
- **A.** Yes.

- Q. Did JetBlue's flying at unconventional times and upgauging satisfy its need for slots?
- **A.** No.
- 24 Q. Was it close?
- **A.** No.

- Q. Now, I'd like to direct your attention -- it's in the binder that plaintiffs handed you before, and it's an exhibit that you were shown -- Plaintiffs' Exhibit 507. Do you remember being shown this exhibit before?
- 5 **A.** I do.
- Q. And this was -- this had to do with potential -- a potential slot lease from American Airlines in early 2020?
- 8 A. Yes. Yes.
- 9 Q. In case anyone was sleeping in the audience.
- And what -- just -- what airport were the slots that were being discussed at?
- 12 **A.** At JFK.
- 13 Q. Were there any slots for LaGuardia under discussion?
- 14 **A.** No.
- Q. How long was American looking to lease these slots to JetBlue?
- A. I mean, it was temporary. We had talked about a season,
 two seasons, something like that. But we did not have a
 confirmation that these would be any kind of a permanent
 move.
- Q. And just can you just describe to the Court what a season means in the airline industry.
- A. Sure. The airline -- well, this is sort of broken up into summer and winter season. They're approximately six months each.

- Q. So two seasons is one year?
- **A.** Yes.

- Q. Did JetBlue view these slot leases as an alternative to the NEA?
 - A. No.
- \mathbf{Q} . Why not?
 - A. Well, they were temporary and transitory in nature. And so they created the need to go out to other parts of the network and source aircraft and resources for New York from other points.

Because they were temporary and relatively short term, a year, it was very difficult to go and then make an argument as to why we needed to acquire more aircraft for these slots, because it would take a certain period of time to acquire the aircraft.

The other part of that is the aircraft are 25-year assets. So you think of something that lists for \$100 or \$150 million, and I've got a good use for it for a year. It's not a particular compelling argument to a CFO or a treasurer.

- Q. Did these slots come with anything, any other elements of the Northeast Alliance, other than the slots themselves?
- **A.** I'm sorry?
- Q. In other words, if you were -- the lease that you were contemplating with American, did it have any other aspects of

- the Northeast Alliance that we've heard a lot about, other 1 than -- or is it a straight slot lease? 2
 - It's a straight slot lease.
- And in the document that's in front of you, you were Ο. asked about this line. You said, "I am also confident that 5 American isn't going to want them back from us once we are flying them. "Do you see that? 7
- Α. I do. 8

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- So why didn't that confidence address the situation you 9 were just describing in your prior answer about, you know, 10 11 needing some sense of permanence?
- Well, my confidence for 27 slots and, therefore, the 10 12 or 12 aircraft you would need to operate those was probably 13 not sufficient to make the investment in aircraft 14 acquisitions to make a necessary case. 15
 - Q. And when you say you were confident they would not want them back, what did you mean by that?
 - So I was confident as we were working through the NEA Α. that -- well, it was a step closer in terms of what we were doing to negotiate.

It also was likely that we would begin flying these -- these slots and that we would be able to make them work and that American was in somewhat of a steady downfall or a downward movement in New York and that they, you know -if at some point they would utilize those slots, it would be

- very difficult for them to do so without what became the NEA with our feed, with our flights feeding their flights.
- Q. If American did not want the slots back, say, in a year, would they have had alternatives other than continuing to
- 5 lease them to JetBlue?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. What types of alternatives?
- 8 A. Well, I was very confident there were other airlines that
- 9 wanted those slots. American could have attempted to operate
- them themselves. And then I think there were a number of
- parties who had extremely deep pockets, I think, in the prior
- document, where we tended to be unsuccessful in acquiring
- 13 slots. We were bidding against larger airlines who could
- justify much larger bids on the slots.
- Q. Did anybody at American ever tell you that American would
- 16 not want those slots back --
- 17 A. No. Sorry.
- 18 Q. -- at the end of say a one-year or two-year lease?
- 19 **A.** No.
- Q. Now, did the Northeast Alliance address any of the
- 21 LaGuardia and JFK slot problems you have just been
- 22 discussing?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 **Q.** In what way?
- 25 **A.** It enabled all of the JetBlue growth at LaGuardia from

```
about 15 to 55 flights at LaGuardia. So our ability to grow
1
 2
     and gain real traction had a real presence at LaGuardia. It
     allowed us to grow at JFK, but more importantly be able to
 4
     grow at the critical times of the day, particularly utilizing
     afternoon slots.
 5
          And did the -- focusing on JFK, did the Northeast
 7
     Alliance provide access to slots during that period you would
     refer to as the peak period that you circled in red?
 8
     Α.
          Yes.
 9
     Q. And --
10
11
               THE COURT: I'm going to stop you there.
               MR. SCHWED: Yeah.
12
13
               THE COURT: Unless you're --
14
               MR. SCHWED: I have one more question for him.
     BY MR. SCHWED:
15
     Q. At the of entering the NEA, did JetBlue have any, like,
16
     immediately immediate potential for LaGuardia slots, other
17
18
     than the NEA?
19
     Α.
          No.
               MR. SCHWED: Now is a good time to stop.
20
     you, Your Honor.
21
               THE COURT: All right. Just one clarifying
22
23
     question about -- what is the date of the NEA signed? I know
     we've gone back and forth.
24
```

MR. SCHWED: It is July, Your Honor.

```
THE COURT: It is July.
 1
               MR. SCHWED: Yes.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: Because I was looking here and I think
 4
     the copy I have here says July 27th or 31st. So that's when
     it was signed. But there's a discussion about its public
 5
     announcement in June.
 6
 7
               MR. SCHWED: I believe it was publicly announced in
     July, Your Honor. It's in the very first --
 8
 9
               MR. WALL: So July 15th is actually the date that
     it was signed. And I think it was announced on July 16th, if
10
     I'm --
11
               MS. RIGGS: There's no disagreement.
12
13
               THE COURT: Okay. Great. Thank you. You're
14
     right.
               All right. Have a good day. We're adjourned.
15
     We'll see you tomorrow.
16
                (Court in recess at 4:33 p.m.)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter to the best of my skill and ability. /s/ Rachel M. Lopez October 3, 2022 /s/ Robert W. Paschal Rachel M. Lopez, CRR Date Robert W. Paschal, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporters